

# Blame and coercion: together again for the first time (PLDI 2015)

Jeremy Siek (Indiana)

Peter Thiemann (Freiburg)

Philip Wadler (Edinburgh)

WG 2.8, Kefalonia, 25–29 May 2015

Part I

Conclusion

# Three calculi

- $\lambda B$  Blame calculus  
Findler and Felleisen (2002)  
Wadler and Findler (2009)
- $\lambda C$  Coercion calculus  
Henglein (1994)
- $\lambda S$  Space-efficient coercion calculus  
Hermann, Tomb, Flanagan (2007)  
Siek and Wadler (2010)  
Garcia (2013)

# Full abstraction

Strong correctness property: Full abstraction

- $M \stackrel{\text{ctx}}{=}_B N$  if and only if  $|M|^{\text{BC}} \stackrel{\text{ctx}}{=}_C |N|^{\text{BC}}$
- $M \stackrel{\text{ctx}}{=}_C N$  if and only if  $|M|^{\text{CS}} \stackrel{\text{ctx}}{=}_{\top} |N|^{\text{CS}}$

Equivalences in  $\lambda B$  and  $\lambda C$  easily proved in  $\lambda S$

Key lemma

Fundamental property of casts

Four subtyping relations:  $<:$     $<:+$     $<:-$     $<:_n$

Translation between  $\lambda B$  and  $\lambda C$  explains  $<:+$  and  $<:-$

## Part II

# The Blame Calculus ( $\lambda B$ )

# Types and ground types

Base types       $\iota$

Types             $A, B, C ::= \iota \mid A \rightarrow B \mid \star$

Ground types     $G, H ::= \iota \mid \star \rightarrow \star$

$$\star = \iota + (\star \rightarrow \star)$$

# Compatibility

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : A \quad A \sim B}{\Gamma \vdash (M : A \xrightarrow{p} B) : B}$$

$$\frac{}{A \sim \star} \quad \frac{}{\star \sim A} \quad \frac{}{\iota \sim \iota} \quad \frac{A' \sim A \quad B \sim B'}{A \rightarrow B \sim A' \rightarrow B'}$$

**Lemma 1.** If  $A \neq \star$  then there is a unique  $G$  such that  $A \sim G$ .

**Lemma 2.**  $\sim$  is reflexive and symmetric but not transitive.

# Reductions

$$\mathcal{E}[V : \iota \xrightarrow{p} \iota] \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}[V]$$

$$\mathcal{E}[(V : A \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{p} A' \rightarrow B') W] \longrightarrow$$

$$\mathcal{E}[(V (W : A' \xrightarrow{\bar{p}} A)) : B \xrightarrow{p} B']$$

$$\mathcal{E}[V : \star \xrightarrow{p} \star] \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}[V]$$

$$\mathcal{E}[V : A \xrightarrow{p} \star] \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}[V : A \xrightarrow{p} G \xrightarrow{p} \star]$$

if  $A \neq \star, A \neq G, A \sim G$

$$\mathcal{E}[V : \star \xrightarrow{p} A] \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}[V : \star \xrightarrow{p} G \xrightarrow{p} A]$$

if  $A \neq \star, A \neq G, A \sim G$

$$\mathcal{E}[V : G \xrightarrow{p} \star \xrightarrow{q} G] \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}[V]$$

$$\mathcal{E}[V : G \xrightarrow{p} \star \xrightarrow{q} H] \longrightarrow \text{blame } q \quad \text{if } G \neq H$$

## Part III

# The Coercion Calculus ( $\lambda C$ )

# Coercions and typing

$$\text{id}_A : A \Rightarrow A$$

$$G ! : G \Rightarrow \star \quad ?^p G : \star \Rightarrow G$$

$$\frac{c : A' \Rightarrow A \quad d : B \Rightarrow B'}{c \rightarrow d : A \rightarrow B \Rightarrow A' \rightarrow B'}$$

$$\frac{c : A \Rightarrow B \quad d : B \Rightarrow C}{c ; d : A \Rightarrow C}$$

$$\frac{A \neq \star \quad A \sim G \quad G \neq H}{\perp^{G \# H} : A \Rightarrow B}$$

## Failure

$$\frac{A \neq \star \quad A \sim G \quad G \neq H}{\perp^{GpH} : A \Rightarrow B}$$

