## **ON DISCRETIZATION OF C\*-ALGEBRAS**

CHRIS HEUNEN AND MANUEL L. REYES

ABSTRACT. The C\*-algebra of bounded operators on the separable Hilbert space cannot be mapped to a W\*-algebra in such a way that each unital commutative C\*-subalgebra C(X) factors normally through  $\ell^{\infty}(X)$ . Consequently, there is no faithful functor discretizing C\*-algebras to W\*-algebras this way.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In operator algebra it is common practice to think of a C\*-algebra as a noncommutative analogue of a topological space, and to think of a W\*-algebra as a noncommutative analogue of a measure space. In particular, just like any topological space embeds into a discrete one, atomic W\*-algebras are often viewed as 'noncommutative sets' that can carry the 'noncommutative topology' of a C\*-subalgebra, see *e.g.* [7, 1]. To make this precise, one needs a way to embed a C\*-algebra into a W\*-algebra. A standard way is the universal enveloping W\*-algebra given by the adjunction



between the category of unital C\*-algebras with unital \*-homomorphisms and the subcategory of W\*-algebras with normal \*-homomorphisms, see [6, 3.2]. This construction has the drawback that the resulting W\*-algebra is very large. It does not restrict to the commutative case as the embedding  $\eta: C(X) \to \ell^{\infty}(X)$ . This leads to the following notion, in keeping with the recent programme of taking commutative subalgebras seriously [18, 4, 19, 3] that has recently been successful [11, 9, 12, 10].

**Definition.** A discretization of a unital C\*-algebra A is a unital \*-homomorphism  $\phi: A \to M$  to a W\*-algebra M whose restriction to each commutative unital C\*-subalgebra  $C \cong C(X)$  factors normally through  $\ell^{\infty}(X)$ , so that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & & \stackrel{\phi}{\longrightarrow} & M \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \text{normal } *\text{-homomorphism} \\ C(X) & & \stackrel{\eta}{\longrightarrow} & \ell^{\infty}(X) \end{array}$$

This short note proves that this construction degenerates in prototypical cases.

Date: February 26, 2015.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 46L30, 46L85, 46M15.

Key words and phrases. noncommutative topology, discrete space, atomic measure.

C. Heunen was supported by EPSRC Fellowship EP/L002388/1.

M. L. Reyes was supported by NSF grant DMS-1407152.

**Theorem.** If  $\phi: B(H) \to M$  is a discretization for a separable infinite-dimensional Hilbert space H, then M = 0.

Stated more concretely, this obstruction means that A = B(H) has no representation on a Hilbert space  $K \neq 0$  such that every (maximal) commutative \*-subalgebra of A has a basis of simultaneous eigenvectors in K.

Consequently, discretization cannot be made into a faithful functor.

**Corollary.** Let  $F: \mathbf{Cstar} \to \mathbf{Wstar}$  be a functor, and  $\eta_A: A \to F(A)$  natural unital \*-homomorphisms. Suppose there are isomorphisms  $F(C(X)) \cong \ell^{\infty}(X)$  for each compact Hausdorff space X that turn  $\eta_{C(X)}$  into the inclusion  $C(X) \to \ell^{\infty}(X)$ . If a unital C\*-algebra A has a unital \*-homomorphism  $f: B(H) \to A$  for an infinitedimensional Hilbert space H, then F(A) = 0.

The proof of the Theorem relies on the existence of normal states in W\*algebras. Intriguingly, this means that it does not rule out faithful functors Fas above from **Cstar** to the category of AW\*-algebras (see [12, Section 2] for the appropriate morphisms). A rather different approach to the problem of extending the embeddings  $C(X) \rightarrow \ell^{\infty}(X)$  to noncommutative C\*-algebras has recently appeared in [16]. We also remark that since the identity functor discretizes all finite-dimensional C\*-algebras, this truly infinite-dimensional obstruction is independent of the Kochen-Specker theorem, a key ingredient in some previous spectral obstruction results [18, 4].

The rest of this note proves the Theorem and its Corollary.

