# Bounded Model Checking for Functional Programs

# Koen Lindström Claessen (joint work with Dan Rosén)



prop\_Unambiguous t1 t2 =
 show t1 == show t2 ==> t1 == t2

prop\_Unambiguous' t1 t2 =
 t1 /= t2 => show t1 /= show t2

#### very hard to test

# HipSpec



# QuickSpec

```
map f [] = []
map f (map g xs) = map (f . g) xs
reverse (reverse xs) = xs
map f (reverse xs) = reverse (map f xs)
```

- automatically produced
- every equation is tested for correctness
- no equation is logically implied<sup>\*</sup> by previous ones

sorted xs ==>
sorted (insert x xs)

#### TurboSpec

# very hard to black-box test

# **The Problem**

#### • Properties with

- Strong pre-conditions
- Weak post-conditions
- No use of human intelligence
- How to find counter-examples?
- How to increase our confidence?



#### symbolic evaluation

#### bounded model checking

#### Forte / FL





### Main Trick



## Symbolic If-Then-Else

if\_then\_else\_ :: Prop -> Prop -> Prop -> Prop

**if** c **then** p **else** q = (c && p) || (not c && q)

#### Symbolic If-Then-Else

if\_then\_else\_ :: Prop -> Arg a -> Arg a -> Arg a

- if c then X else ab = ab
- if c then aa else X = aa
- if c then An a else An b =

An (if c then a else b)

#### Symbolic If-Then-Else

if\_then\_else\_ :: Prop -> ListS a -> ListS a
 -> ListS a

if c then NilCons p x xs else NilCons q y ys =
 NilCons (if c then p else q)
 (if c then x else y)
 (if c then xs else ys)

# symbolic evaluation on **bounded** inputs

#### unbounded inputs?

#### incrementality

#### HBMC



### **Generating Constraints**

type C a -- Monad

newVar :: C Prop insist :: Prop -> C () when :: Prop -> C () -> C ()

when a (insist b) == insist (a => b)
when a (when b p) == when (a && b) p
when false p == skip

#### **Finite Choice**

is :: Eq a => Fin a -> a -> Prop
pxs `is` x = lookup x (pxs++[(x,false)])

#### Incrementality

```
type Delay a
delay :: C a -> C (Delay a)
force :: Delay a -> C a
wait :: Delay a -> (a -> C ()) -> C ()
```

# **Example: Expr**



# **Symbolic Expressions**

class Constructive a where
 new :: C a

instance Constructive a =>
 Constructive (ListS a) where
new = delay \$
 do c <- newFin [Nil,Cons]
 x <- new -- :: a
 xs <- new -- :: ListS a
 return (ListS c (An x) (An xs))</pre>

vars :: Expr a -> [a] vars (Var x) = [x]vars (Add a b) = vars a ++ vars b vars (Neg a) = vars a

```
vars e res =
 wait e $ \(Expr c ax aa ab) ->
    do when (c `is` Var) $
         singleton (un ax) res
       when (c `is` Add) $
         do va <- (new )
            vars (un aa) va
            vb <- new
            vars (un ab) vb
            append va vb res
       when (c`is` Neg) $
         do vars (un aa) res
```

#### **Translation of Programs**



<<(let x = e1 in e2)->res>> = do x <- new <<e1->x>> <<e2->res>>

#### **Case Expressions**



vars :: Expr a -> [a] vars (Var x) = [x]vars (Add a b) = vars a ++ vars b vars (Neg a) = vars a

```
vars e res =
 wait e  (Expr c ax aa ab) ->
    do when (c `is` Var) $
         singleton (un ax) res
       when (c `is` Add) $
         do va <- new
           vars (un aa) va
           vb <- new
            vars (un ab) vb
            append va vb res
       when (c`is` Neg) $
         do vars (un aa) res
```

### Example

prop\_NoPalindromes (xs::[Bool]) =
 length xs >= 3 ==>
 reverse xs /= xs

# **Call merging**



# Main Solving Loop

- 1. Generate initial constraints by executing the program
- 2. Solve, assuming that no waiting computation can happen
- 3. If solution, then done
- 4. Otherwise, pick one waiting computation, force it, and go to 3.

vars :: Expr a -> [a] vars (Var x) = [x]vars (Add a b) = vars a ++ vars b vars (Neg a) = vars a

```
vars e res =
 wait e  (Expr c ax aa ab) -> 
    do when (c `is` Var) $
         singleton (un ax) res
       when (c `is` Add) $
         do va <- new
           (vars (un aa) va
           vb <- new
            vars (un ab) vb
            append va vb res
       when (c `is` Neg) $
         do (vars (un aa) res)
```

# Memoization

- In symbolic evaluation...
- ... all branches of a case are executed!
- Functions are applied much more often...
- ... and more often to the same arguments multiple times!

# Which Wait to Force?

- If no solution, then we have a **conflict** ...
- ... a subset of the assumptions that is contradictory
- Keep a **queue** of waiting computations ...
- ... and always expand the computation that is part of the conflict that is most to the head of the queue

# **Example: Usorted list**

usorted	:: [Nat]	-> Bool	
usorted	(x:y:xs)	<pre>= x &lt; y &amp;&amp; usorted</pre>	(y:xs)
usorted	_	= True	

```
xs:
xs: Lst__
xs: Lst_(Lst__)
xs: Lst_(Lst_(Lst__))
xs: Lst_(Lst_(Lst_(Lst_)))
xs: Lst_(Lst(Nat_)(Lst_(Lst__)))
xs: Lst_(Lst(Nat_)(Lst(Nat_)(Lst__)))
xs: Lst(Nat_)(Lst(Nat_)(Lst_)))
xs: Lst(Nat_)(Lst(Nat(Nat_))(Lst(Nat_)(Lst__)))
xs: Lst(Nat_)(Lst(Nat(Nat_))(Lst(Nat(Nat_))(Lst__)))
xs= [Z,S Z,S (S Delayed_Nat)]
```

# Example: Merge

merge	:: Ord a => [a] -> [a] -> [a]
merge	[] ys = ys
merge	xs [] = xs
merge	(x:xs) (y:ys) =
let	(a,as,bs)
	x <= y = (x, xs, y:ys)
	otherwise = (y, x:xs, ys)
in	a : merge as bs



### **Example: Turing Machine**



# Termination

- Some functions may not terminate
- (even though their non-symbolic versions do terminate!)
- In such cases, we introduce an artificial wait

# **Example: Turing Machine**

cond q =						
run	q	[A]	==	[A] &&		
run	q	[B,A,A,A,A]	==	[A,A,A,A,B,B]		

# **Other examples**

- Type checker
   -> find terms of a certain type
- Regular expression recognizer
   -> find bugs in recognizer
   -> find buggy laws
- Grammar specification
   -> natural language ambiguities

# **Current Work**

#### • SMT

- Integer theory
- Equality / functions (Leon)
- Improve incrementality
  - Conflict minimization
- Memory use / garbage collection
- TurboSpec

# Conclusions

- HipSpec = QuickSpec + Hip
- Speculating conjectures needs smart ways of finding counter examples
- Using SAT is one such way
- Benchmark suite for
  - Automated induction problems
  - False properties

https://github.com/tip-org