Machine learning - HT 2016 10. Clustering

Varun Kanade

University of Oxford March 4, 2016

Announcements

- Practical Next Week No submission
- Final Exam: Pick up on Monday
- Material covered next week is not required for exam
- Will shut down Piazza on Sunday

Outline

Today we will see some approaches to clustering

- Defining clustering objective
- k-Means for clustering
- Hierarchical Clustering
- Spectral Clustering

Clustering

Often data can be grouped together into subsets that are coherent. However, this grouping may be subjective. It is hard to define a general framework.

Two types of clustering algorithms

- 1. Feature-based Points are represented as vectors in \mathbb{R}^n
- 2. (Dis)similarity-based Only know pairwise (dis)similarities

Two types of clustering methods

- 1. Flat Partition the data into k clusters
- 2. Hierarchical Organise data as clusters, clusters of clusters, and so on

Defining Dissimilarity

Weighted distance between (real-valued) attributes

$$D(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i d_i(x_i, x'_i)\right)$$

- ▶ In the simplest setting $w_i = 1$ and $d_i(x_i, x_i') = (x_i x_i')^2$ and $f(z) = \sqrt{z}$
- Weights allow us to emphasise different variables differently
- ► If features are ordinal or categorical then define distance suitably
- Standardisation (mean 0, variance 1) may or may not help

Helpful Standardisation



Unhelpful Standardisation



Partition Based Clustering : k-Means

Want to partition the data into subsets C_1, \ldots, C_k , where k is fixed in advance

Define quality of a partition by

$$W(C) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{i,i' \in C_j} d(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_{i'})$$

If we use $d(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}') = \|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'\|^2$, then

$$W(C) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{i \in C_j} \|\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_j\|^2$$

where $oldsymbol{\mu}_j = rac{1}{|C_j|} \sum_{i \in C_j} \mathbf{x}_i$

The objective is minimising the sum of squares of distances to the mean within each cluster

k-Means Objective

Minimise jointly over partitions C_1, \ldots, C_k and μ_1, \ldots, μ_k

$$W(C) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{i \in C_j} \|\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_j\|^2$$

This problem is NP-hard even for k = 2 for points in \mathbb{R}^n

If we fix μ_1, \ldots, μ_j , finding a partition $(C_j)_{j=1}^k$ that minimises W is easy

$$C_{j} = \{i \mid \|\mathbf{x}_{i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{j}\| = \min_{j'} \|\mathbf{x}_{i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{j'}\|\}$$

If we fix the clusters C_1, \ldots, C_k minimising W with respect to $(\mu_j)_{j=1}^k$ is easy

$$oldsymbol{\mu}_j = rac{1}{|C_j|} \sum_{i \in C_j} \mathbf{x}_i$$

Iteratively run these two steps - assignment and update













Jrue clusters

Recovered by k-means

Does the algorithm always converge?

Yes, because the W function decreases every time a new partition is used; there are only finitely many partitions

Convergence may be very slow in the worst-case, but typically fast on real-world instances

Convergence is probably to a local minimum

Run multiple times with random initialisation

Can use other criteria: k-medoids, k-centres, etc.

Selecting the right k is not easy: plot W against k and identify a "kink"





Clustering

US election 2016: Mitt Romney warns Trump not fit to run country Paper that says human hand was 'designed by Creator' sparks concern Mystery of cosmic radio bursts grows even more intriguing Lionel Messi combines with Neymar to score vs. Rayo

Hierarchical Clustering

Hierarchical structured data exists all around us

- Measurements of different species and individuals within species
- Top-level and low-level categories in news articles
- Country, county, town level data

Two General Strategies

- Agglomerative: Bottom-up, clusters formed by merging smaller clusters
- Divisive: Top-down, clusters formed by splitting larger clusters

Visualise this as a dendogram or tree

Measuring Dissimilarity at Cluster Level

To find hierarchical clusters we need to define dissimilarity at cluster level, not just at datapoints

