

```

/*
    Introduction to Formal Proof, Oxford, 2014
*/
INITIALISE foldsequents      false
INITIALISE multiassumptionlines false
INITIALISE foldformulae     false
INITIALISE outermostbox     false

INITIALISE outerassumptionword  premiss
INITIALISE outerassumptionplural premisses
INITIALISE innerassumptionword  assumption
INITIALISE innerassumptionplural assumptions
INITIALISE seektipselection     false
INITIALISE multihypsel         true

USE "IFP_syntax.j"
USE "IFP_rules.j"
USE "IFP_style_menus.j"
USE "IFP_tactics.j"

/* Establish an order for the menus */
MENU Rules IS END
MENU "[Rules]" IS END
MENU "Quantifier Rules" IS END

USE "IFP_intro_conjectures.j"

KEYBOARD      ~      /* */

MENU "[Rules]" IS
  ENTRY hyp
  ENTRY " elim"

SEPARATOR

  ENTRY " intro"
  ENTRY " intro"
  ENTRY " intro(L)"
  ENTRY " intro(R)"
  ENTRY " intro"

SEPARATOR

  ENTRY " elim"
  ENTRY " elim(L)"
  ENTRY " elim(R)"
  ENTRY " elim"
  ENTRY " elim(L)"
  ENTRY " elim(R)"

SEPARATOR

  ENTRY "~ intro"
  ENTRY "~ elim"
  ENTRY "~> elim"

SEPARATOR
SEPARATOR

  ENTRY cut
  ENTRY thin

SEPARATOR
SEPARATOR

  ENTRY " intro"
  ENTRY " elim"

END

MENU "Quantifier Rules" IS

  ENTRY " intro" IS (WITHSELECTIONS " intro")
  ENTRY " intro" IS (WITHSELECTIONS " intro")

SEPARATOR

```

```

ENTRY " elim" IS (WITHSELECTIONS " elim")
ENTRY " elim" IS (WITHSELECTIONS " elim")

END

MENU "= Rules" IS
  ENTRY "= intro"
  ENTRY "= elim"
  SEPARATOR
  ENTRY "= elim (select t1=t2) (text-select t1 in (t1) to cut with (t2))" IS
    ForwardEqElim
END

/***** Double-clicking *****/
USE "IFP_hits.j"

/***** Derived Rules *****/
MENU "Rules" IS
  SEPARATOR
  SEPARATOR
  RULE "RAA (derived)" IS FROM  $\neg A$  INFER A
  RULE " (derived)" IS FROM A , B C INFER AB C
  RULE " $\neg\neg$  (derived)" IS FROM B C INFER  $\neg\neg B$  C
END
/* DERIVED */
RULE "(T) IS FROM P(T) C INFER x . P(x) C

TACTIC ResolveOrTheorem (thm) IS (ALT (ApplyOrResolve thm) (TheoremForwardOrBackward thm))
TACTIC ApplyOrResolve (thm) IS (WITHHYPSEL (ALT thm (RESOLVE thm)))

```

CONJECTUREPANEL Conjectures

THEOREM IS E, F EF
 THEOREM IS EF E
 THEOREM IS EF F
 THEOREM IS E(FG) (EF)G
 THEOREM IS (EF)G E(FG)

THEOREM IS E, EF F
 THEOREM IS EF, FG, E G
 THEOREM IS E(FG), EF, E G
 THEOREM IS EF, FG EG
 THEOREM IS EFG FEG
 THEOREM IS EFG (EF)(EG)
 THEOREM IS E FE
 THEOREM IS EFE
 THEOREM IS EF (FG)EG
 THEOREM IS EFGH GFH
 THEOREM IS (EFG)(EF)EG
 THEOREM IS (EF)G EFG

THEOREM IS EF EF
 THEOREM IS (EF)(EG) E(FG)
 THEOREM IS E(FG) (EF)(EG)
 THEOREM IS EFG (EF)G
 THEOREM IS (EF)G EFG
 THEOREM IS (EF)G (EF)G
 THEOREM IS E(FG) (EF)G

THEOREM IS E EF
 THEOREM IS F EF
 THEOREM IS EF FE

THEOREM IS FG (EF)(EG)
 THEOREM IS EE E
 THEOREM IS E EE
 THEOREM IS ((EF)E)E

THEOREM IS E(FG) (EF)(EG)
 THEOREM IS EFEG E(FG)
 THEOREM IS EFG (EF)(EG)
 THEOREM IS (EF)(EG) EFG

THEOREM IS (EG)(FG) EFG
 THEOREM IS EFG (EG)(FG)

THEOREM IS E E
 THEOREM IS E E
 THEOREM IS E E

THEOREM IS E E
 THEOREM IS E E
 THEOREM IS E E

THEOREM IS E \neg E
 THEOREM IS \neg E EF
 THEOREM IS EF \neg F \neg E

THEOREM IS EF, \neg F E
 THEOREM IS EF, \neg E F

THEOREM IS EF \neg (\neg E \neg F)
 THEOREM IS EF \neg (\neg E \neg F)

THEOREM IS \neg (EF) \neg E \neg F
 THEOREM IS \neg E \neg F \neg (EF)
 THEOREM IS \neg E \neg F \neg (EF)
 THEOREM IS \neg (E \neg E)

THEOREM IS E \neg E F

THEOREM IS R(j), x.(R(x)S(x)) S(j)
 THEOREM IS x.(R(x)S(x)) y.R(y)z.S(z)
 THEOREM IS x.(R(x)S(x)), y.(S(y)T(y)) z.(R(z)T(z))
 THEOREM IS x.R(x)y.S(y) z.(R(z)S(z))
 THEOREM IS x.(R(x)S(x)) y.R(y)z.S(z)
 THEOREM IS x.(R(x)S(x)), y.R(y) z.S(z)

```
THEOREM IS x.(R(x)S(x)) y.R(y)z.S(z)
THEOREM IS x.R(x)y.S(y) z.(R(z)S(z))
THEOREM IS x.(R(x)S(x)) y.R(y)z.S(z)

THEOREM IS x.(x=0x=1), x.R(x), ¬R(1) R(0)

THEOREM IS ( x . T(x)) ( x . (P(x)T(x))),
            ( x . Ph(x)) ( x . (T(x)Ph(x)))

            (x . (T(x) Ph(x))) ( x . (P(x)Ph(x)))
```

```
BUTTON Apply IS apply ResolveOrTheorem COMMAND
```