## 2. Proof

We begin with a lemma that characterizes atomic measures. Let  $(X, \Sigma)$  be measurable space with a finite measure  $\mu$ . Recall that an *atom* for  $\mu$  is a measurable set  $V \in \Sigma$  such that  $\mu(V) > 0$  and for every measurable  $U \subseteq V$ , either  $\mu(U) = 0$ or  $\mu(U) = \mu(V)$ . It follows that for every decomposition of V into a finite (or countably infinite) disjoint union of measurable sets  $V = \bigsqcup V_i$ , one of the  $V_i$  has measure  $\mu(V)$  and the rest have measure zero.

The measure  $\mu$  is said to be *diffuse* if it has no atoms, and *atomic* if every nonnegligible measurable set contains an atom. Define an *interval* for a finite measure  $\mu$  on  $(X, \Sigma)$  to be a one-parameter family of measurable sets  $U_t \in \Sigma$  with  $t \in [0, M]$  for a positive real number M such that  $s \leq t$  implies  $U_s \subseteq U_t$  and  $\mu(U_t) = t$  for all  $s, t \in [0, M]$ .

**Lemma 1.** Let  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  be a finite measure space. Then  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  has an interval if and only if  $\mu$  is not atomic.

*Proof.* First suppose that  $\mu$  is not atomic. Any finite measure  $\mu$  decomposes uniquely as  $\mu = \mu_a + \mu_d$  into an atomic measure  $\mu_a$  and a diffuse measure  $\mu_d$  [14, 2.6]. Moreover,  $\mu_a$  and  $\mu_d$  are singular [13, 3.3]. This means [8, p126] that  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ is a disjoint union of an atomic measure space and a diffuse one. The latter is nonempty by assumption and we may restrict to it without loss of generality. But nonempty finite diffuse measure spaces always have an interval, see [2, Lemma 2.5] or [5, Lemma 4.1].

Now suppose that  $\{U_t \mid t \in [0, M]\}$  is an interval in  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ . Scaling  $\mu$  by 1/M and restricting to  $S_M$ , we may assume M = 1 and  $U_1 = X$ . For any positive integer n, the sets  $K_1 = U_{1/n}$  and  $K_i = (U_{i/n}) \setminus (U_{(i-1)/n})$  for  $i = 2, \ldots, n$  partition

X into n disjoint subsets of measure 1/n each. If V were an atom of  $\mu$ , because  $V = \bigsqcup_n V \cap K_n$  it must be the case that  $\mu(V) = \mu(V \cap K_i) \le \mu(K_i) \le 1/n$  for some *i*. As *n* was arbitrary, this means  $\mu(V) = 0$ . Thus  $\mu$  is not atomic.  $\Box$ 

Now let X be a compact Hausdorff space, and let  $\psi$  be a state on C(X). We say that  $\psi$  is *atomic* if  $\psi = \sum \lambda_{\rho}\rho$  for pure states  $\rho$  of C(X) and nonnegative scalars  $\lambda_{\rho}$  with  $\sum \lambda_{\rho} = 1$ . The Riesz-Markov theorem shows that  $\psi(f) = \int_X f d\mu$  for a unique regular Borel probability measure  $\mu$  on X. Any atoms of such a measure  $\mu$  must be singleton sets  $\{x\}$  for  $x \in X$  [15, 2.IV]. Note that the pure states  $\rho$  on C(X) precisely correspond to Dirac measures  $\delta_x$  for  $x \in X$ . Thus the state  $\psi$  is atomic if and only if the corresponding probability measure  $\mu$  is atomic, in which case it has the form  $\mu = \sum_{x \in X} \lambda_x \delta_x$  for scalars  $\lambda_x \ge 0$  with  $\sum \lambda_x = 1$ .

For the separable Hilbert space  $H = L^2[0, 1]$ , write A = B(H) for the algebra of bounded operators on H, write  $C = L^{\infty}[0, 1]$  for the corresponding continuous maximal abelian subalgebra of A, and write D for the discrete maximal abelian subalgebra of A generated as a W\*-algebra by the projections  $q_n$  onto the Fourier basis vectors  $e_n = \exp(2\pi i n)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\psi: A \to \mathbb{C}$  be a state. If its restriction to D is pure, then its restriction to C cannot be atomic.