$\perp^{GpH} : A \Rightarrow B$  corresponds to

$$M : A \xrightarrow{\bullet} G \xrightarrow{\bullet} \star \xrightarrow{p} H \xrightarrow{\bullet} B$$

**Lemma 3.** (*Failure*) If  $A \neq \star$  and  $A \sim G$  and  $G \neq H$  then

$$M : A \xrightarrow{p_1} G \xrightarrow{p_2} \star \xrightarrow{p_3} H \xrightarrow{p_4} B \longrightarrow \text{blame } p_3 .$$

# Reductions

$$\mathcal{E}[V \langle \text{id}_A \rangle] \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}[V]$$

$$\mathcal{E}[(V \langle c \rightarrow d \rangle) W] \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}[(V (W \langle c \rangle)) \langle d \rangle]$$

$$\mathcal{E}[V \langle G ! \rangle \langle ?^p G \rangle] \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}[V]$$

$$\mathcal{E}[V \langle G ! \rangle \langle ?^p H \rangle] \longrightarrow \text{blame } p \quad \text{if } G \neq H$$

$$\mathcal{E}[V \langle c ; d \rangle] \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}[V \langle c \rangle \langle d \rangle]$$

$$\mathcal{E}[V \langle \perp^{GpH} \rangle] \longrightarrow \text{blame } p$$

## Part IV

# Space-efficient Blame Calculus ( $\lambda S$ )

## Coercions in normal form

Space-efficient coercions	$s, t ::= \text{id}_\star \mid ?^p G ; i \mid i$
Intermediate coercions	$i ::= g ; G ! \mid g \mid \perp^{GpH}$
Ground coercions	$g, h ::= \text{id}_\iota \mid s \rightarrow t$

### Lemma 4.

- If  $i : A \Rightarrow B$  then  $A \neq \star$ .
- If  $g : A \Rightarrow B$  then  $A \neq \star$  and  $B \neq \star$ , and there is a unique  $G$  such that  $A \sim G$  and  $B \sim G$ .

# Space-efficient composition

$$\text{id}_\iota \circ \text{id}_\iota = \text{id}_\iota$$

$$(s \rightarrow t) \circ (s' \rightarrow t') = (s' \circ s) \rightarrow (t \circ t')$$

$$\text{id}_\star \circ t = t$$

$$(g ; G !) \circ \text{id}_\star = g ; G !$$

$$(\text{?}^p G ; i) \circ t = \text{?}^p G ; (i \circ t)$$

$$g \circ (h ; H !) = (g \circ h) ; H !$$

$$(g ; G !) \circ (\text{?}^p G ; i) = g \circ i$$

$$(g ; G !) \circ (\text{?}^p H ; i) = \perp^{GpH} \quad \text{if } G \neq H$$

$$\perp^{GpH} \circ s = \perp^{GpH}$$

$$g \circ \perp^{GpH} = \perp^{GpH}$$

# Reductions

$$\mathcal{F}[\textcolor{blue}{U}\langle \text{id}_{\textcolor{blue}{t}} \rangle] \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}[\textcolor{blue}{U}]$$

$$\mathcal{E}[(\textcolor{blue}{U}\langle \textcolor{red}{s} \rightarrow \textcolor{blue}{t} \rangle) \textcolor{red}{W}] \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}[(\textcolor{blue}{U} (\textcolor{red}{W}\langle \textcolor{red}{s} \rangle))\langle \textcolor{blue}{t} \rangle]$$

$$\mathcal{F}[\textcolor{blue}{U}\langle \text{id}_\star \rangle] \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}[\textcolor{blue}{U}]$$

$$\mathcal{F}[\textcolor{blue}{M}\langle \textcolor{blue}{s} \rangle\langle \textcolor{blue}{t} \rangle] \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}[\textcolor{blue}{M}\langle \textcolor{blue}{s} ; \textcolor{blue}{t} \rangle]$$

$$\mathcal{F}[\textcolor{blue}{U}\langle \bot^{G\textcolor{red}{pH}} \rangle] \longrightarrow \text{blame } \textcolor{red}{p}$$