END

```

/* $Id: IFP_derived.j 642 2014-06-03 18:10:20Z sufrin $
   Opportunity to do proofs of derived rules, that we have introduced in the
   regular rules menu as honest-to-god rules.
*/

CONJECTUREPANEL "Derived Rules"
  DERIVED RULE "(RAA)"      IS FROM  $\neg A$    INFER A
  DERIVED RULE "()"         IS FROM A , B C INFER AB C
  DERIVED RULE " $(\neg\neg)$ "   IS FROM B C INFER  $\neg\neg B C$ 
  DERIVED RULE "()"         IS FROM P(T)   C INFER  $x . P(x)$  C
  DERIVED RULE "(= sym)"   IS FROM T1=T2 INFER T2=T1
  DERIVED RULE "(= trans)" IS FROM T1=T2 AND T2=T3 INFER T1=T3
  BUTTON Apply IS apply ForbidDerived COMMAND
END

TACTIC ForbidDerived(rulename)
  (Fail ("(All you can do with %s here is to start proving it)\n
        \n\nThe derived rules are already available (as if proven) on the Rules menu.", rulename))

/** Proofs left unloaded

CONJECTUREPANEL "Derived Rules"
PROOF "()"
FROM A,
  B
  C
INFER AB
  C
FORMULAE
0 AB,
1 A,
2 B,
3 C
IS
SEQ (cut[B,C\1,3]) (" elim(L)"[A,B\1,2]) (hyp[A\0]) (cut[B,C\2,3]) (" elim(R)"[A,B\1,2]) (hyp[A\0]) (GIVEN 0)
END
CONJECTUREPANEL "Derived Rules"
PROOF " $(\neg\neg)$ "
FROM B
  C
INFER  $\neg\neg B$ 
  C
FORMULAE
0  $\neg\neg B$ ,
1 B,
2 C
IS
SEQ (cut[B,C\1,2]) (" $\neg\neg$  elim"[B\1]) (hyp[A\0]) (GIVEN 0)
END
CONJECTUREPANEL "Derived Rules"
PROOF "()"
FROM P(T)
  C
INFER  $x.P(x)$ 
  C
FORMULAE
0  $x.P(x)$ ,
1  $x$ ,
2 T,
3 P(x),
4 P(T),
5 C
IS
SEQ (cut[B,C\4,5]) (" elim"[x,T,P\1,2,3]) (hyp[A\0]) (GIVEN 0)
END
CONJECTUREPANEL "Derived Rules"
PROOF "(RAA)"
FROM  $\neg A$ 

INFER A
FORMULAE
0  $\neg A$ ,
1 A
IS

```

```
SEQ (" elim"[B\1]) (" intro"[A\0]) (GIVEN 0)
END
**/
```

```

/*
    Mouse-clicks on formulae
*/

HYPHIT P P IS hyp
HYPHIT PQ R IS ALT (SEQ " elim(L)" (WITHHYPSEL hyp))
                (SEQ " elim(R)" (WITHHYPSEL hyp))
                (ForwardAndElimBoth)

HYPHIT PQ P IS (SEQ " elim(L)" (WITHHYPSEL hyp))
HYPHIT PQ Q IS (SEQ " elim(R)" (WITHHYPSEL hyp))
HYPHIT PQ R IS (SEQ ForwardAndElimL ForwardAndElimR)
HYPHIT PQ P IS ForwardIffElimL
HYPHIT PQ Q IS ForwardIffElimR
HYPHIT PQ R IS ForwardIffElimBoth

HYPHIT PQ R IS ForwardImpElim
HYPHIT P, PQ R IS ForwardImpElim

HYPHIT PQ R IS ForwardUncut 0 " elim"
HYPHIT ¬¬P Q IS NotNotElimHit
HYPHIT ¬P IS NotElim
HYPHIT ¬P,R Q IS ForwardNotElim
HYPHIT x.P Q IS ForwardAllElim
HYPHIT fresh i, x.P Q IS ForwardAllElim
HYPHIT x.P Q IS (WITHARGSEL " elim")
HYPHIT Q IS " elim"

/***** = *****/

HYPHIT T1=T2 Q IS ForwardEqElim

TACTIC ForwardEqElim IS
    WHEN (LETHYPSUBSTSEL (_P[_x\_S])
          (LETHYP (_T1=_T2)
                (ALT (SEQ (UNIFY _S _T1) (cut (_P[_x\_T2])) (SEQ ("= elim") hyp) )
                    (ForwardEqElimExplain (_T1=_T2)
                )))
          (LETHYP (_T1=_T2) (ALT (LETCONC _P (SEQ ("= elim") hyp)) (ForwardEqElimExplain (_T1=_T2))))

TACTIC ForwardEqElimExplain(T) IS
    ALERT ("You selected the equation %s; but you either need to select a conclusion\n\
    \or to select an instance of the left-hand of the equation within a formula.", T)
    ("OK", STOP)
    ("Explain = elim", "Explain = elim")

MENU "= Rules" IS
    ENTRY "= intro"
    ENTRY "= elim"
    SEPARATOR
    ENTRY "= elim (select t1=t2) (text-select t1 in (t1) to cut with (t2))" IS
        ForwardEqElim
    SEPARATOR
    RULE "= sym" IS FROM T1=T2 INFER T2=T1
    RULE "= trans" IS FROM T1=T2 AND T2=T3 INFER T1=T3
    SEPARATOR
    TACTIC "= sym (forward)" IS
        WHEN (LETHYP (_T1=_T2) (cut (_T2=_T1)) ("= sym"))
        (Fail "'= sym (forward)' requires you to select an equation")

END

/***** = *****/

CONCHIT QR IS " intro"
CONCHIT QR IS (ALT
                (SEQ " intro(L)" hyp) (SEQ " intro(R)" hyp)
                (Fail "Neither of the intro rules is immediately applicable"))
CONCHIT QR IS " intro"
CONCHIT ¬Q IS "¬ intro"
CONCHIT x.Q IS " intro"

CONCHIT fresh i x.Q IS VarExIntro
CONCHIT H x.Q IS (SEQ " intro" (ALT (WITHHYPSEL hyp)))
CONCHIT x.Q IS (SEQ " intro" )

MENU "Rules" IS

