

Finding good prefix networks using Haskell

Mary Sheeran (Chalmers)

Prefix

Given inputs $x_1, x_2, x_3 \dots x_n$

Compute $x_1, x_1 * x_2, x_1 * x_2 * x_3, \dots, x_1 * x_2 * \dots * x_n$

where $*$ is an arbitrary associative (but not necessarily commutative) operator


Why interesting?

Microprocessors contain LOTS of parallel prefix circuits
not only binary and FP adders
address calculation
priority encoding etc.

Overall performance depends on making them fast
But they should also have low power consumption...

Parallel prefix is a good example of a connection pattern
for which it is interesting to do better synthesis

Serial prefix



Might expect

```
serr _ [a]          = [a]
serr op (a:b:bs)    = a:cs
  where
    c  = op(a,b)
    cs = serr op (c:bs)
```

```
*Main> simulate (serr plus) [1..10]
[1,3,6,10,15,21,28,36,45,55]
```

But I am going to prefer building blocks
that are themselves pp networks



```
type NW a = [a] -> [a]
```

```
type PN    = forall a. NW a -> NW a
```


```
bser _ []      = []  
bser _ [a]     = [a]  
bser op as     = ser bop as
```

where


```
  bop [a,b] = op [c]++[d]  
  where [c,d] = op [a,b]
```



When the operator works on a singleton list, it is a buffer (drawn as a white circle)




Sklansky



32 inputs, depth 5, 80 operators

Sklansky




32 inputs, depth 5, 80 operators

```
skl :: PN
skl _ [a] = [a]
skl op as = init los ++ ros'
  where
    (los,ros) = (skl op las, skl op ras)
    ros'      = fan op (last los : ros)
    (las,ras) = halveList as
```

```
plusop[a,b] = [a, a+b]
```


```
*Main> (skl plusop) [1..10]
[1,3,6,10,15,21,28,36,45,55]
```

Brent Kung



fewer ops, at cost of being deeper. Fanout only 2

Ladner Fischer



NOT the same as Sklansky; many books and papers are wrong about this

Question

How do we design fast **low power** prefix networks?


Answer

Generalise the above recursive constructions

Use **dynamic programming** to search for a good solution


Use **Wired** to increase accuracy of power and delay estimations

BK recursive pattern




P is another half size network operating on only the thick wires

BK recursive pattern generalised



Each S is a serial network like that shown earlier




This sequence of numbers determines how the outer "layer" looks

```
wrp ds p comp as = concat rs
  where
    bs      = [bser comp i | i <- splits ds as]
    ps      = p comp $ map last (init bs)
    (q:qs) = mapInit init bs
    rs      = q:[bfan comp (t:u) | (t,u) <- zip ps qs]
```

```
twos 0 = [0]
twos 1 = [1]
twos n = 2:twos (n-2)
```

```
bk _ [a] = [a]
bk comp as = wrp (twos (length as)) bk comp as
```



So just look at all possibilities for this sequence

and for each one find the best possibility for the smaller P

Then pick best overall!

Dynamic programming

Search!

need a measure function (e.g. number of operators)

Need the idea of a context into which a network (or even just wires) should fit

```
type Context = ([Int], Int)
```

```
data PPN = Pat PN | Fail
```

```
delF :: NW Int
```

```
delF [a] = [a+1]
```

```
delF [a,b] = [m,m+1]
```

```
  where m = max a b
```

```
try :: PN -> Context -> PPN
```

```
try p (ds,w)
```

```
  = if and [o <= w | o <- p delF ds] then Pat p else Fail
```

Need a variant of wrp that can fail, and that makes the "crossing over" wires explicit (because they might not fit either)

```
wrp2 :: [Int] -> PPN -> PPN -> PPN
wrp2 ds (Pat wires) (Pat p) = Pat r
  where
    r comp as = concat rs
      where
        bs      = [bser comp i | i <- splits ds as]
        qs      = wires comp $ concat (mapInit init bs)
        ps      = p comp $ map last (init bs)
        (q:qs') = splits (mapInit sub1 ds) qs
        rs      = q:[bfan comp (t:u) | (t,u) <- zip ps qs']
wrp2 _ _ _ = Fail
```

```

parpre f1 g ctx = getans (error "no fit") (prefix f1 ctx)
  where
    prefix f = memo pm
      where
        pm ([i],w) = trywire ([i],w)
        pm (is,w) | 2^maxd(is,w) < length is = Fail
        pm (is,w) = ((bestOn is f).dropFail)
                    [wrpC ds (prefix f) | ds <- topds g h lis]
      where
        h = maxd(is,w)
        lis = length is
        wrpC ds p = wrp2 ds (trywire (ts,w-1)) (p (ns,w-1))
          where
            bs = [bser delF i | i <- splits ds is]
            ns = map last (init bs)
            ts = concat (mapInit init bs)

```

```
wso f1 g ctx = getans (error "no fit") (prefix f1 ctx)
```

where

pref

wh

**f1 is the measure function being
optimised for**

```
pm ([i],w) = trywire ([i],w)
```

```
pm (is,w) | 2^maxd(is,w) < length is = Fail
```

```
pm (is,w) = ((bestOn is f).dropFail)
```

```
[wrpC ds (prefix f) | ds <- topds g h lis]
```

where

```
h = maxd(is,w)
```

```
lis = length is
```

```
wrpC ds p = wrp2 ds (trywire (ts,w-1)) (p (ns,w-1))
```

where

```
bs = [bser delF i | i <- splits ds is]
```

```
ns = map last (init bs)
```

```
ts = concat (mapInit init bs)
```

```

wso f1 g ctx = getans (error "no fit") (prefix f1 ctx)
  where
    prefix f
      where
        pm (
          pm (is,w) | 2^maxd(is,w) < length is = Fail
          pm (is,w) = ((bestOn is f).dropFail)
                    [wrpC ds (prefix f) | ds <- topds g h lis]
        )
    where
      h = maxd(is,w)
      lis = length is
      wrpC ds p = wrp2 ds (trywire (ts,w-1)) (p (ns,w-1))
        where
          bs = [bser delF i | i <- splits ds is]
          ns = map last (init bs)
          ts = concat (mapInit init bs)