## Compare: Herman, Tomb, and Flanagan (2007)

$$\mathcal{F}[M\langle c \rangle \langle d \rangle] \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}[M\langle c ; d \rangle]$$

$$(c ; d) ; e = c ; (d ; e)$$

$$\text{id}_A ; c = c$$

$$c ; \text{id}_A = c$$

$$(c \rightarrow d) ; (c' \rightarrow d') = (c' ; c) \rightarrow (d ; d')$$

$$G ! ; ?G = \text{id}_G$$

$$G ! ; ?H = \perp \quad \text{if } G \neq H$$

$$\perp ; c = \perp$$

$$c ; \perp = \perp$$

## Compare: Siek and Wadler (2010)

$$\iota^l \circ \iota^m = \iota^l$$

$$(P \rightarrow^l Q) \circ (P' \rightarrow^m Q') = (P' \circ P) \rightarrow^l (Q \circ Q')$$

$$\star \circ P = P$$

$$P \circ \star = P$$

$$P^{G^m} \circ Q^{H^p} = \perp^{pG^m} \quad \text{if } G \neq H$$

$$\perp^{pG^m} \circ Q = \perp^{pG^m}$$

$$P^{G^l} \circ \perp^{pG^m} = \perp^{pG^l}$$

$$P^{G^l} \circ \perp^{pH^q} = \perp^{qG^l} \quad \text{if } G \neq H$$

## Compare: Siek and Wadler (2010)

$P^{G^l}$  means  $P \sim G$  and the top-level blame label in  $P$  is  $l$ . If there is no top-level blame label in  $P$ , then  $l$  is  $\epsilon$ .

$\iota^\epsilon$  corresponds to  $\text{id}_\iota$

$\iota^p$  corresponds to  $?^p \iota ; \text{id}_\iota$

$P \rightarrow^\epsilon Q$  corresponds to  $P \rightarrow Q$

$P \rightarrow^p Q$  corresponds to  $?^p (\star \rightarrow \star) ; (P \rightarrow Q)$

$\star$  corresponds to  $\text{id}_\star$

$\perp^{pG^\epsilon}$  corresponds to  $\perp^{GpH}$

$\perp^{pG^q}$  corresponds to  $?^q G ; \perp^{GpH}$

## Compare: Garcia (2013)

$$\mathcal{N}[\![\text{id}_\star]\!] = \text{id}_\star$$

$$\mathcal{N}[\![\text{id}_\flat]\!] = \text{id}_\flat$$

$$\mathcal{N}[\![\perp^{pG}]\!] = \perp^p$$

$$\mathcal{N}[\![\perp^{pGq}]\!] = ?^q G ; \perp^p$$

$$\mathcal{N}[\![G !]\!] = G !$$

$$\mathcal{N}[\![G ?^p]\!] = ?^p G$$

$$\mathcal{N}[\![G ?^p !]\!] = ?^p G ; G !$$

$$\mathcal{N}[\![\ddot{c}_1 \rightarrow \ddot{c}_2]\!] = \mathcal{N}[\![\ddot{c}_1]\!] \rightarrow \mathcal{N}[\![\ddot{c}_2]\!]$$

$$\mathcal{N}[\![\ddot{c}_1 \rightarrow ! \ddot{c}_2]\!] = (\mathcal{N}[\![\ddot{c}_1]\!] \rightarrow \mathcal{N}[\![\ddot{c}_2]\!]) ; (\star \rightarrow \star) !$$

$$\mathcal{N}[\![\ddot{c}_1 ?^p \rightarrow \ddot{c}_2]\!] = ?^p (\star \rightarrow \star) ; (\mathcal{N}[\![\ddot{c}_1]\!] \rightarrow \mathcal{N}[\![\ddot{c}_2]\!])$$

$$\mathcal{N}[\![\ddot{c}_1 ?^p \rightarrow ! \ddot{c}_2]\!] = ?^p (\star \rightarrow \star) ; (\mathcal{N}[\![\ddot{c}_1]\!] \rightarrow \mathcal{N}[\![\ddot{c}_2]\!]) ; (\star \rightarrow \star) !$$

Part V

Full abstraction

# Contextual equivalence

**Definition 5** (Contextual equivalence). *Two terms are contextually equivalent, written  $M \stackrel{\text{ctx}}{=} N$ , if for any context  $\mathcal{C}$ , either*

1. *both converge to a value,*

$\mathcal{C}[M] \longrightarrow_B^* V$  and  $\mathcal{C}[N] \longrightarrow_B^* W$ ,

*for some values  $V$  and  $W$ .*

2. *both allocate blame to the same label,*

$\mathcal{C}[M] \longrightarrow_B^* \text{blame } p$  and  $\mathcal{C}[N] \longrightarrow_B^* \text{blame } p$ ,

*for some label  $p$ , or*

3. *both diverge,*

$\mathcal{C}[M] \uparrow_B$  and  $\mathcal{C}[N] \uparrow_B$ .

