A Simple Implementation Technique for Priority Search Queues

RALF HINZE

September, 2001

(Pick the slides at .../~ralf/talks.html#T28.)

Aim of the talk

advertize priority search queues

describe a new implementation technique for priority search queues

promote views

Recap: views

A *view* allows any type to be viewed as a free data type. The following view (minimum view) allows any list to be viewed as an ordered list.

view $(Ord \ a) \Rightarrow [a]$	=	$Empty \mid Min a [a] \mathbf{where}$
[]	\rightarrow	Empty
$a_1: Empty$	\rightarrow	$Min a_1 []$
$a_1:Min a_2as$		
$ a_1 \leqslant a_2 $	\rightarrow	$Min \ a_1 \ (a_2:as)$
$\mid otherwise$	\rightarrow	<i>Min</i> $a_2 (a_1 : a_s)$.

A view declaration for a type T consists of an anonymous data type, the view type, and an anonymous function, the view transformation, that shows how to map elements of T to the view type.

Recap: views (continued)

The view constructors, Empty and Min, can now be used to pattern match elements of type [a] (where a is an instance of Ord).

Priority search queues: signature

Priority search queues are conceptually finite maps that support efficient access to the binding with the minimum value, where a *binding* is an argument-value pair and a *finite map* is a finite set of bindings.

A priority search queue supports priority queue operations and search tree operations (and so-called range queries).

```
data PSQ \ k \ p

-- constructors

\emptyset :: PSQ \ k \ p

\{\cdot\} :: (k, p) \rightarrow PSQ \ k \ p

insert :: (k, p) \rightarrow PSQ \ k \ p \rightarrow PSQ \ k \ p

from-ord-list :: [(k, p)] \rightarrow PSQ \ k \ p
```

Priority search queues: signature (continued)

-- destructors **view** $PSQ \ k \ p = Empty \mid Min \ (k, p) \ (PSQ \ k \ p)$ $delete :: k \rightarrow PSQ \ k \ p \rightarrow PSQ \ k \ p$ -- observers

-- modifier

 $adjust \qquad :: (p \to p) \to k \to PSQ \ k \ p \to PSQ \ k \ p$

NB. Both k and p must be instances of Ord.

Application: single-source shortest path

Dijkstra's algorithm maintains a queue that maps each vertex to its estimated distance from the source and works by repeatedly removing the vertex with minimal distance and updating the distances of its adjacent vertices.

The update operation is typically called *decrease*:

decrease	••	$(k, p) \rightarrow PSQ \ k \ p \rightarrow PSQ \ k \ p$
decrease $(k, p) q$	=	$adjust \ (min \ p) \ k \ q$
decrease-list	••	$[(k,p)] \to PSQ \ k \ p \to PSQ \ k \ p$
$decrease-list \ bs \ q$	=	$foldr \ decrease \ q \ bs.$

Application: single-source shortest path (continued)

type Weight	=	$Vertex \rightarrow Vertex \rightarrow Double$
dijkstra	••	$Graph \rightarrow Weight \rightarrow Vertex$
		$\rightarrow [(Vertex, Double)]$
dijkstra~g~w~s	=	$loop (decrease (s, 0) q_0)$
where		
q_0	=	from-ord-list $[(v, +\infty) v \leftarrow vertices g]$
loop Empty	=	[]
loop (Min (u	, d)	q)
	=	(u, d): loop (decrease-list bs q)
where bs	=	$[(v, d + w \ u \ v) \mid v \leftarrow adjacent \ g \ u]$

Implementation: tournament trees



Heaps — priority search trees



Warren 8

Semi-heaps — priority search pennants



Priority search pennants: adding split keys

If we add split keys to the tournament tree and subsequently perform the matches, we obtain the following priority search pennant.



Priority search pennants: data types

The Haskell data type for priority search pennants is a direct implementation of these ideas.

data $PSQ \ k \ p = Void$ $\mid Winner \ (k, p) \ (LTree \ k \ p) \ k$ data $LTree \ k \ p = Start$ $\mid Loser \ (k, p) \ (LTree \ k \ p) \ k \ (LTree \ k \ p)$

NB. Winner $b \ t \ m \cong Loser \ b \ t \ m \ Start$.

The maximum key is accessed using the function *max-key*.

Priority search pennants: invariants

- **Semi-heap conditions:** 1) Every priority in the pennant must be greater than or equal to the priority of the winner. 2) For all nodes in the loser tree, the priority of the loser's binding must be less than or equal to the priorities of the bindings of the subtree, from which the loser originates. The loser *originates* from the left subtree if its key is less than or equal to the split key, otherwise it originates from the right subtree.
- **Search-tree condition:** For all nodes, the keys in the left subtree must be less than or equal to the split key and the keys in the right subtree must be greater than the split key.
- **Key condition:** The maximum key and the split keys must also occur as keys of bindings.
- **Finite map condition:** The pennant must not contain two bindings with the same key.

