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## Outline

Goals

- Review the supervised learning setting
- Describe the linear regression framework
- Apply the linear model to make predictions
- Derive the least squares estimate

Supervised Learning Setting

- Data consists of input and output pairs
- Inputs (also covariates, independent variables, predictors, features)
- Output (also variates, dependent variable, targets, labels)


## Why study linear regression?

- Least squares is at least 200 years old going back to Legendre and Gauss
- Francis Galton (1886): "Regression to the mean"
- Often real processes can be approximated by linear models
- More complex models require understanding linear regression
- Closed form analytic solutions can be obtained
- Many key notions of machine learning can be introduced


## A toy example : Commute Times

Want to predict commute time into city centre What variables would be useful?

- Distance to city centre
- Day of the week

Data

| dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | day | commute time (min) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.7 | fri | 25 |
| 4.1 | mon | 33 |
| 1.0 | sun | 15 |
| 5.2 | tue | 45 |
| 2.8 | sat | 22 |



## Linear Models

Suppose the input is a vector $\mathrm{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{D}$ and the output is $y \in \mathbb{R}$.
We have data $\left\langle\mathbf{x}_{i}, y_{i}\right\rangle_{i=1}^{N}$
Notation: data dimension $D$, size of dataset $N$, column vectors


## Linear Models : Commute Time

| Linear Model |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $y=w_{0}+x_{1} w_{1}+\cdots+x_{D} w_{D}+\epsilon$ |  |
| Bias/intercept |  |
| Noise/uncertainty |  |

Input encoding: mon-sun has to be converted to a number

- monday: 0, tuesday: 1, . . . , sunday: 6
- 0 if weekend, 1 if weekday

Using $0-6$ is a bad encoding. Use seven 0-1 features instead called one-hot encoding

Say $x_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$ (distance) and $x_{2} \in\{0,1\}$ (weekend/weekday)

Linear model for commute time

$$
y=w_{0}+w_{1} x_{1}+w_{2} x_{2}+\epsilon
$$

## Linear Model : Adding a feature for bias term

| dist | day | commute time |  |  |  | day | commute time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $y$ | $\Leftrightarrow$ | $x_{0}$ | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $y$ |
| 2.7 | fri | 25 |  | 1 | 2.7 | fri | 25 |
| 4.1 | mon | 33 |  | 1 | 4.1 | mon | 33 |
| 1.0 | sun | 15 |  | 1 | 1.0 | sun | 15 |
| 5.2 | tue | 45 |  | 1 | 5.2 | tue | 45 |
| 2.8 | sat | 22 |  | 1 | 2.8 | sat | 22 |


| Model |
| :--- |
| $y=w_{0}+w_{1} x_{1}+w_{2} x_{2}+\epsilon$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Model } \\
& \begin{aligned}
y & =w_{0} x_{0}+w_{1} x_{1}+w_{2} x_{2}+\epsilon \\
& =\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}+\epsilon
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Learning Linear Models

Data: $\left\langle\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, y_{i}\right)\right\rangle_{i=1}^{N}$, where $\mathbf{x}_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{D}$ and $y_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$
Model parameter $\mathbf{w}$, where $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^{D}$

Training phase: (learning/estimation w from data)


Testing/Deployment phase: (predict $\widehat{y}_{\text {new }}=\mathbf{x}_{\text {new }} \cdot \mathbf{w}$ )

- How different is $\widehat{y}_{\text {new }}$ from $y_{\text {new }}$ (actual observation)?
- We should keep some data aside for testing before deploying a model
$\left\langle\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)\right\rangle_{i=1}^{N}$, where $x_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $y_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$
$\widehat{y}(x)=w_{0}+x \cdot w_{1}, \quad($ no noise term in $\widehat{y})$
$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w})=\mathcal{L}\left(w_{0}, w_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{2 N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\widehat{y_{i}}-y_{i}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{2 N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(w_{0}+x_{i} \cdot w_{1}-y_{i}\right)^{2}$
Predict commute time using only distance


This objective is known as the residual sum of squares or (RSS)

The estimate $\left(w_{0}, w_{1}\right)$ is known as the least squares estimate
$\left\langle\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)\right\rangle_{i=1}^{N}$, where $x_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $y_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$
$\widehat{y}(x)=w_{0}+x \cdot w_{1}, \quad($ no noise term in $\widehat{y})$

$$
\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w})=\mathcal{L}\left(w_{0}, w_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{2 N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\widehat{y_{i}}-y_{i}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{2 N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(w_{0}+x_{i} \cdot w_{1}-y_{i}\right)^{2}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial w_{0}}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(w_{0}+w_{1} \cdot x_{i}-y_{i}\right) \\
& \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial w_{1}}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(w_{0}+w_{1} \cdot x_{i}-y_{i}\right) x_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