The same definition applies, mutatis mutandis, for  $\lambda C$  and  $\lambda S$ .

# Full abstraction

The best previous result (Siek and Wadler (2010)):

**Theorem 6** (Contextual equivalence without the context).

- $M \uparrow_B \text{ if and only if } |M|^{BT} \uparrow_T$

Our result:

**Theorem 7** (Full abstraction).

- $M \stackrel{\text{ctx}}{=}_B N \text{ if and only if } |M|^{BC} \stackrel{\text{ctx}}{=}_C |N|^{BC}$
- $M \stackrel{\text{ctx}}{=}_C N \text{ if and only if } |M|^{CS} \stackrel{\text{ctx}}{=}_T |N|^{CS}$

## A key lemma

**Lemma 8** (Equivalences). *The following hold in  $\lambda C$ .*

1.  $M\langle \text{id} \rangle \stackrel{\text{ctx}}{=} M$
2.  $M\langle c ; d \rangle \stackrel{\text{ctx}}{=} M\langle c \rangle \langle d \rangle$
3.  $M\langle c ; \text{id} \rangle \stackrel{\text{ctx}}{=} M\langle c \rangle \stackrel{\text{ctx}}{=} M\langle \text{id} ; c \rangle$
4.  $M\langle (c \rightarrow d) ; (c' \rightarrow d') \rangle \stackrel{\text{ctx}}{=} M\langle (c' ; c) \rightarrow (d ; d') \rangle$
5.  $M\langle c \rightarrow d \rangle \stackrel{\text{ctx}}{=} M\langle (c \rightarrow \text{id}) ; (\text{id} \rightarrow d) \rangle$
6.  $M\langle c \rightarrow d \rangle \stackrel{\text{ctx}}{=} M\langle (\text{id} \rightarrow c) ; (d \rightarrow \text{id}) \rangle$

*Proof.* Trivial to prove using full abstraction from  $\lambda C$  to  $\lambda S$ . [Tricky to prove otherwise; probably requires a custom bisimulation.]  $\square$

## Fundamental property of casts

**Lemma 9.** *If  $A \& B <:_n C$  then*

$$|A \xrightarrow{p} B|^{\text{BS}} = |A \xrightarrow{p} C|^{\text{BS}} ; |C \xrightarrow{p} B|^{\text{BS}}$$

*Proof.* Easy induction on  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $C$ . □

**Corollary 10** (Fundamental Property of Casts). *Let  $M$  be a term of  $\lambda B$ . If  $A \& B <:_n C$  then*

$$M : A \xrightarrow{p} B \stackrel{\text{ctx}}{=} B M : A \xrightarrow{p} C \xrightarrow{p} B$$

*Proof.* Immediate from Lemma 4 and full abstraction for  $\lambda C$  and  $\lambda S$ . [Required a custom bisimulation and six lemmas in Siek and Wadler (2010)!] □

Part VI

Conclusion

# Three calculi

- $\lambda B$  Blame calculus  
Findler and Felleisen (2002)  
Wadler and Findler (2009)
- $\lambda C$  Coercion calculus  
Henglein (1994)
- $\lambda S$  Space-efficient coercion calculus  
Hermann, Tomb, Flanagan (2007)  
Siek and Wadler (2010)  
Garcia (2013)

# Full abstraction

Strong correctness property: Full abstraction

- $M \stackrel{\text{ctx}}{=}_B N$  if and only if  $|M|^{\text{BC}} \stackrel{\text{ctx}}{=}_C |N|^{\text{BC}}$
- $M \stackrel{\text{ctx}}{=}_C N$  if and only if  $|M|^{\text{CS}} \stackrel{\text{ctx}}{=}_{\mathcal{T}} |N|^{\text{CS}}$

Equivalences in  $\lambda B$  and  $\lambda C$  easily proved in  $\lambda S$

Key lemma

Fundamental property of casts

Four subtyping relations ( $<:$     $<:^+$     $<:^-$     $<:_n$ )

Translation between  $\lambda B$  and  $\lambda C$  explains  $<:^+$  and  $<:^-$



