Linguistic Steganography on Twitter: Hierarchical Language Modelling with Manual Interaction

Alex Wilson, Phil Blunsom, and Andrew D. Ker University of Oxford

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

#### Twitter

- Twitter is a social networking site, launched in 2006.
- Users post short messages (*tweets*), at most 140 characters long.
- ► 500M tweets posted each day, from 200M active users.
- Twitter a suitable setting because linguistic steganography generally requires the steganographer to act as the cover source.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# Twitter Steganography

 Alice has a Twitter account, and has posted some number of innocent tweets, before starting to send steganographic messages.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- Bob shares a key with Alice, and has access to her tweets.
- We assume the Warden is human.



(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

gosh now I really don't want my beard to go away



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

gosh now I really don't want my beard to go away

gosh today i truly don't want anything my beard to move away gosh now i genuinely don't want my beard to go away god now i truly do not want my beard to go away gosh today i really don't want my beard to go away

gosh now I really don't want to my barbe of going away gosh now I genuinaly just don't wanna my beard to go away gosh now I really don't wanna my beard to go away gosh now I really don't mean my beard to get away gosh now I ruly don't want my beard of going away



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

gosh today i truly don't want anything my beard to move away gosh now i genuinely don't want my beard to go away god now i truly do not want my beard to go away gosh today i really don't want my beard to go away

gosh now I really don't want to my barbe of going away gosh now I genuinely just don't wanna my beard to go away gosh new I really don't usana my beard to go away gosh now I really don't mean my beard to going away gosh now I truly don't ama my beard of going away



- gosh today i truly don't want anything my beard to move away 0100
  - gosh now i genuinely don't want my beard to go away 0100
  - god now i truly do not want my beard to go away 1100
    - gosh today i really don't want my beard to go away 0110
  - gosh now I really don't want to my barbe of going away 0001
  - gosh now I genuinely just don't wanna my beard to go away 0100
    - gosh there, i really don't wanna my beard to go away 1101
      - gosh now I really don't mean my beard to get away 0110
      - gosh now I truly don't want my beard of going away 0100







gosh today i truly don't want anything my beard to move away gosh now i genuinely don't want my beard to go away gosh now I genuinely just don't wanna my beard to go away gosh now I truly don't want my beard of going away



gosh today i truly don't want anything my beard to move away gosh now i genuinely don't want my beard to go away gosh now I genuinely just don't wanna my beard to go away gosh now I truly don't want my beard of going away





gosh today i truly don't want anything my beard to move away gosh now i genuinely don't want my beard to go away gosh now I genuinely just don't wanna my beard to go away gosh now I truly don't want my beard of going away



gosh now i genuinely don't want my beard to go away gosh now I genuinely just don't wanna my beard to go away gosh now I truly don't want my beard of going away gosh today i truly don't want anything my beard to move away



gosh now I really don't want my beard to go away



gosh now i genuinely don't want my beard to go away

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ



### Statistical Machine Translation

- Model the probability that a stego sentence s is a translation of cover sentence c (Pr(s|c)).
- Bayes' law:

$$\Pr(s|c) = rac{\Pr(c|s)\Pr(s)}{\Pr(c)}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ● ● ●

### Statistical Machine Translation

- Model the probability that a stego sentence s is a translation of cover sentence c (Pr(s|c)).
- Bayes' law:

$$\Pr(s|c) = rac{\Pr(c|s)\Pr(s)}{\Pr(c)}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ● ● ●

### Statistical Machine Translation

- Model the probability that a stego sentence s is a translation of cover sentence c (Pr(s|c)).
- Bayes' law:

$$\mathsf{Pr}(s|c) = rac{\mathsf{Pr}(c|s)\mathsf{Pr}(s)}{\mathsf{Pr}(c)}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ● ● ●

## Language Modelling

• Our stego sentence s is made up of words  $w_1, \ldots, w_T$ .

$$\Pr(w_1, \dots, w_T) = \Pr(w_1) \prod_{i=2}^T \Pr(w_i | w_1, \dots, w_{i-1})$$
$$\approx \Pr(w_1) \Pr(w_2 | w_1) \prod_{i=3}^T \Pr(w_i | w_{i-1}, w_{i-2})$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

This is a 2nd order Markov model

These probabilities are calculated using the maximum likelihood estimation (MLE):

$$\Pr(\text{sat}|\text{the, cat}) = \frac{\text{count}(\text{the cat sat})}{\text{count}(\text{the cat})}$$

 Counts gathered from large text corpora (here 72M tweets). In practice, the counts are smoothed to avoid probabilities of 0.