*Proof.* By Kadison–Singer [17], a pure state on D extends uniquely to a state on A via the canonical conditional expectation  $E: A \to D$  that sends an operator a to its diagonal part  $\sum q_n aq_n$  with respect to the Fourier basis  $e_n$ . So  $\psi = \psi \circ E$ , as we assumed  $\psi$  to be pure on D. Letting  $p_t$  be the projection  $\chi_{[0,t]}$  in C for  $t \in [0,1]$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle p_t e_n, e_n \rangle &= \langle \chi_{[0,t]} \cdot \exp(2\pi i n -), \exp(2\pi i n -) \rangle \\ &= \int_0^1 \chi_{[0,t]}(x) \cdot e^{2\pi i n x} \cdot \overline{e^{2\pi i n x}} \, dx \\ &= \int_0^1 \chi_{[0,t]}(x) |e^{2\pi i n x}|^2 \, dx \\ &= \int_0^t 1 \, dx \\ &= t. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $E(p_t) = \sum q_n p_t q_n = \sum \langle p_t e_n, e_n \rangle q_n = \sum t q_n = t \cdot 1_A$ . It now follows that  $\psi(p_t) = \psi(E(p_t)) = \psi(t \cdot 1_A) = t$ .

Under an isomorphism  $C \cong C(X)$  for a compact Hausdorff space X, the projections in the chain  $\{p_t\}$  correspond to characteristic functions for clopen subsets  $\{U_t\}$  of X and the state  $\psi$  corresponds to a state  $f \mapsto \int_X f d\mu$  for some regular Borel measure  $\mu$  on X. The condition  $\psi(p_t) = t$  means  $\mu(U_t) = \int \chi_{U_t} d\mu = t$ , making  $\{U_t \mid t \in [0, 1]\}$  an interval of clopen sets in X. Lemma 1 implies that  $\mu$  is not atomic, so  $\psi$  cannot be atomic.

The first two lemmas suffice to establish the Theorem.

Proof of Theorem. Let M be a W\*-subalgebra of B(K) for a Hilbert space K. Write  $C \cong C(X)$  and  $D \cong C(Y)$  for compact Hausdorff spaces X and Y. The discretization  $\phi: A \to M \subseteq B(K)$  is accompanied by the following commutative diagram.

$$C = L^{\infty}[0,1] \cong C(X) \longrightarrow \ell^{\infty}(X)$$

$$B(H) = A \xrightarrow{\phi} M \subseteq B(K)$$

$$\uparrow g$$

$$D = \ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z}) \cong C(Y) \longrightarrow \ell^{\infty}(Y)$$

Given  $y \in Y$ , the atomic projection  $\chi_{\{y\}} \in \ell^{\infty}(Y)$  has image  $q_y = g(\chi_{\{y\}}) \in M$ . Suppose for a contradiction that  $q_y \neq 0$ . Choose a unit vector  $v_y \in K$  in its range. This induces a state  $\psi_y(a) = \langle av_y, v_y \rangle$  on A. For  $d \in D$ , considering  $d \in C(Y) \subseteq \ell^{\infty}(Y)$  we have  $d\chi_{\{y\}} = d(y)\chi_{\{y\}}$ , and thus:

$$\begin{split} \psi_y(d) &= \langle dv_y, v_y \rangle \\ &= \langle dq_y v_y, v_y \rangle \\ &= \langle d(y)q_y v_y, v_y \rangle \\ &= \langle d(y)v_y, v_y \rangle \\ &= d(y) \|v_y\|^2 \\ &= d(y). \end{split}$$

That is,  $\psi_y$  restricts to the pure state  $d \mapsto d(y)$  on D. It follows from Lemma 2 that  $\psi_y$  is not atomic on C.