Suppose we have dissimilarity at datapoint level, *e.g.*, $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'\|$

Few different proposals at cluster level, say C and C^\prime

Single Linkage

$$D(C, C') = \min_{\mathbf{x} \in C, \mathbf{x}' \in C'} d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$$

Complete Linkage

$$D(C, C') = \max_{\mathbf{x} \in C, \mathbf{x}' \in C'} d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$$

Average Linkage

$$D(C,C') = \frac{1}{|C| \cdot |C'|} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in C, \mathbf{x}' \in C'} d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$$

Measuring Dissimilarity at Cluster Level

Single Linkage

$$D(C, C') = \min_{\mathbf{x} \in C, \mathbf{x}' \in C'} d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$$



Complete Linkage

$$D(C, C') = \max_{\mathbf{x} \in C, \mathbf{x}' \in C'} d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$$



Average Linkage

$$D(C,C') = \frac{1}{|C| \cdot |C'|} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in C, \mathbf{x}' \in C'} d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$$



Agglomerative Clustering Algorithm

- 1. Initialise clusters as singletons $C_i = \{i\}$
- 2. Initialise clusters available for merging $S = \{1, \dots, m\}$
- 3. Repeat
 - a. Pick 2 most similar clusters, $(j, k) = \underset{\substack{i,k \in S}}{\operatorname{argmin}} D(j, k)$
 - **b.** Let $C_l = C_j \cup C_k$
 - c. If $C_l = \{1, \ldots, m\}$, break;
 - d. Set $S = (S \setminus \{j, k\}) \cup \{l\}$
 - e. Update D(i, l) for all $i \in S$

Dendogram

Binary tree, representing clusters as they were merged

The height of a node represents dissimilarity

Cutting the dendogram at some level gives a partition of data









k-means will typically form clusters that are spherical, elliptical, convex Kernel PCA followed by k-means

Spectral clustering is a (related) alternative that often works better

Construct a graph from data; one node for every point in dataset

Use similarity measure, *e.g.*, $s_{i,j} = \exp(-\|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j\|^2 / \sigma)$

Construct mutual K-nearest neighbour graph, *i.e.*, (i, j) is an edge if either i is among the K nearest neighbours of j or vice versa

The weight of edge (i, j), if it exists is $s_{i,j}$







Use graph partitioning algorithms

Mincut can give bad cuts (only one node on one side of the cut)

Multi-way cuts, balanced cuts, are typically NP-hard to compute

Relaxations of these problems give eigenvectors of Laplacian

 ${f W}$ is the weighted adjacency matrix

 \mathbf{D} is (diagonal) degree matrix: $D_{ii} = \sum_{j} W_{ij}$

 ${\sf Laplacian}\ {\bf L}={\bf D}-{\bf W}$

Normalised Laplacian: $\tilde{\mathbf{L}} = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{D}^{-1} \mathbf{W}$

Let us study the eigenvectors of the Laplacian $\mathbf{L}=\mathbf{D}-\mathbf{W}$ The all ones vector 1 is an eigenvector of L with eigenvalue O. Suppose G is disconnected with preces G1, G2. Let V be a vector that is orthogonal to 1 with values & for all nodes in G1 & p for all nodes in G2. then V is also an eigenvector with eigenvalue O. Thus V reveals the partition of G. Spectral Christening: Consider Vin-, VK the eigenvectored corresponding to the lowest eigenvalues (taking multiplicity into account) but excluding II. Use this as a feature map to perform dustering, say e.g. vinny k-means Remark : Using I avoids high-degree usdes having undue influence.



Clustering is grouping together similar data in a larger collection of heterogeneous data

Definition of good clusters often user-dependent

Clustering algorithms in feature space, e.g., k-Means

Clustering algorithms that only use (dis)similarities: *k*-Medoids, hierarchical clustering

Spectral clustering when clusters may be non-convex

Next Time

Reinforcement Learning