## Alice's Language Model

- What data can we use to train the language model?
- We need to train on cover data, of which we don't have enough of (a few hundred from Alice).
- We do have a huge amount of other twitter data (500M per day!).

► This is the problem of language model *adaptation*.

## Alice's Language Model

- We train a small model on Alice's data, and a large model on general twitter data.
- The probabilities from both models are then linearly interpolated. For example:

$$\Pr(w_3|w_2, w_1) = (1 - \lambda) \Pr_{\mathcal{A}}(w_3|w_2, w_1) + \lambda \Pr_{\mathcal{G}}(w_3|w_2, w_1)$$

Linguistic Distortion Measure

$$egin{aligned} D(c,s) &= -\log\left(rac{\mathsf{Pr}(s|c)}{\mathsf{Pr}(c|c)}
ight) \ 0 &\leq D \leq \infty \end{aligned}$$

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

Cover:

I wish I was drinking a mojito right about now #keepingitreal

Possible stego tweets:

- 1. i wish i was drinking a mojito law around now #keepingitreal 0.815
- 2. i wish i was drink a mojito good about now #keepingitreal 1.229
- if only i used to be drinking a mojito right about now #keepingitreal 1.670
- 4. i wish i was drinking a mojito right about far #keepingitreal 1.732

5. i 'd like to be drinking a mojito right around now #keepingitreal 1.878

3000. i wish i went drinkable a mojito entitled around today #keepingitreal 18.199

## Secondary Distortion Measure: Human Interaction

- Language modelling isn't good enough to guarantee that the option with lowest distortion is *actually* the best.
- Alice can choose the *true* best choice, from the ranked stego objects given by the first distortion measure.
- What if no option is fluent?
  - Alice can't signal no payload.
  - Recipient can't tell when there are no good options.

Alice will have to rewrite tweet, or not use it.

## **Evaluation**

- Gathered 72M tweets, all posted in May 2013, from the Harvard TweetMap.
- Randomly selected 10 users with 'typical' characteristics:
  - Average number of words per tweet (11)
  - Average size of vocabulary per tweet (6)
  - Sufficient tweets for training the LM (> 500)
- For these 10 users, we trained the LM on the majority of their tweets.

Which of these have a hidden message?

- 1. i just want to get above and beyond the state of drunk
- 2. I want someone to come on long midnight walks please, that be perfect
- 3. in fact i just need a pet tortoise in my life
- 4. sneaking your favourite foods into the trolley when you go food shopping

#### **Evaluation Data**

Which of these have a hidden message?

- 1. i just want to get above and beyond the state of drunk
- 2. I want someone to come on long midnight walks please, that be perfect
- 3. in fact i just need a pet tortoise in my life
- 4. sneaking your favourite foods into the trolley when you go food shopping

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- 20 human judges were shown 80 innocent tweets from each user, followed by 20 unclassified tweets (10 stego, 10 innocent).
- The judges were asked: which of these are steganographic?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

## Results

- Of 1000 steganographic tweets shown to judges, only 515 were correctly identified.
- Insufficient evidence to reject the null hypothesis that judges are guessing randomly



◆□> ◆□> ◆三> ◆三> ● 三 のへの

## Results

- Of 1000 steganographic tweets shown to judges, only 515 were correctly identified.
- Insufficient evidence to reject the null hypothesis that judges are guessing randomly.



э

# Summary

- Very secure against human judges!
- Embedded more bits per stego object than existing systems.

- More work needed on statistical detection methods.
- Synchronisation and coding need considerable work.