```

```

ENTRY hyp
ENTRY "¬ elim" IS NotElim
ENTRY " elim"
SEPARATOR
SEPARATOR
ENTRY " elim (forward)" IS ForwardImpElim
ENTRY "¬ elim (forward)" IS ForwardNotElim
ENTRY "¬¬ elim (forward)" IS ForwardNotNotElim
SEPARATOR
ENTRY " elim(L) (forward)" IS ForwardAndElimL
ENTRY " elim(R) (forward)" IS ForwardAndElimR
ENTRY " intro (forward)" IS ForwardAndIntro(" intro")
ENTRY " intro(R) (forward)" IS ForwardOrIntro(" intro(R)")
ENTRY " intro(L) (forward)" IS ForwardOrIntro(" intro(L)")
ENTRY " elim(L) (forward)" IS ForwardIffElimL
ENTRY " elim(R) (forward)" IS ForwardIffElimR
END

/* Entries test individual tactics
MENU "[Test Tactics]" IS
  ENTRY TwoHypsNotElim
  ENTRY ForwardNotElim
END
*/

TACTIC SelectFail(x) IS (ALERT x ("OK", STOP) ("Show the current selections", SHOWSELECTIONS))

/***** Negation *****/
TACTIC ForwardNotNotElim
WHEN (LETHYP (¬¬_B) (SEQ (cut(_B)) ("¬¬ elim"(_B))))
  (Fail "¬¬ elim (forward) cannot be used here")

TACTIC NotNotElimHit
WHEN (LETHYP (¬¬_B)
  (ALT (LETGOAL (_G)
    /* We cannot simply say: (LETGOAL (_B) ...) here.
    The LET forms introduce NEW pattern variables,
    so we need to check that the goal is the hyp
    with a (LETMATCH _B _G ...)
    */
    /* (ALERT ("Goal is %s |- %s", _B, _G)) */
    /* Goal same as hyp: just apply the rule */
    (LETMATCH _G _B ("¬¬ elim"[B\_B])))
    /* Goal distinct from hyp: cut with _B, then apply the rule */
    (SEQ (cut[B\_B]) ("¬¬ elim"[B\_B]) (WITHHYPSEL (hyp))))))
  (Fail "¬¬ elim cannot be used forwards or backwards here")

TACTIC NotElim IS
WHEN
  (LETGOAL
  (WHEN
    (LETHYP2 (B) (¬ _B) (TwoHypsNotElim ()))
    (LETHYP (¬ _B) (SEQ ("¬ elim" _B) (WITHHYPSEL (hyp (¬ _B))))))
    (Fail "¬ elim needs a goal of and a selected hypothesis of the form ¬P")
  ))
  (Fail "Your goal should be : try -elim or RAA first")

TACTIC TwoHypsNotElim(G) IS
WHEN
  (LETGOAL
  (WHEN
    (LETHYP2 _B (¬ _B)
      (ALT (SEQ ("¬ elim" _B) (WITHHYPSEL (hyp (¬ _B))) (WITHHYPSEL (hyp _B)))
        (ALERT ("¬ elim is not applicable with selected hyps %s and %s and goal %s.\n\
          \ (this may have happened because you refused to select a rule-match)", _B, ¬_B, G)
          ("OK", STOP)
          ("Explain 'rule-match'", "The rule ... matches in N different ways ...")
        ))
      )
    (TwoHypsNotElimError(G)))
  (Fail "Your goal should be : try -elim or RAA first")

TACTIC TwoHypsNotElimError(G) IS

```



```

WHEN (LETHYP2 _A (¬ _B)
      (ALT (SEQ (UNIFY _A _B)
              (Fail ("You selected goal %s and hypotheses %s and %s\n\
                    \but ¬-elim cannot be applied", G, _A, (¬ _B))))
            (ALERT ("You selected goal %s and hypotheses %s and %s\n\
                    \but ¬-elim cannot be applied, because the hypotheses cannot be made to match\n\
                    \((if it is not obvious why, then perhaps a proviso was violated)?", G, _A, (¬
_B))
              ("OK", STOP)
              ("Explain provisos", "What are provisos?"))))
      (SelectFail "¬ elim needs a goal of and selected hypotheses of the form and ¬")

TACTIC ForwardNotElim IS
WHEN
  (LETGOAL (TwoHypsNotElim()))
  (LETHYP2 _B (¬ _A) (LETGOAL _G (SEQ (cut ) (TwoHypsNotElim(_G))))
    (SelectFail "Using ¬ elim forwards this way needs hypotheses of the form and ¬ to be selected")

/***** Disjunction *****/
TACTIC ForwardOrIntro(introtac) IS
WHEN
  (LETHYP _A
    (WHEN (LETARGSEL (_B)
              (ALT (SEQ (cut (_A_B)) (introtac) (WITHHYPSEL (hyp)))
                    (SelectFail ("You text-selected %s and formula selected the hypothesis %s.\
                                \nBut %s (forward) failed: because %s couldn't be used immediately to prove conclusion
%s",
                                _A, _B, introtac, introtac, (_A _B)))
              ))
      (SelectFail ("You formula-selected the hypothesis %s.\nYou also need to text-select in order to use
%s forward to establish %s",
                  _A, introtac, _A)))
    (LETARGSEL _A (Fail ("You text-selected %s.\nYou also need to formula-select a hypothesis in order to use
%s forward to establish %s",
                        _A, introtac, _A)))
      (SelectFail ("To use %s forwards to establish you need to formula-select a hypothesis and text-select ",
introtac))

/***** Conjunction *****/
TACTIC ForwardAndIntro(introtac) IS
WHEN
  (LETHYP _A
    (WHEN (LETARGSEL (_B)
              (ALT (SEQ (cut (_A_B)) (introtac) (WITHHYPSEL (hyp)))
                    (SelectFail ("You text-selected %s and formula selected the hypothesis %s.\
                                \nBut %s (forward) failed: because %s couldn't be used immediately to prove conclusion
%s",
                                _A, _B, introtac, introtac, (_A _B)))
              ))
      (SelectFail ("You formula-selected the hypothesis %s.\nYou also need to text-select in order to use
%s forward to establish %s",
                  _A, introtac, _A)))
    (LETARGSEL _A (Fail ("You text-selected %s.\nYou also need to formula-select a hypothesis in order to use
%s forward to establish %s",
                        _A, introtac, _A)))
      (SelectFail ("To use %s forwards to establish you need to formula-select a hypothesis and text-select ",
introtac))

TACTIC ForwardAndElimL IS
WHEN
  (LETHYP (_A _B) (SEQ (cut (_A)) " elim(L)") (WITHHYPSEL (hyp(_A _B))))
  (SelectFail "You need to select a conjunctive hypothesis for forward -elim(L) to work")

TACTIC ForwardAndElimR IS
WHEN
  (LETHYP (_A _B) (SEQ (cut (_B)) " elim(R)")(WITHHYPSEL (hyp(_A _B))))
  (SelectFail "You need to select a conjunctive hypothesis for forward -elim(R) to work")

TACTIC ForwardAndElimBoth IS
WHEN
  (LETHYP (_A B) (SEQ ForwardAndElimL ForwardAndElimR))
  (SelectFail "You need to select a conjunctive hypothesis for forward -elim to work")

/***** iff *****/
TACTIC ForwardIffElimL IS