We obtain the solution for $\left(w_{0}, w_{1}\right)$ by setting the partial derivatives to 0 and solving the resulting system. (Normal Equations)

$$
\begin{align*}
w_{0}+w_{1} \cdot \frac{\sum_{i} x_{i}}{N} & =\frac{\sum_{i} y_{i}}{N}  \tag{1}\\
w_{0} \cdot \frac{\sum_{i} x_{i}}{N}+w_{1} \cdot \frac{\sum_{i} x_{i}^{2}}{N} & =\frac{\sum_{i} x_{i} y_{i}}{N} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{x} & =\frac{\sum_{i} x_{i}}{N} \\
\bar{y} & =\frac{\sum_{i} y_{i}}{N} \\
\widehat{\operatorname{var}}(x) & =\frac{\sum_{i} x_{i}^{2}}{N}-\bar{x}^{2} \\
\widehat{\operatorname{cov}}(x, y) & =\frac{\sum_{i} x_{i} y_{i}}{N}-\bar{x} \cdot \bar{y} \\
w_{1} & =\frac{\widehat{\operatorname{cov}}(x, y)}{\widehat{\operatorname{var}}(x)} \\
w_{0} & =\bar{y}-w_{1} \cdot \bar{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Linear Regression : General Case

Recall that the linear model is

$$
\widehat{y}_{i}=\sum_{j=0}^{D} x_{i j} w_{j}
$$

where we assume that $x_{i 0}=1$ for all $\mathbf{x}_{i}$, so that the bias term $w_{0}$ does not need to be treated separately.

Expressing everything in matrix notation

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{y}}=\mathrm{Xw}
$$

Here we have $\widehat{\mathbf{y}} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times 1}, \mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times(D+1)}$ and $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^{(D+1) \times 1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widehat{\mathbf{y}}_{N \times 1} \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{c}
\widehat{y}_{1} \\
\widehat{y}_{2} \\
\vdots \\
\widehat{y}_{N}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{x}_{N \times(D+1)} \mathbf{x}_{1}^{\top} \\
\mathbf{x}_{2}^{\top} \\
\vdots \\
\mathbf{x}_{N}^{\top}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
w_{0}^{\top} \\
\vdots \\
w_{D}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
x_{10} & \cdots & x_{1 D} \\
x_{20} & \cdots & x_{2 D} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
x_{N 0} & \cdots & x_{N D}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
w_{0} \\
\vdots \\
w_{D}
\end{array}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Back to toy example

| one | dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | weekday? | commute time (min) |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | 2.7 | 1 (fri) | 25 |
| 1 | 4.1 | 1 (mon) | 33 |
| 1 | 1.0 | 0 (sun) | 15 |
| 1 | 5.2 | 1 (tue) | 45 |
| 1 | 2.8 | 0 (sat) | 22 |

We have $N=5, D+1=3$ and so we get

$$
\mathbf{y}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
25 \\
33 \\
15 \\
45 \\
22
\end{array}\right], \mathbf{X}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 2.7 & 1 \\
1 & 4.1 & 1 \\
1 & 1.0 & 0 \\
1 & 5.2 & 1 \\
1 & 2.8 & 0
\end{array}\right], \mathbf{w}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
w_{0} \\
w_{1} \\
w_{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Suppose we get $\mathbf{w}=[6.09,6.53,2.11]^{\top}$. Then our predictions would be

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{y}}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
25.83 \\
34.97 \\
12.62 \\
42.16 \\
24.37
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Least Squares Estimate : Minimise the Squared Error

$$
\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w})=\frac{1}{2 N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{\top} \mathbf{w}-y_{i}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{2 N}(\mathbf{X} \mathbf{w}-\mathbf{y})^{\top}(\mathbf{X} \mathbf{w}-\mathbf{y})
$$



## Finding Optimal Solutions using Calculus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}) & =\frac{1}{2 N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{\top} \mathbf{w}-y_{i}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{2 N}(\mathbf{X} \mathbf{w}-\mathbf{y})^{\top}(\mathbf{X} \mathbf{w}-\mathbf{y}) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 N}\left(\mathbf{w}^{\top}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{X}\right) \mathbf{w}-\mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{y}-\mathbf{y}^{\top} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{w}+\mathbf{y}^{\top} \mathbf{y}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 N}\left(\mathbf{w}^{\top}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{X}\right) \mathbf{w}-2 \cdot \mathbf{y}^{\top} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{w}+\mathbf{y}^{\top} \mathbf{y}\right) \\
& =\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, write out all partial derivatives to form the gradient $\nabla_{\mathbf{w}} \mathcal{L}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial w_{0}}=\cdots \\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial w_{1}}=\cdots \\
\vdots \\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial w_{D}}=\cdots
\end{gathered}
$$

Instead, we will use matrix calculus shortcuts to differentiate using matrix notation directly