On the other hand, for  $x \in X$  consider the atomic projection  $\chi_{\{x\}} \in \ell^{\infty}(X)$  and its image  $p_x = h(\chi_{\{x\}}) \in M$ . Since  $\sum p_x = 1$ , we can decompose  $K = \bigoplus_x K_x$ along the ranges  $K_x$  of  $p_x$ . Write  $v_y = \sum \lambda_x w_x$  for unit vectors  $w_x \in K_x$  and  $\lambda_x \in \mathbb{C}$  satisfying  $\sum_x |\lambda_x|^2 = 1$ . For  $c \in C$ , we have  $cp_x = c(x)p_x$  (considering  $c \in C(X) \subseteq \ell^{\infty}(X)$  as before) and  $cw_x = cp_x w_x = c(x)w_x$ , so that:

$$\begin{aligned} (c) &= \langle cv_y, v_y \rangle \\ &= \sum_{x,x'} \overline{\lambda_x} \lambda_{x'} \langle cw_x, w_{x'} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{x,x'} \overline{\lambda_x} \lambda_{x'} c(x) \langle w_x, w_{x'} \rangle \\ &= \sum_x |\lambda_x|^2 c(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the restriction of  $\psi_y$  to C is an atomic state.

 $\psi_y$ 

This is a contradiction, so every atomic projection  $\chi_{\{y\}} \in \ell^{\infty}(Y)$  must have image  $g(\chi_{\{y\}}) = q_y = 0$  in M. Hence the normal \*-homomorphism  $g: \ell^{\infty}(Y) \to M$ is the zero map. But then  $1_M = \phi(1_A) = g(\eta(1_A)) = g(1_Y) = 0$ , so M = 0.  $\Box$ 

We thank an anonymous referee for informing us that the Theorem can be proved without the full force of Kadison–Singer, as follows. Identifying the algebra  $C(\mathbb{T})$ of continuous functions on the unit circle  $\mathbb{T}$  with the subalgebra of C[0, 1] satisfying f(0) = f(1), it is known that  $C(\mathbb{T})$  supports unique extensions of pure states of the discrete masa  $D \subseteq B(H)$ . (Indeed, the algebra of Fourier polynomials—or more generally, the Wiener algebra  $A(\mathbb{T})$ —is a dense subalgebra of  $C(\mathbb{T})$  and lies in the algebra  $M_0 \subseteq B(H)$  of operators that are  $l_1$ -bounded in the sense of Tanbay [20] with respect to the Fourier basis  $\{e_n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . Thus  $C(\mathbb{T})$  lies in the norm closure

4

M of  $M_0$ , and the results of [20] imply that pure states on D extend uniquely to M.) A computation as in Lemma 2 shows that this extended state corresponds to the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{T}$ , hence is not atomic on  $C(\mathbb{T})$ . The Theorem may now be proved in essentially the same manner, replacing the algebra C with  $C(\mathbb{T})$ .

The proof of the Corollary uses the following 'stability' of discretizations.

**Lemma 3.** Discretizations are stable under precomposition with \*-homomorphisms and postcomposition with normal \*-homomorphisms: if  $\phi: B \to M$  discretizes B,  $f: A \to B$  is a morphism in **Cstar**, and  $g: M \to N$  is a morphism in **Wstar**, then  $g \circ \phi \circ f$  discretizes A.

*Proof.* If  $C(X) \cong C \subseteq A$  is a commutative C\*-subalgebra, then so is  $C(Y) \cong f[C] \subseteq B$ , making the top squares of the following diagram commute (where  $f': Y \to X$  is a continuous function between compact Hausdorff spaces derived from  $f: C \to f[C]$  via Gelfand duality).



The bottom triangle commutes by naturality of  $\eta$ . As all dashed arrows are normal, so is their composite.

Proof of Corollary. We first prove that  $\phi = \eta \circ f \colon B(H) \to F(A)$  is a discretization. If C(X) is a commutative C\*-subalgebra of B(H), its image under f is a commutative C\*-subalgebra of A and hence of the form C(Y). Consider the following diagram.