```

```

WHEN
  (LETHYP (_A _B) (SEQ (CUTIN " elim(L)" (WITHHYPSEL (hyp))))))
  (SelectFail "You need to select an hypothesis for forward -elim(L) to work")

TACTIC ForwardIffElimR IS
WHEN
  (LETHYP (_A _B) (SEQ (CUTIN " elim(R)" (WITHHYPSEL (hyp))))))
  (SelectFail "You need to select an hypothesis for forward -elim(R) to work")

TACTIC ForwardIffElimBoth IS
WHEN
  (LETHYP (_A _B) (SEQ ForwardIffElimL ForwardIffElimR))
  (SelectFail "You need to select an hypothesis for forward -elim to work")

/***** Implication *****/
TACTIC ForwardImpElim IS
WHEN (LETHYP2 _A (_A _B)
  (ALT
    (SEQ (cut _B)
      (WITHHYPSEL (" elim"[A,B\_A,_B])))
      (Fail ("You selected %s and %s%s, but elim (forward) failed: Tell Bernard", _A, _A, _B))))
    (LETHYP (_A_B) (SEQ (cut _B) (WITHHYPSEL (" elim"[A,B\_A,_B]))))
    (SelectFail "You need to select a hypothesis of the form for elim (forward) (you can also select the
)"))

/*****

TACTIC VarExIntro IS
WHEN (LETHYP (fresh _i) (SEQ (" intro"[T\_i]) (ALT hyp (SEQ))))
  (Fail "VarExIntro invoked without a fresh declaration selected.")

TACTIC ForwardExIntro IS
WHEN (LETHYPSUBSTSEL (_P[_x\_S])
  /* (ALERT ("%s %s %s", _P, _x, _S)) */
  (CUTIN (" intro"[x,P\_x,_P]) (hyp (_P[_x\_S]))))
(Fail ("You have invoked intro (forward).\n\
\The intro rule is: FROM () INFER x(x).\n\
\Before doing this you should have text-selected a term from within a hypothesis formula () \
\so as to make clear what you meant."))

/*****

CONSTANT

TACTIC ForwardAllElim IS
WHEN (LETARGSEL (_T)
  (ALT
    (LETHYP (_x . _P)
      (CUTIN " elim" [T\_T] (WITHHYPSEL (hyp))))
      /* Didn't seem to be able to do this with cut/ elim/hyp
      Maybe I didn't specify them enough and the following might have worked:
      (SEQ (cut[B\_P[_x\_T]]) (" elim" [T\_T]) (WITHHYPSEL (hyp))))
      but, as they say, IIABDFI!
      */
      (ForwardAllElimSelectFail)))
  (LETHYP2 (fresh _T) (_x . _P) (CUTIN " elim" [T\_T] (WITHHYPSEL (hyp))))
  (LETHYP (_x . _P)
    (ALERT
      ("You have invoked elim (forward) by double-clicking or from the Quantifier Rules menu, \
\with selected hypothesis\n %s\n\n\
\For this to work, you need to text-select a term, (say), to substitute for %s in\n %s\n\n\
\The result will be\n %s\n\n\
\If you select an assumption of the form (fresh ) then Jape will behave as if you had text-
selected \n\n\
\If you really want Jape to invent a term proof variable for you, then you can \
\invoke ' elim (forward, inventing a proof variable)' below, or from a menu.", (_x . _P), _x,
_P, (_P[_x]))
      ("OK", STOP)
      (" elim (forward, inventing a proof variable)", ForwardAllElimInvent)))
    (ForwardAllElimSelectFail))

TACTIC ForwardAllElimSelectFail IS
  (SelectFail "If you want to use elim (forward) at this point, then \
\you need to select a conclusion, \n\

