Prover

Unifying

- incom
- query
- annot

In prover

- ▶ input
- a join
- a unio

Example

nance Polynomials	Challenge: Queries with Factorised Polynom		
g framework (Green et al.) that captures the semantics of nplete information and uncertain databases, y evaluation under set/bag semantics, tation propagation for why- and how-provenance. nance polynomials, we denote provenance of tuples by variables ,	 Classification of queries based on the minimal size of the factorised polynomials result polynomials with factorisations of boun Polynomial \$\Phi\$ is read-k if each variable occes Polynomial \$\Phi\$ has readability k if k is the stread-k polynomial equivalent to \$\Phi\$. 		
of tuples by a product of their provenance,	Examples: The readability of		
on of tuples by a sum of their provenance. e Database Order id item Id item Iocation item Order Iocation	 the query [Store ⋈_{location} Emp] is one for any date In our example, the factorised polynomial is For each location, we get a product of sums the query [Order ⋈_{item} Store ⋈_{location} Emp] is de 		
O_1 01 Printer O_2 02 Plotter S_1 Depot1 Printer e_1 Joe Depot1 S_2 Depot1 Plotter e_2 Bob Depot1			
$O_3 = 03$ Ink $O_4 = 04$ Printer $S_3 = 000$ Depot Finiter $C_2 = 000$ Depot Depot Printer $C_2 = 000$ Depot Printer Depot Printer $C_3 = 000$ Depot Printer Printer Depot Printer De	Challenge: Efficient Computation of Factoris		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	 Compute factorisations of low/minimal readab Minimality may be with respect to a restricte For a query and a database, compute the fact without first computing the flat polynomial or 		
$o_1 s_1 e_2 = 01$ Printer Depot 1 = 00e $o_1 s_1 e_2 = 01$ Printer Depot 1 = Bob			
o ₁ s ₃ e ₃ 01 Printer Depot2 Dan o ₂ s ₂ e ₁ 02 Plotter Depot1 Joe	Challenge: Querying Factorised Relations ar		
	Assume that variables in polynomials carry the		
ance Polynomial of the Query Result	Evaluate queries directly on factorised polyn		
$\Phi_1 = O_1 S_1 e_1 + O_1 S_1 e_2 + O_1 S_3 e_3 + O_2 S_2 e_1 + O_2 S_2 e_2 + O_2 S_4 e_4 + O_4 S_1 e_1 + O_4 S_1 e_2 + O_4 S_2 e_2 + O_5 S_4 e_4.$	Example: Equivalent factorisations of the result of		
cases: ean semiring $(\mathbb{B}, \lor, \land)$	$\Phi_9 = (O_1 + O_2)(S_1(e_1 + e_2) + S_2(e_3 + e_4)) + (O_1 + O_2)S_1 + (O_3 + O_4)S_3)(e_1 + e_2) + ((O_1 + O_2)S_1 + (O_3 + O_4)S_3)(e_1 + e_2) + ((O_1 + O_2)S_1 + (O_3 + O_4)S_3)(e_1 + e_2)) + ((O_1 + O_2)S_1 + (O_3 + O_4)S_3)(e_1 + e_2)) + ((O_1 + O_2)S_1 + (O_3 + O_4)S_3)(e_1 + e_2)) + ((O_1 + O_2)S_1 + (O_3 + O_4)S_3)(e_1 + e_2)) + ((O_1 + O_2)S_1 + (O_3 + O_4)S_3)(e_1 + e_2)) + ((O_1 + O_2)S_1 + (O_3 + O_4)S_3)(e_1 + e_2)) + ((O_1 + O_2)S_1 + (O_3 + O_4)S_3)(e_1 + e_2)) + ((O_1 + O_2)S_1 + (O_3 + O_4)S_3)(e_1 + e_2)) + ((O_1 + O_2)S_1 + (O_3 + O_4)S_3)(e_1 + e_2)) + ((O_1 + O_2)S_1 + (O_3 + O_4)S_3)(e_1 + e_2)) + ((O_1 + O_2)S_1 + (O_3 + O_4)S_3)(e_1 + e_2)) + ((O_1 + O_2)S_1 + (O_3 + O_4)S_3)(e_1 + e_2)) + ((O_1 + O_2)S_1 + (O_3 + O_4)S_3)(e_1 + e_2)) + ((O_1 + O_2)S_1 + (O_3 + O_4)S_3)(e_1 + e_2)) + ((O_1 + O_2)S_1 + (O_3 + O_4)S_3)(e_1 + e_2)) + ((O_1 + O_2)S_1 + (O_3 + O_4)S_3)(e_1 + e_2)) + ((O_1 + O_2)S_1 + (O_3 + O_4)S_3)(e_1 + e_2)) + ((O_1 + O_2)S_1 + (O_2)S_1) + ((O_1 + O_2)S_1)) + ((O_1 + O_2)S_1) + ((O_1 + O_2)S_1)) + ((O_1 + O_2)S_1) + ((O_1 + O_2)S_2)) + ((O_1 + O_2)S_1) + ((O_$		
ch variable encodes the presence of its input tuple. ed in incomplete information and probabilistic databases.	 Here, variables o_i(e_j) are annotated with tuples Φ₉(Φ₁₀) is suitable for joining on Order (Emp) v 		
ch variable encodes tuple multiplicity.			
ed in bag semantics of positive queries.	Challenge: Approximation by Factorised Poly		
variables encode the tuples themselves, the provenance nomial encodes the whole query result.	Given a polynomial Φ , find lower and upper bo Definition of lower and upper bounds depends		
isation of Provenance Polynomials	 In the Boolean semiring: Φ_L ⊨ Φ ⊨ Φ_U In the semiring over natural numbers: Φ_L ≤ Φ For all semirings: Drop (add) monomials for 		
ic factorisation of Φ_1 :	Lower bound for Φ_1 : $\Phi_1 = (O_1 + O_4)(S_1(e_1 - e_1))$		
$(O_1 + O_4)(S_1(e_1 + e_2) + S_3e_3) + O_2S_2(e_1 + e_2) + (O_3 + O_5)S_4e_4.$	Upper bound for Φ_1 : $\Phi_U = (O_1 + O_2 + O_4)((S_1 + O_2 + O_4))$		
es explicitly now groups of input tuples combine and thus ne nested structure of the query result and its provenance.	 Search for closest bounds in a given class c of c could be the class of polynomials with real 		
prisations can be more informative and exponentially	Query approximation:		