```

g is max width of small F networks. Controls fanout.

```

wso f1 g ctx = getans (error "no fit") (prefix f1 ctx)
  where
    prefix f = memo pm
      where
        pm ([i],w) = ...
        pm (is,w) | ... = ...
        pm (is,w) = ...
      where
        h = maxd ...
        lis = leng ...
        wrpC ds p = wrp2 ds (trywire (ts,w-1)) (p (ns,w-1))
          where
            bs = [bser delF i | i <- splits ds is]
            ns = map last (init bs)
            ts = concat (mapInit init bs)

```

use memoisation to avoid expensive recomputation

Fail

- topds g h lis]

```

wso f1 g ctx = getans (error "no fit") (prefix f1 ctx)
  where
    prefix f = memo pm
      where
        pm ([i],w) = trywire ([i],w)
        pm (is,w) | i == 0 = fail
        pm (is,w) =
          base case: single wire
          topds g h lis]
      where
        h = maxd(is,w)
        lis = length is
        wrpC ds p = wrp2 ds (trywire (ts,w-1)) (p (ns,w-1))
          where
            bs = [bser delF i | i <- splits ds is]
            ns = map last (init bs)
            ts = concat (mapInit init bs)

```



```

wso f1 g ctx = getans (error "no fit") (prefix f1 ctx)
  where
    prefix f = memo pm
      where
        pm ([i],w) = trywire ([i],w)
        pm (is,w) | 2^maxd(is,w) < length is = Fail
        pm (is,w) = ((bestOn is f).dropFail)
                    [wrpC ds (prefix f) | ds <- topds g h lis]
    where
      h = maxd(is,w)
      lis = length is
      wrpC ds p = wrpC ds p (ns,w-1)
        where
          bs = [bser
          ns = map l
          ts = concat

```

Generate candidate sequences

Here is where the cleverness is

I keep them almost sorted

```

wso f1 g ctx = getans (error "no fit") (prefix f1 ctx)
  where
    prefix f = memo pm
      where
        pm ([i],w) = trywire ([i],w)
        pm (is,w) | 2^maxd(is,w) < length is = Fail
        pm (is,w) = ((bestOn is f).dropFail)
                    [wrpC ds (prefix f) | ds <- topds g h lis]
      where
        h = maxd(is,w)
        lis = length is
        wrpC ds p = wrp2 c
          where
            bs = [bser del
            ns = map last
            ts = concat (r

```

For each candidate sequence:
 Build the resulting network
 (where call of (prefix f) gives the
 best network for the recursive call
 inside)

```

wso f1 g ctx = ... (prefix f1 ctx)
  where
    prefix f = ...
      where
        pm ([i ...
        pm (is ... is = Fail
        pm (is ... ll)
        | ds <- topds g h lis]
  where
    h = ... (is,w)
    lis = length is
    wrpC ds p = wrp2 ds (trywire (ts,w-1)) (p (ns,w-1))
      where
        bs = [bser delF i | i <- splits ds is]
        ns = map last (init bs)
        ts = concat (mapInit init bs)

```


Figures out the contexts for the wires and the call of p in a call of wrp2

```

wso f1 g ctx = getans (error "no fit") (prefix f1 ctx)
  where
    prefix f = memo pm
      where
        pm ([i],w) = trywire ([i],w)
        pm (is,w) | 2^maxd(is,w) < length is = Fail
        pm (is,w) = ((bestOn is f).dropFail)
                    [wrpC ds (prefix f) | ds <- topds g h lis]
      where
        h = maxd(is,w)
        lis = length is
        wrpC ds p = wrp2 (p (ns,w-1))
          where
            bs = [bser c
            ns = map las
            ts = concat

```

Finally, pick the best among all these candidates



Result when minimising number of ops, depth 6, 33 inputs, fanout 7

This network is Depth Size Optimal (DSO)


depth + number of ops = $2(\text{number of inputs}) - 2$

(known to be smallest possible no. ops for given depth, inputs)

$$6 + 58 = 2 * 33 - 2$$

BUT we need to move away from DSO networks to get shallow networks with more than 33 inputs

A further generalisation



Result

When minimising no. of ops: gives same as Ladner Fischer for 2^n inputs, depth n , considerably fewer ops and lower fanout elsewhere (non power of 2 or not min. depth)

Promising power and speed when netlists given to Design Compiler




Result (more real)

Use Wired, a system for low level wire-aware hardware design developed by Emil Axelsson at Chalmers


To link to Wired, need slightly fancier context since physical position is important

Can minimise for (accurately estimated) speed in P1 and for power in P2 (two measure functions)


Link to Wired allows more accurate estimates. Can then explore design space



Can also export to Cadence SoC Encounter



Need to do more to make realistic circuits (buffering of long wires, sizing of cells)



And the search space gets even larger if one allows operators with more than 2 inputs.

So there is more fun to be had .

Conclusion

Search based on recursive decomposition gives promising results

Need to look at lazy dynamic programming

Need to do some theory about optimality (taking into account fanout)

Will try to apply similar ideas in data parallel programming on GPU (where scan is also important)