```

```
\and a formula of the form x . (x), \n\
\and text select a term T, or a 'fresh' declaration.")
```

```
TACTIC ForwardAllElimInvent IS
  WHEN (LETHYP ( _x . _P) (CUTIN " elim" (WITHHYPSEL (hyp))))
    (SelectFail "If you want to use elim and have it invent a term at this point, then \
      \you need to select a formula of the form x . (x).")

MENU "Quantifier Rules" IS

SEPARATOR

  ENTRY " intro (forward) (needs a text-selected term in a hypothesis; invents a variable)" IS ForwardExIntro

SEPARATOR

  ENTRY " elim (forward)" IS ForwardAllElim
  ENTRY " elim (forward, inventing a term proof-variable)" IS ForwardAllElimInvent
  ENTRY " (derived)" IS ""

END

/***** Helpistry *****/

TACTIC EXPLAINTHENSTOP(x) IS
  SEQ (ALERT x) STOP

PATCHALERT "double-click is not defined"
  "Double-clicking has no effect with the current selection(s).\n\
  \You can find out what (IFP Jape) thinks the current selection is from the Help menu.\n\
  \You will also find explanations there of how to make selections."
  ("OK")

TACTIC SHOWSELECTIONSWITHTEXT(caption, value) IS
  WHEN (LETCONC _G (ALT (LETHYPS _H
    (ALERT ("Selected hypothes(is/es)\n %s\n\n\
      \Selected conclusion\n %s\n\n%s %s", _H, _G, caption, value)
      ("OK", STOP)))
    (ALERT ("No Selected hypothesis\n\n\
      \Selected conclusion\n %s\n\n%s %s", _G, caption, value)
      ("OK", STOP))
  ))
  (LETHYPS _H (ALERT ("Selected hypothes(is/es) \n %s\n\n\
    \no selected conclusion)\n\n%s %s", _H, caption, value)
    ("OK", STOP))
  )
  (LETCONC _G (ALERT ("Selected conclusion\n %s\n\n\
    \no selected hypothesis)\n\n%s %s", _G, caption, value)
    ("OK", STOP))
  )
  (ALERT ("No selected hypothesis or conclusion.\n\n%s %s", caption, value)
    ("OK", STOP))

TACTIC SHOWSELECTIONS IS
  WHEN (LETMULTIARG _T (SHOWSELECTIONSWITHTEXT "Selected texts:" _T))
    (LETARGSEL _T (SHOWSELECTIONSWITHTEXT "Selected text:" _T))
    (SHOWSELECTIONSWITHTEXT "No selected" text)

MENU Help IS
  TACTIC "Show the current formula(e) selection(s)" IS SHOWSELECTIONS
  TACTIC "Explain help" IS
    EXPLAINTHENSTOP "General help for Jape can be found on the Help menu.\n\
      \The IFP logic is explained in the notes for \
      \the Oxford University course \"Introduction to Formal Proof\"."

SEPARATOR
SEPARATOR
TACTIC "Explain Text selection (also called subformula selection)" IS (SHOWHOWTO TextSelect)
TACTIC "Explain Formula selection" IS (SHOWHOWTO FormulaSelect)
SEPARATOR
SEPARATOR
TACTIC "Explain = elim" IS
  ALERT "To make a forward inference of the form (2) from an hypothesis of the form 1=2 \
    \when the goal conclusion is already of the form (2)) just double-click \
    \on the hypothesis 1=2.\n\nWhen the goal conclusion is not already of the form (2) \
    \then text-select the 1 in an hypothesis of the form (1) first."
    ("OK", STOP)
```

SEPARATOR

TACTIC "Hypotheses and Conclusions" IS

ALERT

"When you select a hypothesis, you get a downward-pointing selection (a box round the \ formula, open at the bottom). You work forward from a hypothesis selection.\n\n\ \Unproved conclusion formulae are written with three dots above them. \ \When you select an unproved conclusion, you get \ \an upward-pointing selection (a box round the formula, open at the top). You can work \ \backwards from a conclusion selection, or it can be a target for a forward step.\n\n\ \Some formulae introduced by buttons labelled (forward) can be used as a hypothesis or as an \ \unproved conclusion. The selection box for these has a dotted horizontal line. \ \Click in the bottom half of the formula to make an \ \hypothesis selection, in the top half for a conclusion selection.\n\n\ \Any formula can be used as a hypothesis if there are relevant unproved conclusions below it \ \in the proof."
("OK", STOP)
("Explain hypotheses in scope", "Hypotheses in scope")

TACTIC "Meaning of ..." IS

EXPLAINTHENSTOP

"An ... (ellipsis) in a proof-in-progress marks a place (an open goal) where there is still work to be done. \ \The formula just below the ... is an unproved conclusion (notice that it doesn't have a reason beside it). \ \Your job is to show that each unproved conclusion follows \ \from the hypotheses in scope for it.\n\n\ \When making a proof step you nearly always need have an open goal selected: \ \IFP Jape is set up to do this automatically after each move; but you can \ \change the goal by selecting another; and you can change Jape's policy from the Proof Style menu.\n\n\ \When there are no unproved conclusions left, the proof is finished."

TACTIC "Hypotheses in scope" IS

EXPLAINTHENSTOP

"The hypotheses in scope at a formula are the ones that are not greyed-out when the conclusion \ \is selected.\n\n\ \You can also find out what they are by changing the view to Tree display \ \ (though I don't recommend doing this in the middle of a large proof.)"

TACTIC "The Rules menu and the [Rules] menu"

ALERT

"The Rules menu contains frequently-used, and some derived rules. \n\ \It also has buttons that invoke proof-tactics that appear to have a 'forward' effect: \ \these operate by using 'cut' to establish a new formula in the context.\n\n\ \The [Rules] menu has /all/ the rules on it: Jape invokes them without trying to be clever."
("OK", STOP)
("Explain the cut rule", "The cut rule")

TACTIC "The cut rule" IS

EXPLAINTHENSTOP

"The rule cut() transforms a proof goal of the form into the two proof sub-goals: \n\n\ \ \n\ \ , \n\n\ \In the box form display IFP Jape normally shows only the second subgoal, \ \thereby giving the appearance that has been added to the context.\n\n\ \Tactics labelled (forward) on the Rules menu generally operate by inserting a cut, \ \then trying to use the rule named on their button to close the goal. \ \If that rule fails, then the tactic itself fails, and IFP Jape will try to explain why."

TACTIC "The rule ... matches in N different ways ..." IS

EXPLAINTHENSTOP

"Occasionally a 'forward' tactic will put up a dialogue box that asks you to \ \choose between different ways of applying a rule. Sometimes it shows \ \ways that are not actually different[*], but in any case any of them can be safely selected.\n\n\ \[*]What is happening here is that Jape is mis-reporting the fact that it has found two distinct lines with the same \ \hypothesis on."

