

LAST TIME :

• Definition of Weak Learning.

• ADABOOST: Training: $\{(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_m, y_m)\}$.

$$D_1(i) = 1/m$$

For $t = 1, \dots, T$,

• Use WL under D_t to get h_t

• Let $\underline{\varepsilon}_t = \mathbb{P}_{x \sim D_t} [h_t(x) \neq y_t]$; let $\gamma_t = 1/2 - \varepsilon_t \parallel \gamma_t \geq \gamma$ ($0, \varepsilon_t \leq 1/2 - \gamma$)

• Choose $\underline{\alpha}_t = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{1 - \varepsilon_t}{\varepsilon_t} \right)$

• $D_{t+1}(i) = D_t(i) e^{-\alpha_t y_t h_t(x_i)} / Z_{t+1}$.

Output: $H = \text{sign} \left(\sum_{t=1}^T \alpha_t h_t(\cdot) \right)$ as classifier.

Theorem: Provided $T \geq \frac{1}{2\gamma^2} \log(2m)$, then training error of H on the sample is 0.

Suppose that WL (weak learning algorithm) always outputs a hypothesis from some class H , whose VC-dimension is d .

$$TH_{n,T} = \left\{ \text{sign} \left(\sum_{i=1}^T \alpha_i h_i(\cdot) \right) \mid h_i \in H, \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

What is $VC(D(TH_{n,T}))$?

(Exercise): $VC(D(TH_{n,T})) \leq c \cdot T \cdot d \log T$.

(Compute the growth function of the composition).

Provided $\underline{m} \geq \frac{k_0}{\varepsilon} \left(\log \frac{1}{\delta} + c \cdot T \cdot d \log T \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right)$ then the hypothesis output by AdaBoost has error $\leq \varepsilon$ w.p. $\geq 1 - \delta$.

[Appealing to VC Theorem].

$$\underline{m} \geq \left(\frac{k_0}{\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{1}{2\gamma^2} \log(2m) d \log \left(\frac{1}{2\gamma^2} \log(2m) d \right) \right) + \frac{k_0}{\varepsilon} \log \frac{1}{\delta}$$

$$m/2 \geq a \cdot \log(bm)$$

$$f(x) = x - a \log bx \geq 0 \quad x \geq c \cdot a \log a.$$

PAC Learning :

- Several concept classes that are PAC learnable.
 - CONJUNCTIONS, HALFSPACES, 3-~~C~~DNF, ...
- Occam's Razor / VC Theory
 - Consistent Learning $\Rightarrow$ PAC learning
 - (Settles information-theoretic/statistical complexity of learning).
- Weak & strong learning are equivalent.
- Are there hard learning problems for computational reasons?
 - If $NP \neq RP$, then the class 3-term DNF is not (properly) PAC-learnable using 3-term DNF.
- Is there a concept class that is hard to learn even improperly (algorithm can output a hypothesis from a larger class.).

If a certain assumption related to RSA holds, then
then there exists C that is not efficiently PAC-learnable.

Let p, q be two large primes, both of them 2048 bits long, and of the form $3k+2$.

Let $N = pq$ [Factoring N is believed to be hard.]

(All arithmetic is mod N).

$\varphi(N) = (p-1)(q-1)$ (Euler's totient function).

$$\mathbb{Z}_N^* = \{i \mid 0 < i < N, \gcd(i, N) = 1\}, |\mathbb{Z}_N^*| = \varphi(N).$$

(Group under multiplication mod N).

We know that $3 \nmid \varphi(N)$.

Let $f_N : \mathbb{Z}_N^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_N^*, f_N(y) = y^3 \pmod{N}$.

Claim: f_N is a bijection.

Proof: Since $3 \nmid \varphi(N)$, $\gcd(3, \varphi(N)) = 1$

$$\exists d \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ s.t. } 3d \equiv 1 \pmod{\varphi(N)}.$$

$$\boxed{\gcd(a, b) = g; \exists m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, g = ma + nb.}$$

$$3d = 1 + d'\varphi(N)$$

$$(f_N(y))^d \equiv (y^3)^d \equiv y^{3d} \equiv y^{1+d'\varphi(N)} \equiv y \pmod{N}$$

$$f_N^{-1} : \mathbb{Z}_N^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_N^*, f_N^{-1}(x) \equiv x^d \pmod{N}$$

f_N is easy to compute using N . $\rightarrow$ [PUBLIC KEY]

f_N^{-1} is hard to compute without knowing $d \rightarrow$ [PRIVATE KEY]

Discrete Cuberoot Problem: Two primes of the form $(3k+2)$, p & q are chosen, $N = p \cdot q$, $\varphi(N) = (p-1)(q-1)$, $3 \nmid \varphi(N)$. Given N and $x \in \mathbb{Z}_N^*$ as input, output y s.t. $y^3 \equiv x \pmod{N}$.

(Easy to solve if we can factor N)

DCRA Assumption :

For every polynomial $r(\cdot)$, $\nexists$ algorithm that runs in time $r(n)$ and that on input N & x , where N is as defined above, and $x \in \mathbb{Z}_N^*$ at random and $n = \# \text{bits in } N$ outputs y s.t. w.p. $\geq \frac{1}{r(n)}$, y satisfies $y^3 \equiv x \pmod{N}$.

The probability is over random draws of p, q, x and any internal randomization of the algorithm.

Given $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_m, y_m)$, $x_i, y_i \in \mathbb{Z}_N^*$,
 $x_i \sim \text{Unif}(\mathbb{Z}_N^*)$ and y_i s.t. $y_i^3 \equiv x_i \pmod{N}$.

Can we output $h: \mathbb{Z}_N^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_N^*$ s.t. w.p. $\geq 1 - \delta$

$$\mathbb{P}_{x \sim \text{Unif}(\mathbb{Z}_N^*)} [h(x) \neq y, \text{ where } y^3 \equiv x \pmod{N}] \leq \epsilon.$$

y is also uniform on $\mathbb{Z}_N^*$, as f_N is a bijection.

Generating data is easy; Pick $y_i \sim \text{Unif}(\mathbb{Z}_N^*)$, $x_i \equiv y_i^3 \pmod{N}$.

$$f_N^{-1}: \mathbb{Z}_N^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_N^*, \quad f_N^{-1}(x) \equiv y \quad \text{s.t. } y^3 \equiv x \pmod{N}.$$

$n = \# \text{bits in } N$.

$$f_{N,i}^{-1}: \mathbb{Z}_N^* \rightarrow \{0,1\} \quad i^{\text{th}} \text{ bit of } y$$

$\{f_{N,1}^{-1}, \dots, f_{N,n}^{-1}\} \leftarrow$ at least one of these functions must be hard to learn.

Otherwise set $\epsilon = \frac{1}{n^2}$, $\delta = \frac{1}{n^2}$.

Suppose we learn $h_1, \dots, h_n$, w.p. $\geq 1 - n\delta \geq 1 - \frac{1}{n}$
all h_i satisfy $\text{err}(h_i) \leq \frac{1}{n^2}$

For x is picked at random, w.p. $\geq 1 - \frac{1}{n}$,

$$h_1(x) h_2(x) \dots h_n(x) \equiv y, \quad \text{s.t. } y^3 \equiv x \pmod{N}.$$

$$f_N^{-1} \leftarrow d$$

Turing Machine: $M(x, d) \rightarrow y$ in polynomial time
 st. $y^3 \equiv x \pmod{N}$



$\exists$ poly-nomial-size circuit
 that computes $\underline{f_N^{-1}(x)}$

Polynomial-size circuit.

