FUNCTORIAL UNPARSING

RALF HINZE

Institute of Information and Computing Sciences
Utrecht University

Email: ralf@cs.uu.nl

Homepage: http://www.cs.uu.nl/~ralf/

July, 2001

(Pick the slides at .../~ralf/talks.html#T27.)

A programming puzzle

Implement C's printf in Haskell (called format below).

```
Main \rangle : type \ format \ (lit "helloworld")
Str
Main \rangle \ format \ (lit \ "hello")
"hello world"
Main\rangle: type format int
Int \rightarrow Str
Main format int 5
"5"
Main \rangle : type \ format \ (int `lit " is " `str)
Int \rightarrow Str \rightarrow Str
Main \rangle \ format \ (int ` lit " \_ is \_ " ` str) \ 5 " five "
"5<sub>□</sub>is<sub>□</sub>five"
```

Preliminaries: functors

At the heart of the Haskell solution is the concept of a functor.

```
class Functor F where
map :: (A \to B) \to (F A \to F B)
```

As an example, the functional type $(A \rightarrow)$ for fixed A is a functor with the mapping function given by post-composition.

```
instance Functor (A \rightarrow) where map \phi x = \phi \cdot x
```

NB. Interestingly, this instance is not predefined in Haskell 98.

Further examples are the *identity functor* and *functor composition*.

```
type Id\ A = A

instance Functor Id\ where

map = id

type (F \cdot G)\ A = F\ (G\ A)

instance (Functor\ F, Functor\ G) \Rightarrow Functor\ (F \cdot G)\ where

map = map \cdot map
```

NB. These instance declarations are not legal Haskell since Id and '·' are not data types defined by data or by newtype.

A non-solution

The type of *format* depends on its first argument, the format directive.

Clearly, we cannot define such a dependently typed function in Haskell if we represent directives by elements of a single data type, say,

$$\mathbf{data} \ Dir = lit \ Str \mid int \mid str \mid Dir \, \hat{\ } Dir.$$

However, using Haskell's type classes we can define *values that depend on types*.

Singleton types

To utilize type classes we must arrange that each directive possesses a distinct type. To this end we introduce the following *singleton types*:

```
\begin{array}{lll} \mathbf{data} \; LIT & = \; lit \; Str \\ \mathbf{data} \; INT & = \; int \\ \mathbf{data} \; STR & = \; str \\ \mathbf{data} \; D_1 \; \hat{} \; D_2 & = \; D_1 \; \hat{} \; D_2. \end{array}
```

The structure of the directive is mirrored on the type level:

```
int \hat{\ } lit \text{ "Lis}_{\square} \text{" }\hat{\ } str :: INT \hat{\ } LIT \hat{\ } STR.
```

Step 1: A generic program

We can now specify format as a type-indexed value of type

```
format_D :: D \rightarrow Format_D Str,
```

that is, $format_D$ takes a directive of type D and returns 'something' of Str where 'something' is determined by D in the following way:

```
Format_{D::\star} :: \star \to \star

Format_{LIT} S = S

Format_{INT} S = Int \to S

Format_{STR} S = Str \to S

Format_{D_1 \hat{\ }_{D_2}} S = Format_{D_1} (Format_{D_2} S).
```

Here, $Format_D$ is a type-indexed type, a type that depends on a type.

The crucial property of $Format_D$ is that it constitutes a functor. This can be seen more clearly if we rewrite $Format_D$ in a point-free style.

```
egin{array}{lll} Format_{LIT} &=& Id \ Format_{INT} &=& (Int 
ightarrow) \ Format_{STR} &=& (Str 
ightarrow) \ Format_{D_1 \hat{\ }D_2} &=& Format_{D_1} \cdot Format_{D_2} \end{array}
```

The implementation of format is straightforward except perhaps for the last case.

```
\begin{array}{lll} format_D & :: & D \rightarrow Format_D \; Str \\ format_{LIT} \; (lit \; s) & = \; s \\ format_{INT} \; int & = \; \lambda i \rightarrow show \; i \\ format_{STR} \; str & = \; \lambda s \rightarrow s \\ format_{D_1 \hat{\ }D_2} \; (d_1 \hat{\ } d_2) & = \; format_{D_1} \; d_1 \diamond format_{D_2} \; d_2 \end{array}
```

Exploiting the functoriality of $Format_D$

It remains to define the operator ' \diamond ', which takes an F Str and a G Str to a $(F \cdot G)$ Str.

```
(\diamond) \quad :: \quad (Functor \ F, Functor \ G) \Rightarrow \\ F \ Str \to G \ Str \to (F \cdot G) \ Str \\ f \diamond g = map \ (\lambda s \to map \ (\lambda t \to s +\!\!\!\!+ t) \ g) \ f
```

The operator ' \diamond ' enjoys nice algebraic properties: it is associative and has the empty string, "":: $Id\ Str$, as a unit.

Step 2: Towards a Haskell solution

To implement $format_D :: D \to Format_D \ Str$ in Haskell, we use a multiple parameter type class with a functional dependency.

```
class (Functor\ F) \Rightarrow Format\ D\ F \mid D \rightarrow F where format\ ::\ D \rightarrow F\ Str
```

The functional dependency $D \to F$ (beware, this is not the function space arrow) constrains the relation to be functional: if both $Format \ D_1 \ F_1$ and $Format \ D_2 \ F_2$ hold, then $D_1 = D_2$ implies $F_1 = F_2$.