TACTIC "What are provisos?" IS

EXPLAINTHENSTOP

"A proviso is Jape's representation of one of the side-conditions of a quantifier rule.\n\ \Provisos usually constrain the way that proof-variables invented by Jape may later be resolved / bound.\n\ \The provisos, if any, on proof-variables present in the current context are always visible on a panel \ \below the proof display, that starts with the line 'Provided:'.\n\ \It is possible that the invocation of a forward tactic, or of a quantifier rule with an inappropriate

\
 \text-selection, would violate a proviso that you cannot yet see; in that case you will usually receive an explanation of what went wrong."

END

CONJECTUREPANEL "Introductory Conjectures"

THEOREM IS $\neg\neg E$ E

THEOREM IS E, $\neg E$ F

THEOREM IS E, $\neg E$, G F

THEOREM IS EFGH GFEH

THEOREM IS E(FG) (EF)G

THEOREM IS (EF)G E(FG)

THEOREM IS $\neg(EF)$ $\neg E\neg F$

THEOREM IS E $\neg E$

THEOREM IS $\neg F\neg E$ EF

THEOREM IS $\neg E$ $\neg F$ $\neg(EF)$

THEOREM IS $\neg E$ $\neg F$ $\neg(EF)$

THEOREM IS E F $\neg(\neg E\neg F)$

THEOREM IS E F $\neg(\neg E\neg F)$

THEOREM IS $\neg(\neg E\neg F)$ EF

THEOREM IS $\neg(\neg E\neg F)$ EF

THEOREM IS $\neg(EF)$ $\neg E\neg F$

THEOREM IS $\neg(EF)$ $\neg E\neg F$

THEOREM IS (EF)(FE)

THEOREM IS x.R(x) y.R(y)

THEOREM IS x . y . R(x,y) y . x . R(x,y)

THEOREM IS $\neg x.R(x)$ y. $\neg R(y)$

THEOREM IS $\neg x.R(x)$ y. $\neg R(y)$

THEOREM IS $\neg x.\neg R(x)$ y.R(y)

THEOREM IS $\neg x.\neg R(x)$ y.R(y)

THEOREM IS x.R(x) $\neg y.\neg R(y)$

THEOREM IS x.R(x) $\neg y.\neg R(y)$

THEOREM IS x. $\neg R(x)$ $\neg y.R(y)$

THEOREM IS x. $\neg R(x)$ $\neg y.R(y)$

THEOREM IS R(k) x.(R(x)R(j)R(k))

BUTTON Apply IS apply ResolveOrTheorem COMMAND

END


```

/*
    $Id: IFP_rules.j 671 2015-04-16 10:29:30Z sufrin $
*/

/*
    Rules
*/

RULE hyp(A)   IS INFER A A
RULE " elim" IS FROM INFER B
RULE " intro" IS INFER
RULE " elim" IS FROM C INFER C

RULE " intro"      IS FROM A B INFER AB
RULE " intro"      IS FROM A AND B INFER A B
RULE " intro(L)"(B) IS FROM A INFER A B
RULE " intro(R)"(B) IS FROM A INFER B A
RULE " intro"      IS FROM AB AND BA INFER A B

RULE " elim"      IS FROM AB AND A INFER B
RULE " elim(L)" IS FROM A B INFER A
RULE " elim(R)" IS FROM A B INFER B
RULE " elim"      IS FROM A B AND A C AND B C INFER C
RULE " elim(L)"  IS FROM A B INFER AB
RULE " elim(R)"  IS FROM A B INFER BA

RULE "¬ intro"    IS FROM A INFER ¬A
RULE "¬ elim"(B)  IS FROM ¬B AND B INFER
RULE "¬¬ elim"    IS FROM ¬¬B INFER B

RULE cut(B) IS FROM B AND B C INFER C
RULE thin(A) IS FROM C INFER A C

RULE " intro"(OBJECT i)
  WHERE FRESH i IS
  FROM fresh i P(i)
  INFER x .P(x)

RULE " intro"(T) IS FROM P(T) INFER x.P(x)

RULE " elim"(T) IS FROM x. P(x) INFER P(T)

RULE " elim"(OBJECT i)
  WHERE FRESH i /* AND i NOTIN x.P(x) */
  IS FROM fresh i, P(i) C INFER x.P(x) C

RULE "= intro" IS T=T

RULE "= elim"(T1,T2,ABSTRACTION P) IS FROM T1=T2 AND P(T1) INFER P(T2)

/*
    Declare the names of the standard structural rules
*/
IDENTITY      hyp
CUT           cut
WEAKEN       thin
AUTOMATCH    hyp

/*
    and the 'formula' used in the presentation of FRESH */
SCOPEHYP     x IN fresh x

/* ----- */