The top-left square commutes by definition, and the top-right square commutes by naturality of  $\eta$ . The bottom-left square commutes by naturality of the inclusion  $C(X) \hookrightarrow \ell^{\infty}(X)$ , and the bottom-right triangle commutes by assumption. Finally, the dashed arrows are normal: the horizontal one because it is in the image of the functor  $\ell^{\infty}$ , the vertical one because it is in the image of the functor F, and the diagonal one because it is an isomorphism. Thus  $\phi$  is a discretization.

Since *H* is infinite-dimensional, it is unitarily isomorphic to  $L^2[0,1] \otimes H$ . This gives rise to a unital \*-homomorphism  $i: B(L^2[0,1]) \to B(L^2[0,1]) \otimes B(H) \cong B(H)$ 

given by  $i(a) = a \otimes 1$ . Precomposing  $\phi$  with this map induces a discretization  $\phi \circ i \colon B(L^2[0,1]) \to F(A)$  according to Lemma 3, so the Theorem guarantees that F(A) = 0.

We leave open whether there exists any state on B(H) that restricts to an atomic state on each (maximal) abelian \*-subalgebra.

## References

- C. A. Akemann. The general Stone-Weierstrass problem. Journal of Functional Analysis, 4:277–294, 1969.
- [2] C. Bennett and R. C. Sharpley. Interpolation of operators. Academic Press, 1988.
- [3] B. van den Berg and C. Heunen. Noncommutativity as a colimit. Applied Categorical Structures, 20(4):393–414, 2012.
- B. van den Berg and C. Heunen. Extending obstructions to noncommutative functorial spectra. *Theory Appl. Categ.*, 29:No. 17, 457–474, 2014.
- [5] J. C. Cortissoz. On the Skorokhod representation theorem. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 135(12):3995–4007, 2007.
- [6] J. Dauns. Categorical W\*-tensor product. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 166:439–456, 1972.
- [7] R. Giles and H. Kummer. A non-commutative generalization of topology. Indiana University Mathematics Journal, 21(1):91–102, 1971.
- [8] P. R. Halmos. *Measure theory*. Springer, 1950.
- [9] J. Hamhalter. Isomorphisms of ordered structures of abelian C\*-algebras. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 383:391–399, 2011.
- [10] J. Hamhalter. Dye's theorem and Gleason's theorem for AW\*-algebras. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 422(2):1103–1115, 2015.
- [11] C. Heunen, N. P. Landsman, and B. Spitters. A topos for algebraic quantum theory. Communications in Mathematical Physics, 291:63–110, 2009.
- [12] C. Heunen and M. L. Reyes. Active lattices determine AW\*-algebras. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 416:289–313, 2014.
- [13] R. A. Johnson. On the Lebesgue decomposition theorem. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 18(4):628–632, 1967.
- [14] R. A. Johnson. Atomic and nonatomic measures. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 25:650–655, 1970.
- [15] J. D. Knowles. On the existence of non-atomic measures. Mathematika, 14:62–67, 1967.
- [16] A. Kornell. V\*-algebras. arXiv:1502.01516, 2015.
- [17] A. Marcus, D. A. Spielman, and N. Srivastava. Interlacing families II: mixed characteristic polynomials and the Kadison–Singer problem. arXiv:1306.3969, to appear in Annals of Mathematics, 2015.
- [18] M. L. Reyes. Obstructing extensions of the functor Spec to noncommutative rings. Israel J. Math., 192(2):667–698, 2012.
- [19] M. L. Reyes. Sheaves that fail to represent matrix rings. In *Ring theory and its applications*, volume 609 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 285–297. American Mathematical Society, 2014.
- [20] B. Tanbay. Pure state extensions and compressibility of the l<sub>1</sub>-algebra. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 113(3):707–713, 1991.

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD, WOLFSON BUILDING, PARKS ROAD, OX1 3QD, OXFORD, UK

*E-mail address*: heunen@cs.ox.ac.uk

Department of Mathematics, Bowdoin College, Brunswick, ME 04011–8486, USA E-mail address: reyes@bowdoin.edu