

**MSc in Computer Science**  
**MSc in Mathematics and the Foundations of Computer Science**  
**Michaelmas Term 2017**  
**FOUNDATIONS OF CS**

Exercise class 5

1. Let  $T$  be a Turing Machine. A *tape configuration* for  $T$  is a string describing the tape contents, head position, and control state of  $T$ : we can take this to be a string of the form  $uqw$ , where the tape content is the string  $uw$ , the control state is  $q$ , and the head is on the first symbol of  $w$ .

An *oscillating computation* of  $T$  is a sequence  $c_1\#(c_2)^R\#c_3\dots\dots c_n$ , where  $\#$  is a new symbol and  $c_1$  is the initial tape configuration of  $T$  followed by the reverse of the second configuration, and so forth up until the  $n^{\text{th}}$  configuration, which will be reversed if  $n$  is even and unreversed if  $n$  is odd.

If  $\Sigma$  is the input alphabet of  $T$ , then we let  $\Sigma_{\text{config}}$  be the alphabet for oscillating configurations of  $T$ .

Consider the language  $\text{NOTCOMPUTE}(T) = \{\omega \in \Sigma_{\text{config}}^* : \omega \text{ is not an oscillating computation of } T\}$ .

- Argue that for any TM  $T$ ,  $\text{NOTCOMPUTE}(T)$  is context-free. Your argument does not have to be completely formal.
  - A context-free language is *universal* if it contains all strings (i.e. its complement is empty). Show that the set  $\{\langle C \rangle : C \text{ is a context-free language and } C \text{ is universal}\}$  is undecidable. That is, there is no algorithm for deciding whether a context-free grammar generates all strings. You can assume the result of the problem above, if that helps you!
  - Show that the equivalence problem for context-free grammars is undecidable
2. For each of the following propositional logic formulas  $\phi$ , tell: whether  $\phi$  is a validity a contradiction, or neither a validity or a contradiction. If  $\phi$  is in the third category, give a model for  $\phi$  and a model for  $\neg\phi$ .

(a)  $q \vee \neg(p \vee \neg(p \wedge q))$

(b)  $p \vee \neg(p \wedge q)$

(c)  $(p \rightarrow q) \vee \neg(q \rightarrow p)$

(d)  $p \wedge \neg(p \vee q)$

3. Can every formula be written as a 3-CNF? If so, prove this, if not give an example (and a proof that the example cannot be so expressed).
4. (this is Sipser 7.21 page 300).

Let DOUBLE-SAT be  $\{\langle \phi \rangle \mid \phi \text{ mentions symbols } p_1 \dots p_n \text{ and has at least two worlds over } p_1 \dots p_n \text{ that satisfy it}\}$ .

Show that DOUBLE-SAT is NP-complete.

5. The exclusive-or operator  $\otimes$  works as follows. For propositional formula  $\phi = \phi' \otimes \phi''$ ,  $\phi$  evaluates to TRUE if and only if one but not both of  $\phi'$  and  $\phi''$  evaluate to TRUE.

The function  $\phi_n = x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n$  can be expressed using the operators  $\wedge, \vee, \neg$ . Prove that it's possible to write down  $\phi_n$  using these operators, so that the length of  $\phi_n$  is polynomial in  $n$ .