```



```
/*
  $Id: IFP_style_menus.j 631 2014-05-30 13:22:08Z sufrin $
*/

UMENU "Proof Style"
  RADIOBUTTON applyconjectures
    "Apply conjectures as theorems" IS all
  AND "Apply only proven theorems" IS none
  INITIALLY none
  END

  CHECKBOX tryresolution "Cut, if necessary, when applying theorems"

  CHECKBOX autoselect "Select goal automatically"
END

INITIALISE tryresolution      false
INITIALISE showallproofsteps false
INITIALISE autoselect        true
INITIALISE hidecut           true
INITIALISE hidehyp           true

MENU "View"

  RADIOBUTTON displaystyle IS
    "Box display" IS box
  AND "Tree display" IS tree
  INITIALLY box
  END

  CHECKBOX showallprovisos      "Show all provisos"
  CHECKBOX showallproofsteps    "Show compressed steps"
  CHECKBOX hideuselesscuts      "Hide unnecessary forward steps"

  SEPARATOR

  CHECKBOX hidecut              "Hide cuts in box display"
  CHECKBOX hidehyp              "Hide duplicated hyp lines in box display"

  SEPARATOR

  CHECKBOX hidetransitivity      "Hide transitive steps"
  CHECKBOX hidereflexivity      "Hide reflexive steps"

  SEPARATOR

  CHECKBOX foldsequents          "Fold long sequents in tree display"
  CHECKBOX multiassumptionlines "Multiple assumptions per line in box display"
  CHECKBOX foldformulae         "Fold long formulae in box display"

  SEPARATOR
  SEPARATOR

  CHECKBOX tactictracing        "Trace tactic applications"

END
```

```
/*
    $Id: IFP_syntax.j 647 2014-09-30 16:51:20Z sufrin $
*/

CLASS VARIABLE x y z
              i j k
CLASS FORMULA  A B C D
              E F G H
              P Q
              R S T
CLASS BAG FORMULA

CONSTANT

PREFIX 10 fresh term

INFIX 100R
INFIX 100R
INFIX 120L
INFIX 140L

PREFIX 200 ¬

LEFTFIX 200 .
LEFTFIX 200 .

INFIX 500T =

JUXTFIX 3000
SUBSTFIX 4000

BIND x SCOPE A IN x . A
BIND x SCOPE B IN x . B

SEQUENT IS BAG FORMULA

INITIALISE autoAdditiveLeft true /* allow rules to be stated without an explicit left context */
INITIALISE interpretpredicates true /* allow predicate syntax ... */
```

```

/*
    Tactics that support 'do what I probably mean' interaction
    for the IFP presentation of logic.
*/

TACTIC Fail (x) IS SEQ (ALERT x) STOP

TACTIC ForwardCut (n,rule)
    CUTIN (ForwardUncut n rule)

TACTIC ForwardUncut (n,Rule)
    WHEN
        (LETHYP _Ah
            (LETGOALPATH G (WITHARGSEL Rule) (GOALPATH (SUBGOAL G n)) (WITHHYPSEL hyp) (GOALPATH G) NEXTGOAL))
        /* If LETHYP fails at this point, we had better have a singleton LHS.*/
        (LETLHS _Ah
            (LETGOALPATH G
                (WITHARGSEL Rule)
                (GOALPATH (SUBGOAL G n))
                (LETGOAL _Ag
                    (ALT (UNIFY _Ag _Ah)
                        (Fail ("Error in IFP Jape (can't unify lhs %t with rhs %t in ForwardUncut).",
                            _Ah, _Ag)))
                    (ANY hyp)
                )
                (GOALPATH G)
                NEXTGOAL))
            (Fail "Error in IFP Jape (ForwardUncut falls through).")

TACTIC TheoremForward (thm) IS CUTIN (ALT thm (RESOLVE thm))

TACTIC TheoremForwardOrBackward(thm) IS
    WHEN
        (LETHYP _A
            (ALT (TheoremForward (WITHHYPSEL (WITHARGSEL thm))))))
        (LETHYPS _As
            (Fail ("At present IFP Jape can't deal with multiple hypothesis selections when applying theorems.
Sorry.\
                \nCancel all but one of them and try again.")))
        (LETGOAL _A
            (ALT (WITHARGSEL thm)
                (RESOLVE (WITHARGSEL thm))
                (TheoremForward (WITHARGSEL thm))
                (Fail "Theorem application failed -- tell Bernard Sufrin")))
        (LETOPENSUBGOAL G _A
            (Fail ("Error in IFP Jape (open subgoal in TheoremForwardOrBackward). Tell Bernard Sufrin.")))
        (LETOPENSUBGOALS _As
            (ALERT ("There is more than one unproved conclusion in the proof. Please select one - \
                \nor select a hypothesis - to show \
                \Jape where to apply the theorem.")
                ("OK", STOP)
            ))
        (ALERT "The proof is finished -- there are no unproved conclusions left."
            ("OK", STOP)
        )

```

CONJECTUREPANEL Conjecture2c

THEOREM IS

(x . T(x)) (x . (P(x) T(x))),
(x . Ph(x)) (x . (T(x) Ph(x)))
(x . (T(x) Ph(x))) (x . (P(x) Ph(x)))

THEOREM IS

(x.R(x))(y.S(y)) z.(R(z)S(z))

END

```

CONJECTUREPANEL "Introductory Conjectures"
PROOF " $\neg x. \neg R(x) \quad y. R(y)$ "
INFER  $\neg x. \neg R(x)$ 
       $y. R(y)$ 
FORMULAE
0  $\neg R(i)$ ,
1  $i$ ,
2  $\neg R(x)$ ,
3  $x$ ,
4  $\neg x. \neg R(x)$ ,
5  $x. \neg R(x)$ ,
6  $R(i)$ ,
7  $R(y)$ ,
8  $y$ ,
9 fresh  $i$ 
IS
SEQ ("intro"[i,P,x\1,7,8]) ("RAA (derived)"[A\6]) (" $\neg$  elim"[B\5]) (hyp[A\4]) ("intro"[T,P,x\1,2,3])
(hyp[A\0])
END
CONJECTUREPANEL "Introductory Conjectures"
PROOF " $\neg x. R(x) \quad y. \neg R(y)$ "
INFER  $\neg x. R(x)$ 
       $y. \neg R(y)$ 
FORMULAE
0  $R(i)$ ,
1  $i$ ,
2  $R(x)$ ,
3  $x$ ,
4  $\neg x. R(x)$ ,
5  $x. R(x)$ ,
6  $\neg R(y)$ ,
7  $y$ ,
8 fresh  $i$ 
IS
SEQ ("intro"[i,P,x\1,6,7]) (" $\neg$  intro"[A\0]) (" $\neg$  elim"[B\5]) (hyp[A\4]) ("intro"[T,P,x\1,2,3]) (hyp[A\0])
END
CONJECTUREPANEL "Introductory Conjectures"
PROOF " $\neg x. \neg R(x) \quad y. R(y)$ "
INFER  $\neg x. \neg R(x)$ 
       $y. R(y)$ 
FORMULAE
0  $R(y)$ ,
1  $y$ ,
2  $x$ ,
3  $\neg x. \neg R(x)$ ,
4  $x. \neg R(x)$ ,
5  $y. R(y)$ ,
6  $\neg y. R(y)$ 
IS
SEQ ("RAA (derived)"[A\5]) (" $\neg$  elim"[B\4]) (hyp[A\3]) (" $\neg x. R(x) \quad y. \neg R(y)$ "[R,x,y\0,1,2])
END
CONJECTUREPANEL "Introductory Conjectures"
PROOF " $x. R(x) \quad \neg y. \neg R(y)$ "
INFER  $x. R(x)$ 
       $\neg y. \neg R(y)$ 
FORMULAE
0  $R(i)$ ,
1  $\neg R(i)$ ,
2  $x. R(x)$ ,
3  $i$ ,
4  $R(x)$ ,
5  $x$ ,
6 ,
7  $\neg R(y)$ ,
8  $y$ ,
9  $y. \neg R(y)$ ,
10 fresh  $i$ 
IS
SEQ (" $\neg$  intro"[A\9]) (" $\neg$  elim"[i,C,P,x\3,6,7,8]) (cut[B,C\0,6]) (" $\neg$  elim"[T,P,x\3,4,5]) (hyp[A\2]) (" $\neg$ 
elim"[B\0]) (hyp[A\1]) (hyp[A\0])
END
CONJECTUREPANEL "Introductory Conjectures"
PROOF " $x. R(x) \quad \neg y. \neg R(y)$ "
INFER  $x. R(x)$ 
       $\neg y. \neg R(y)$ 
FORMULAE