## Differentiating Matrix Expressions

Rules (Tricks)
(i) Linear Form Expressions: $\nabla_{\mathbf{w}}\left(\mathbf{c}^{\top} \mathbf{w}\right)=\mathbf{c}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{c}^{\top} \mathbf{w}=\sum_{j=0}^{D} c_{j} w_{j} \\
& \frac{\partial\left(\mathbf{c}^{\top} \mathbf{w}\right)}{\partial w_{j}}=c_{j}, \quad \text { and so } \quad \nabla_{\mathbf{w}}\left(\mathbf{c}^{\top} \mathbf{w}\right)=\mathbf{c} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

(ii) Quadratic Form Expressions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla_{\mathbf{w}}\left(\mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{w}\right)=\mathbf{A} \mathbf{w}+\mathbf{A}^{\top} \mathbf{w} \quad(=2 \mathbf{A} \mathbf{w} \text { for symmetric } \mathbf{A}) \\
& \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{w}=\sum_{i=0}^{D} \sum_{j=0}^{D} w_{i} w_{j} A_{i j} \\
& \frac{\partial\left(\mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{w}\right)}{\partial w_{k}}=\sum_{i=0}^{D} w_{i} A_{i k}+\sum_{j=0}^{D} A_{k j} w_{j}=\mathbf{A}_{[:, k]}^{\top} \mathbf{w}+\mathbf{A}_{[k,:]} \mathbf{w} \\
& \nabla_{\mathbf{w}}\left(\mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{w}\right)=\mathbf{A}^{\top} \mathbf{w}+\mathbf{A} \mathbf{w} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

## Deriving the Least Squares Estimate

$$
\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w})=\frac{1}{2 N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{\top} \mathbf{w}-y_{i}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{2 N}\left(\mathbf{w}^{\top}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{X}\right) \mathbf{w}-2 \cdot \mathbf{y}^{\top} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{w}+\mathbf{y}^{\top} \mathbf{y}\right)
$$

We compute the gradient $\nabla_{\mathbf{w}} \mathcal{L}=\mathbf{0}$ using the matrix differentiation rules,

$$
\nabla_{\mathbf{w}} \mathcal{L}=\frac{1}{N}\left(\left(\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{X}\right) \mathbf{w}-\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{y}\right)
$$

By setting $\nabla_{\mathbf{w}} \mathcal{L}=\mathbf{0}$ and solving we get,

$$
\left(X^{\top} \mathbf{X}\right) w=X^{\top} \mathbf{y}
$$

$$
\mathbf{w}=\left(\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{y} \quad \text { (Assuming inverse exists) }
$$

The predictions made by the model on the data $\mathbf{X}$ are given by

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{y}}=\mathbf{X w}=\mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{y}
$$

For this reason the matrix $\mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\top}$ is called the "hat" matrix

## Least Squares Estimate

$$
\mathbf{w}=\left(\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{y}
$$

- When do we expect $\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{X}$ to be invertible?
$\operatorname{rank}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{X}\right)=\operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{X}) \leq \min \{D+1, N\}$
As $\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{X}$ is $D+1 \times D+1$, invertible is $\operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{X})=D+1$
- What if we use one-hot encoding for a feature like day?

Suppose $x_{\text {mon }}, \ldots, x_{\text {sun }}$ stand for $0-1$ valued variables in the one-hot encoding
We always have $x_{\text {mon }}+\cdots+x_{\text {sun }}=1$
This introduces a linear dependence in the columns of $\mathbf{X}$ reducing the rank
In this case, we can drop some features to adjust rank. We'll see alternative approaches later in the course.

- What is the computational complexity of computing w ?

Relatively easy to get $O\left(D^{2} N\right)$ bound


## Recap : Predicting Commute Time

## Goal

- Predict the time taken for commute given distance and day of week
- Do we only wish to make predictions or also suggestions?

Model and Choice of Loss Function

- Use a linear model

$$
y=w_{0}+w_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+w_{D} x_{D}+\epsilon=\widehat{y}+\epsilon
$$

- Minimise average squared error $\frac{1}{2 N} \sum\left(y_{i}-\widehat{y_{i}}\right)^{2}$

Algorithm to Fit Model

- Simple matrix operations using closed-form solution


## Model and Loss Function Choice

"Optimisation" View of Machine Learning

- Pick model that you expect may fit the data well enough
- Pick a measure of performance that makes "sense" and can be optimised
- Run optimisation algorithm to obtain model parameters

Probabilistic View of Machine Learning

- Pick a model for data and explicitly formulate the deviation (or uncertainty) from the model using the language of probability
- Use notions from probability to define suitability of various models
- "Find" the parameters or make predictions on unseen data using these suitability criteria (Frequentist vs Bayesian viewpoints)


## Next Time

- Probabilistic View of Machine Learning (Maximum Likelihood)
- Non-linearity using basis expansion
- What to do when you have more features than data?
- Make sure you're familiar with the the multi-variate Gaussian distribution