Constructors: \emptyset and $\{\cdot\}$

- $\emptyset \qquad :: \quad PSQ \ k \ p$
- $\emptyset = Void$
- $\{\cdot\}$:: $(k,p) \to PSQ \ k \ p$
- $\{b\} = Winner \ b \ Start \ (key \ b).$

Playing a match

Precondition: the keys in the first tree are strictly smaller than the keys in the second tree.



NB. $b_1 \leq b_2$ is shorthand for *prio* $b_1 \leq prio$ b_2 .

Playing a match (continued)



Constructors: *from-ord-list*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \textit{from-ord-list} & :: & [(k,p)] \to PSQ \ k \ p \\ \textit{from-ord-list} & = & \textit{foldm} \ (\texttt{k}) \ \emptyset \cdot map \ (\lambda b \to \{b\}) \end{array}$$

NB. *foldm* folds a list in a binary-sub-division fashion.

Destructors

view $PSQ \ k \ p$	—	Empty Min (k, p) $(PSQ \ k \ p)$ where
Void	\rightarrow	Empty
$Winner \ b \ t \ m$	\rightarrow	$Min \ b \ (second-best \ t \ m)$

The function *second-best* determines the second-best player by replaying the tournament without the champion.

A second view: ps pennants as tournament trees

This view is useful for implementing the search tree operations.

$\mathbf{view} \ PSQ \ k \ p$	=	$\emptyset \mid \{(k,p)\} \mid PSQ \ k \ p \ \bigwedge PSQ \ k \ p$
where		
Void	\rightarrow	Ø
$Winner \ b \ Start \ m$	\rightarrow	$\{b\}$
Winner b (Loser b'	$t_l k$	$t_r) m$
$ key b' \leqslant k$	\rightarrow	Winner b' $t_l \ k \ \bigstar \ Winner \ b \ t_r \ m$
$\mid otherwise$	\rightarrow	Winner b $t_l \ k \uparrow Winner \ b' \ t_r \ m$

NB. We have taken the liberty of using \emptyset , $\{\cdot\}$ and $\uparrow \uparrow$ also as constructors.

Constructors: *insert*

insert	••	$(k,p) \to PSQ \ k$	$p \to PSQ \ k \ p$
insert b Ø	=	${b}$	
insert b $\{b'\}$			
$\mid key \ b < key \ b'$	=	$\{b\} \Uparrow \{b'\}$	
$\mid key \ b == key \ b'$	=	${b}$	update
$\mid key \ b > key \ b'$	=	$\{b'\} \Uparrow \{b\}$	
$insert b \left(t_l ight, t_r ight)$			
$\mid key \ b \leqslant max$ -key t_l	=	insert b $t_l \uparrow t_r$	
$\mid otherwise$	=	$t_l \uparrow insert \ b \ t_r$	

Destructors: *delete*

delete	••	$k \to PSQ \ k \ p \to PSQ \ k \ p$
$delete \ k \ {\it 0}$	=	Ø
delete $k \{b\}$		
$\mid k$ == $key b$	=	Ø
otherwise	=	$\{b\}$
$delete \ k \ (t_l \ \uparrow \ t_r)$		
$\mid k \leqslant max$ -key t_l	=	$delete kt_l \uparrow t_r$
$\mid otherwise$	—	$t_l \uparrow delete \ k \ t_r$

Adding a balancing scheme

One of the strengths of priority search pennants as compared to priority search trees is that a balancing scheme can be easily added.

Most balancing schemes use rotations to restore balancing invariants. However, rotations do not preserve the semi-heap property:



Single rotation



Single rotation (continued)





Summary

Priority search queues are a versatile ADT.

They can be easily implemented by priority search pennants—using an arbitrary balancing scheme.

Views were very helpful:

- they provide a convenient interface to the ADT and
- they enhance both the readability and the modularity of the code.

Appendix

foldm	••	$(a \rightarrow a \rightarrow a) \rightarrow a \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow a$
$foldm \ (*) \ e \ as$		
$\mid null \; as$	=	e
otherwise	=	$fst \ (rec \ (length \ as) \ as)$
where $rec \ 1 \ (a : as)$	=	(a, as)
rec n as	=	$(a_1 * a_2, as_2)$
where m	=	n ' div ' 2
(a_1, as_1)	=	rec (n-m) as
(a_2, as_2)	=	$rec m as_1$