Example

				-
	id	item	location	operator
<i>O</i> ₁ <i>S</i> ₁ <i>E</i> ₁	01	Printer	Depot1	Joe
<i>O</i> ₁ <i>S</i> ₁ <i>E</i> ₂	01	Printer	Depot1	Bob
<i>O</i> ₁ <i>S</i> ₃ <i>e</i> ₃	01	Printer	Depot2	Dan
$O_2 S_2 e_1$	02	Plotter	Depot1	Joe

Provena

Special

- Boole
- Eac
- ► Use Semii
- Eac
- ► Use
- ► If the polyn

Factor

Algebra

 $\Phi_2 =$ expresse shows th

- Facto **more succinct** than flat representations.
- The monomials can be extracted from the factorisation with polynomial delay.

On Factorisation of Provenance Polynomials

Department of Computer Science, University of Oxford, Oxford, OX1 3QD, UK

- Approximate a query Q by lower and upper bound queries Q_{l} and Q_{ll} .
 - bounds for the polynomial Φ of Q and have lower readability.

Dan Olteanu and Jakub Závodný



ials of Bounded Size

of query results for any input database nded readability for any input database curs at most k times in Φ . smallest number such that there is a

atabase.

 $(S_1 + S_2)(e_1 + e_2) + S_3e_3 + S_4e_4.$ s of distinct variables. ependent on the input database size.

sed Polynomials

pility for any polynomial. ed class of factorisations. torised polynomial of the query result of the query result.

nd Polynomials

ne input tuples. nomials.

of [Order \bowtie_{item} Store $\bowtie_{\text{location}}$ Emp]: $O_3 + O_4)(S_3(e_1 + e_2) + S_4(e_3 + e_4)),$ $(O_1 + O_2)S_2 + (O_3 + O_4)S_4)(e_3 + e_4).$

es from Order (Emp) without unfolding

ynomials

bunds Φ_I, Φ_{II} with lower readability. s on the semiring.

 $\Phi \leq \Phi_U$ lower (upper) bounds $+ e_2) + s_3 e_3) + (o_3 + o_5) s_4 e_4$ $(S_1 + S_2)(e_1 + e_2) + S_3e_3) + (O_3 + O_5)S_4e_4$ of well factorisable polynomials. adability one.

For any database, the polynomials Φ_{I} and Φ_{II} of Q_{I} and Q_{II} are lower and upper

Results: Queries with Factorisations of Bounded Size

- database **D**.

Characterisation of Conjunctive Queries

- with readability $O(|\mathbf{D}|^{f(Q)})$, • with size at most $|\mathbf{D}|^{f(Q)+1}$.
- with bounded readability,

Results: Efficient Computation of Factorisations

Results: Approximation by Factorised Polynomials

Approximation by polynomials of readability one, over the Boolean semiring.

- of lower and upper bounds.

Selected Publications

- Also arXiv report 1104.0867.
- R. Fink and D. Olteanu. In ICDT, 2011.



We introduce factorisation trees which \blacktriangleright are statically derived from a query Q, are independent of the input database, • define a factorisation of the polynomial of $Q(\mathbf{D})$, for any

For any query Q, there is a rational number f(Q) such that for any database **D**, $Q(\mathbf{D})$ has a factorised polynomial

Moreover, f(Q) is the smallest such number when restricted to factorisations defined by factorisation trees.

► A query satisfies f(Q) = 0 iff it is **hierarchical**. Then the polynomial of any $Q(\mathbf{D})$ has a factorisation

with size linear in the sizes of input database and query. For hierarchical queries w/o self-joins it is also known that in probabilistic databases, their exact probability can be computed in polynomial time,

▶ in the finite cursor machine model, they can be evaluated in just one pass over the database.

► For any *Q* and **D**, we compute a factorisation of readability $O(|\mathbf{D}|^{f(Q)})$ and size at most $|\mathbf{D}|^{f(Q)+1}$ in time $O(|\mathbf{D}|^{f(Q)+1})$ without computing the flat polynomial!

Equivalent syntactic and model-theoretic characterisations

Algorithms to enumerate bounds with polynomial delay.

On Factorisation of Provenance Polynomials D. Olteanu and J. Závodný. In TaPP, 2011. Factorised Representations of Query Results. D. Olteanu and J. Závodný. Tech. rep., Oxford, April 2011. On the Optimal Approximation of Queries Using **Tractable Propositional Languages.**

WWW: http://www.cs.ox.ac.uk/people/jakub.zavodny/