

## LAST TIME

Noisy example oracle  $\text{Ex}^{\eta}(c, D)$ :

- Draw  $x \sim D$ ; Output  $(x, c(x))$  with probability  $1-\eta$ ;
- $(x, 1-c(x))$  with probability  $\eta$ .

PAC+RCN: (Replace  $\text{Ex}(c, D)$  with  $\text{Ex}^{\eta}(c, D)$ ; allow dependence on  $\frac{1}{1-2\eta}$ , where  $0 \leq \eta \leq \gamma_0 < \frac{1}{2}$ ).

Statistical Query Oracle:  $\text{STAT}(c, D)$ :

On query  $(x, \varepsilon)$ , where  $x: X \times \{0, 1\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  and  $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$ ,  
outputs  $\hat{v}$ , s.t.  $|\hat{v} - \underset{x \sim D}{\mathbb{E}}[x(c(x, \varepsilon))]| \leq \varepsilon$

SQ Learning: Access to  $\text{STAT}(c, D)$  rather than  $\text{Ex}(c, D)$ .

(Efficient:  $X$  polytime evaluable,  $\frac{1}{\varepsilon}$  polynomially bounded)

Theorem: If  $C$  is efficiently SQ learnable, then  $C$  is PAC-learnable  
in the presence of RCN.

Proof: Access to  $\text{Ex}^{\eta}(c, D)$  is sufficient to simulate  $\text{STAT}(c, D)$   
with low failure probability.

Let us treat both the target  $c: X \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  and

also  $x: X \times \{-1, 1\} \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ .

$$\begin{array}{ll} 1 \rightarrow -1 & x \mapsto c(-x) \\ 0 \rightarrow 1 & x \mapsto 2x - 1. \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \underset{x \sim D}{\mathbb{E}}[x(c(x, \varepsilon))] &= \underset{x \sim D}{\mathbb{E}}[x(x, 1) \cdot \mathbb{1}(c(x)=1)] + \underset{x \sim D}{\mathbb{E}}[x(x, -1) \cdot \mathbb{1}(c(x)=-1)] \\ &= \underset{x \sim D}{\mathbb{E}}[x(x, 1) \cdot \left(\frac{1+c(x)}{2}\right)] + \underset{x \sim D}{\mathbb{E}}[x(x, -1) \cdot \left(\frac{1-c(x)}{2}\right)] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \underset{x \sim D}{\mathbb{E}}[x(x, 1)] + \frac{1}{2} \underset{x \sim D}{\mathbb{E}}[x(x, -1)] + \frac{1}{2} \underset{x \sim D}{\mathbb{E}}[x(x, 1)c(x)] - \frac{1}{2} \underset{x \sim D}{\mathbb{E}}[x(x, -1)c(x)] \end{aligned}$$

target-independent      correlational.

$$\begin{cases} 1(A) = 1 & \text{if } A \text{ is true} \\ = 0 & \text{or} \cup \end{cases}$$

Look at a model which allows two types of queries

- distribution-related (target-independent):  $\psi: X \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ , outputs:  $\hat{v} \in (\underset{x \sim D}{\mathbb{E}}[\psi(x)] \pm \varepsilon)$
- correlational:  $\varphi: X \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  outputs  $\hat{v} \in (\underset{x \sim D}{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(x)c(x)] \pm \varepsilon)$ .

Distribution-related / or target-independent queries can be easily simulated.

$$(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_s, y_s) \sim \text{Ex}^{\eta}(c, D), \text{ output} \left( \frac{1}{s} \sum_{i=1}^s \psi(x_i) \right); \quad s = O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \log \frac{1}{\delta}\right)$$

To simulate correlational queries.

$\text{Ex}^\eta$  can be thought of as follows. |  $c(x) \in \{-1, 1\}$

$$(x, c(x)) \sim \text{Ex}^{(c, D)}$$

$$Z \sim \{-1, 1\}, \text{ s.t. } P(Z = -1) = \eta \quad | \quad \mathbb{E}[Z] = 1 - 2\eta$$

Outputs  $(x, c(x)Z)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\substack{(x,y) \sim \text{Ex}^\eta(c,D)}} [\varphi(x)y] &= \mathbb{E}_{\substack{(x,c(x)) \sim \text{Ex}^{(c,D)}}} \mathbb{E}_Z [\varphi(x) \cdot c(x) \cdot Z] \\ &= \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{x \sim D} \cdot \mathbb{E}_Z [\varphi(x) \cdot c(x) \cdot Z]}_{\text{can estimate}} = \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{x \sim D} [\varphi(x)c(x)] \cdot (1-2\eta)}_{\text{wanted to estimate}}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose we know  $\hat{\eta}$ , s.t.  $|\hat{\eta} - \eta| < \Delta$ .