```

```

0 R(i),
1 ¬R(i),
2 y.¬R(y),
3 i,
4 ¬R(y),
5 y,
6 ,
7 R(x),
8 x,
9 fresh i,
10 x.R(x)
IS
SEQ ("¬ intro"[A\2]) (" elim"[i,C,P,x\3,6,7,8]) (cut[B,C\1,6]) (" elim"[T,P,x\3,4,5]) (hyp[A\2]) ("¬
elim"[B\0]) (hyp[A\1]) (hyp[A\0])
END
CONJECTUREPANEL "Introductory Conjectures"
PROOF "¬x.R(x) y.¬R(y)"
INFER ¬x.R(x)
      y.¬R(y)
FORMULAE
0 ¬R(i),
1 i,
2 ¬R(y),
3 y,
4 ¬y.¬R(y),
5 y.¬R(y),
6 R(i),
7 R(x),
8 x,
9 ¬x.R(x),
10 x.R(x),
11 fresh i
IS
SEQ ("RAA (derived)"[A\5]) ("¬ elim"[B\10]) (hyp[A\9]) (" intro"[i,P,x\1,7,8]) ("RAA (derived)"[A\6]) ("¬
elim"[B\5]) (hyp[A\4]) (" intro"[T,P,x\1,2,3]) (hyp[A\0])
END
CONJECTUREPANEL "Introductory Conjectures"
PROOF "x.¬R(x) ¬y.R(y)"
INFER x.¬R(x)
      ¬y.R(y)
FORMULAE
0 R(i),
1 ¬R(i),
2 y.R(y),
3 i,
4 R(y),
5 y,
6 ,
7 ¬R(x),
8 x,
9 fresh i,
10 x.¬R(x)
IS
SEQ ("¬ intro"[A\2]) (" elim"[i,C,P,x\3,6,7,8]) (cut[B,C\0,6]) (" elim"[T,P,x\3,4,5]) (hyp[A\2]) ("¬
elim"[B\0]) (hyp[A\1]) (hyp[A\0])
END
CONJECTUREPANEL "Introductory Conjectures"
PROOF "x.¬R(x) ¬y.R(y)"
INFER x.¬R(x)
      ¬y.R(y)
FORMULAE
0 R(i),
1 ¬R(i),
2 x.¬R(x),
3 i,
4 ¬R(x),
5 x,
6 ,
7 R(y),
8 y,
9 y.R(y),
10 fresh i
IS
SEQ ("¬ intro"[A\9]) (" elim"[i,C,P,x\3,6,7,8]) (cut[B,C\1,6]) (" elim"[T,P,x\3,4,5]) (hyp[A\2]) ("¬
elim"[B\0]) (hyp[A\1]) (hyp[A\0])
END

```

```

CONJECTUREPANEL "Introductory Conjectures"
CURRENTPROOF " $\neg(EF) \quad \neg E \neg F$ "
INFER  $\neg(EF)$ 
       $\neg E \neg F$ 
FORMULAE
0  $\neg(\neg E \neg F)$ ,
1  $\neg E \neg F$ ,
2 E,
3 F,
4  $\neg(EF)$ ,
5 EF,
6  $\neg E$ ,
7  $\neg(EF)$ 
IS
SEQ ("RAA (derived)"[A\1]) (" $\neg$  elim"[B\5]) (hyp[A\4]) (" intro"[A,B\2,3]) ("RAA (derived)"[A\2]) (" $\neg$ 
elim"[B\1]) (hyp[A\0]) (NEXTGOAL) (NEXTGOAL)
END
CONJECTUREPANEL "Introductory Conjectures"
CURRENTPROOF " $\neg(EF) \quad \neg E \neg F$ "
INFER  $\neg(EF)$ 
       $\neg E \neg F$ 
FORMULAE
0 E,
1 F,
2  $\neg(EF)$ ,
3 EF,
4  $\neg E$ ,
5  $\neg F$ ,
6  $\neg(EF)$ 
IS
SEQ (" intro"[A,B\4,5]) (" $\neg$  intro"[A\0]) (" $\neg$  elim"[B\3]) (hyp[A\2]) (" intro(L)"[B,A\1,0]) (hyp[A\0])
(NEXTGOAL)
END
CONJECTUREPANEL "Introductory Conjectures"
CURRENTPROOF " $\neg(\neg E \neg F) \quad EF$ "
INFER  $\neg(\neg E \neg F)$ 
      EF
FORMULAE
0  $\neg(\neg E \neg F)$ ,
1  $\neg E \neg F$ ,
2 E,
3 F,
4  $\neg(\neg E \neg F)$ ,
5  $\neg E$ 
IS
SEQ (" intro"[A,B\2,3]) ("RAA (derived)"[A\2]) (" $\neg$  elim"[B\1]) (hyp[A\0]) (NEXTGOAL) (NEXTGOAL)
END

```