ADDING SUPPORT FOR MULTI-DIMENSIONAL ARRAYS TO DATA PARALLEL HASKELL

Gabriele Keller with M. Chakravarty, S. Peyton Jones, R. Leshchinskiy Programming Languages & Systems School of Computer Sciences & Engineering University of New South Wales Sydney





DATA PARALLEL HASKELL

- Data Parallel Haskell (DPH) was designed with irregular parallel applications in mind:
 - structure of parallel computations/data structures impossible to predict statically
- Nested arrays as parallel data structure, elements and shape information distributed over processors
- Interface similar to list operations:
 - collective operations like map, fold, filter, array comprehension executed in parallel



Two forms of data parallelism

flat, regular	nested, irregular
limited expressiveness	covers sparse structures and even divide&conquer
close to the hardware model	needs to be turned into flat parallelism for execution
well understood compilation techniques	highly experimental program transformations





Example: Sparse matrix vector multiplication

- matrix represented in compressed row format
- every non-zero element represented as pair of column index and value
- every row as array of elements, matrix as array of rows

```
smvm' :: [:[: (Int, Double) :]:] -> [:Double:] -> [:Double:]
smvm' m v =
[: sumP [: x * (v !: i) | (i,x) <- row :] | row <- m :]</pre>
```





Can we express regular computations in DPH?

• nested arrays could be interpreted as n-dim arrays:

```
transpose:: [:[:a:]:] -> [:[:a:]:]
transpose m =
[:[: v :! i | v <- m :] | i <-[:0..(length m) -1:]
```

- awkward for more complicated operations (e.g., relaxation)
- wasteful, error prone, inefficient









DPH Compilation



DPH Compilation



DESIGN QUESTIONS

- How much syntactic support?
 - selection/indexing of subarrays
 - array comprehension
- How much static checking of shape information?
 - shape checking
 - shape polymorphic operations
- Which basic operations do we need?
- Interaction between regular and irregular computations



TRACKING AND CHECKING OF SHAPE INFORMATION

- Shape information:
 - dimensionality and length of each dimension
- Statically checked:
 - dimensionality
- Dynamically checked:
 - size of each dimension





N-DIM ARRAYS

 Arrays parametrised with shape descriptor type and element type:

Array dim e

- dimensionality on type level, size on value level
- element type restricted to basic types and pairs thereof



DIMENSIONALITY

 element-wise mapping works on arrays of any dim, leaves it unchanged:

map:: (a -> b) -> Array dim a -> Array dim b

some operations require the array to be of a specific dimensionality:

inverse:: Array DIM2 Double -> Array DIM2 Double





(!:):: Array dim a -> selector -> Array (depends on selector) a







(!:):: Array dim a -> selector -> Array (depends on selector) a







(!:):: Array dim a -> selector -> Array (depends on selector) a







(!:):: Array dim a -> selector -> Array (depends on selector) a







Representing the shape of an array:

 to do type level calculations on the dimensionality, we use internally an inductive definition

```
type DIMO = ()
type DIM1 = (DIM0, Int)
type DIM2 = (DIM1, Int)
```

 this is only used as internal representation type, the user should see them as n-tuples:



The Index type

• the generalised selection notation expresses an relationship between initial and projected dimension:

$$(4, 0, 3)$$

 $(4, ., 3)$

• The index type reflects this relationship on the type level:

data Index initialDim projectedDim where IndexNil :: Index () () IndexAll :: Index init proj -> Index (init, Int) (proj, Int) IndexFixed :: Int -> Index init proj -> Index (init, Int) proj

terms of index typed only used internally





The Index type

Some examples

IndexFixed 4 (IndexAll (IndexFixed 3 ())):: Index DIM3 DIM1 (4, ., 3)

IndexFixed 4 (IndexAll (IndexAll ())):: Index DIM3 DIM2 (4, ., .,)





• With this definition, we can express the type of select as:

(!:):: Array dim e -> Index dim dim' -> Array dim'

for example

arr:: Array DIM3 Double arr !: (IndexFixed 4 (IndexFixed 0 (IndexFixed 1 IndexNil)))



 similarly, we can use the index type to express the type of a generalized replicate:

replicate:: Array dim e -> Index dim' dim -> Array dim' e

• examples:

```
s:: Array DIMO Int
```

```
replicate s (IndexFixed 5 ())
```

```
replicate s (IndexFixed 5 (IndexFixed 3 ())
```

```
v:: Array DIM1 Int
```

replicate v (IndexAll (IndexFixed 5 ())):: Array DIM2 Int replicate v (IndexFixed 5 (IndexAll ())):: Array DIM2 Int





Mapping a reduction operation

• Collapsing all the elements along one or multiple dimensions into a scalar value

mapFold:: Array dim a -> Index dim dim' -> (Array dim' a -> b) -> ?







The index type revisited

• we add an additional parameter to the index type

data Index a initialDim projectedDim where IndexNil :: Index a () () IndexAll :: Index a init proj -> Index a (init, Int) (proj, Int) IndexFixed :: a -> Index a init proj -> Index a (init, Int) proj

and the type of indexing changes accordingly

(!:):: Array dim e -> Index Int dim dim' -> Array dim'





• but still, what is the result type?

mapFold:: (Array dim a) ->
Index () dim dim' -> (Array dim' a -> b)-> Array (dim - dim') b

 to perform subtraction on the type level, we define the type family

type family (:-:) init proj type instance (:-:) init () = init type instance (:-:) (init,Int) (proj, Int) = (:-:) init proj





• but still, what is the result type?

mapFold:: (Array dim a) -> Index () dim dim' -> (Array dim' a -> b)-> Array (dim :-: dim') b

 to perform subtraction on the type level, we define the type family

type family (:-:) init proj type instance (:-:) init () = init type instance (:-:) (init,Int) (proj, Int) = (:-:) init proj





BASIC OPERATIONS

- Separating reordering/extraction of array elements and computations on elements
- Extraction/reordering:

```
bpermute::
Array dim a -> (dim' -> dim) -> Array dim' a
```

defaultBpermute:: Array dim a -> b -> (dim' -> Maybe dim) -> Array dim' a





OPERATIONS

- Transposing, tiling, rotation, shifts can be easily expressed in terms of backpermute and default backpermute
 - relaxation in terms of shifts or backpermute straight forward
- No overhead if such a newly created array is immediately used as an argument to another function (stream fusion)
- element-wise map, scan, fold, zipWith to perform computations





COMBINING REGULAR & IRREGULAR COMPUTATIONS

- Regular arrays as elements of irregular structures are useful to control the granularity of parallel computations
- Irregular structures insides regular arrays not allowed at the moment - should they be?



PLS



- Implementation of library in progress
- Currently implementing examples to figure out if operations etc appropriate
- User level syntax not fixed yet