Let  $\tilde{\eta}$  be such that  $|\hat{\eta} - \mathbb{E}_{\substack{(x,y) \sim \text{Ex}^\eta(c,D)}} [\varphi(x)y]| \leq \tau'$

$$\vartheta^* = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim D} [\varphi(x)c(x)]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\hat{\vartheta}}{1-2\hat{\eta}} - \vartheta^* \right| &\leq \frac{1}{1-2\hat{\eta}} \cdot |\hat{\vartheta} - ((1-2\hat{\eta})\vartheta^*)| \\ &\leq \frac{c \cdot \Delta}{1-2\hat{\eta}} \cdot |\hat{\vartheta} - ((1-2\hat{\eta})\vartheta^*) + c \cdot \Delta| \\ &\leq \underbrace{\frac{c \cdot \Delta}{1-2\hat{\eta}} \cdot \tau'}_{\Delta \text{ and } \tau' \text{ appropriately.}} + \frac{c \Delta^2}{1-2\hat{\eta}} \leq \tau \quad \text{by choosing} \end{aligned}$$

Estimating  $\hat{\vartheta}$  can be done using  $O(\frac{1}{\tau'} \log \frac{1}{\delta})$  samples f Chernoff bounds.

$\left\{ i\Delta \mid 0 \leq i \leq \left\lceil \frac{\eta_0}{\Delta} \right\rceil \right\} \leftarrow$  Try all values of  $\hat{\eta}$  in that range.

$h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{\lceil \frac{\eta_0}{\Delta} \rceil} \leftarrow$  We know that at least one has error at most  $\delta/100$

$$\text{err}(h) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim D} [1(h(x) \neq c(x))] = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim D} [h(x)c(x)]. \quad \frac{1 - h(x)c(x)}{2}$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{\substack{(x,y) \sim \text{Ex}^\eta(c,D)}} [h(x)y] = (1-2\eta) \cdot \mathbb{E}_{x \sim D} [h(x)c(x)].$$

Theorem: If  $X = \{-1, 1\}^n$  and if  $U$  is the uniform distribution over  $X$ , then any SQ learning algorithm which makes queries with  $\tau \geq \tau_{\min}$ , must make at least  $\Omega(\underline{\tau_{\min}^2} 2^n)$  queries to  $\text{STAT}(C, D)$  when learning PARITIES.

$$S \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}, \quad f_S(x) = \prod_{i \in S} x_i = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if odd } \#x_i \text{ in } S \text{ are } -1 \\ +1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{PARITIES} = \left\{ f_S \mid S \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\} \right\}, \quad |\text{PARITIES}| = 2^n.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim U} [f_S \cdot f_T] &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim U} \left[ \prod_{i \in S} x_i \cdot \prod_{i \in T} x_i \right] = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim U} \left[ \prod_{i \in S \cup T} x_i \right] \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } S \neq T \\ 1 & \text{if } S = T. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Functions from  $\{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

and use the inner product  $\langle f, g \rangle = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim U} [f(x)g(x)]$

then PARITIES is an orthonormal basis of this vector space

$$\text{Let } \varphi: \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}, \quad \mathbb{E}_{x \sim U} [\varphi(x)^2] = 1$$

$$\varphi = \sum_S \alpha_S f_S \quad \text{where} \quad \alpha_S = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim U} [\varphi(x) f_S(x)].$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{x \sim U} [\varphi(x)^2] = \sum_S \alpha_S^2. \quad (\text{Parseval's Identity}).$$

There can be at most  $\frac{1}{\tau^2} \leq S$ , such that  $|\alpha_S| \geq \tau$ .

Always answer 0 to any query made by the algorithm.

Doesn't cause a problem until you make  $\tau^2 \cdot 2^n$  queries.

$$\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_k$$

$$\text{As long as } \frac{k}{\tau^2} < 2^n - 2$$

Focus only on  
correlation queries.

As long as there exist  $s \neq s'$  s.t. 0 was a valid answer for all queries if either of them was the target, then the algorithm can't succeed.

Because  $\underline{\text{err}(h; f_s)} + \underline{\text{err}(h; f_{s'})} \geq \text{err}(f_s; f_{s'}) = \frac{1}{2}$

where  $h$  is the hypothesis output by the algorithm.

$$\text{err}(f_s; f_{s'}) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim U} [f_s(x) f_{s'}(x)]$$

Remark: Target independent queries can be exactly computed.

$\mathbb{E}_{x \sim U} [\varphi(x)] \leftarrow$  can be computed using brute force if needed.

PARITIES with NOISE: Conjectured to be "hard"  
(in a computational sense).

Without computational considerations

picking  $m = \Theta\left(\frac{n \log \frac{1}{\delta}}{\epsilon^2}\right)$  examples from  $\mathcal{X}^{(c, d)}$

and finding the parity function that makes fewest errors  
is sufficient.

Current best algorithm require  $\underbrace{2}_{n \log n}$  time and examples.  
slightly better than  $2^n$ .