For each directive D we provide an instance of the schematic form instance $Format\ D\ (Format_D)$ where $format = format_D$.

```
instance Format LIT Id where

format (lit s) = s

instance Format INT (Int \rightarrow) where

format int = \lambda i \rightarrow show i

instance Format STR (Str \rightarrow) where

format str = \lambda s \rightarrow s

instance (Format D_1 F_1, Format D_2 F_2)

\Rightarrow Format (D_1 \cap D_2) (F_1 \cdot F_2) where

format (d_1 \cap d_2) = format d_1 \diamond format d_2
```

In implementing the specification we have simply replaced a type function by a functional type relation.

An example translation

```
format\ (int\ \widehat{\ }lit\ " \sqsubseteq \mathtt{is} \sqsubseteq "\ \widehat{\ }str)
= { definition of format }
      show \diamond " is " \diamond id
= { definition of '⋄' }
      map \ (\lambda s \rightarrow map \ (\lambda t \rightarrow map \ (\lambda u \rightarrow s + t + u) \ id) \ "\_is\_") \ show
= { definition of map_{A\rightarrow} and map_{Id} }
      (\lambda s \rightarrow (\lambda t \rightarrow (\lambda u \rightarrow s + t + u) \cdot id) " \sqcup is \sqcup ") \cdot show
= \{ algebraic simplifications and \beta-conversion \}
      \lambda i \rightarrow \lambda u \rightarrow show i + "_{\perp}is_{\perp}" + u
```

Since the format directive is static, this is a compile-time optimization.

Step 3: A Haskell solution

Recall that the Functor instances for Id and '·' are not legal since type synonyms must not be partially applied. We have to use newtype's:

```
\begin{array}{lll} \mathbf{newtype} \ Id \ A & = ide \ A \\ \mathbf{newtype} \ (F \cdot G) \ A & = com \ (F \ (G \ A)). \end{array}
```

Alas, now Id and '·' are new distinct types. In particular, the identities $Id\ A=A$ and $(F\cdot G)\ A=F\ (G\ A)$ do not hold any more: we have

$$format\ (int\ \verb|`lit"| \verb| is| " \verb|`str"| :: \ ((Int \to) \cdot Id \cdot (Str \to))\ Str$$

rather than

$$format\ (int\ \^{lit}\ "_is_"\ \^{str})\ ::\ Int\ {\longrightarrow}\ Str\ {\longrightarrow}\ Str.$$

Applying a functor

We must apply the functor $(Int \rightarrow) \cdot Id \cdot (Str \rightarrow)$ to Str.

```
class (Functor F) \Rightarrow App F A B \mid F A \rightarrow B where
     apply \qquad \qquad :: \quad F \ A \to B
instance App (A \rightarrow) B (A \rightarrow B) where
     apply = id
instance App Id A A where
     apply (ide \ a) = a
instance (App\ G\ A\ B, App\ F\ B\ C) \Rightarrow App\ (F\cdot G)\ A\ C where
     apply (com x) = apply (map apply x)
            :: (Format\ D\ F, App\ F\ Str\ A) \Rightarrow D \rightarrow A
format
          = apply (formatx d).
format d
```

The intention is that the type relation App F A B holds iff F A = B.

Haskell can do it (almost) without type classes

We can eliminate the Format class by specializing format: for each d:D we introduce a new directive $\underline{d}::Format_D \ Str$ given by $\underline{d} = formatx \ d$ (below we reuse the original names).

```
\begin{array}{lll} lit & :: & Str \rightarrow Id \ Str \\ lit \ s & = & ide \ s \\ int & :: & (Int \rightarrow) \ Str \\ int & = & \lambda i \rightarrow show \ i \\ str & :: & (Str \rightarrow) \ Str \\ str & = & \lambda s \rightarrow s \\ format & :: & (App \ F \ Str \ A) \Rightarrow F \ Str \rightarrow A \\ format \ d & = & apply \ d \end{array}
```

An example session

Furthermore, instead of '^' we use '\$'.

```
Main\rangle : type\ (int\diamond lit\ "\_is\_"\diamond str)
((Int \rightarrow) \cdot Id \cdot (Str \rightarrow)) Str
Main \rangle : type \ format \ (int \diamond lit " \sqcup is \sqcup " \diamond str)
Int \rightarrow Str \rightarrow Str
Main 
angle \ format \ (int \diamond lit \ " \sqcup is \sqcup " \diamond str) \ 5 \ "five"
"5 is five"
Main \rangle \ format \ (show \diamond lit \ " \sqcup is \sqcup " \diamond show) \ 5 \ "five"
"5 is \"five\""
Main \rangle \ format \ (lit "sum_{\sqcup}" \diamond show \diamond lit "_{\sqcup} =_{\sqcup}" \diamond show)
               [1..10] (sum [1..10])
"sum_{1}[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10]_{1}=_{1}55"
```

Note the use of show in the last two examples.

Extensions: printing to stdout

Here is a variant of format that outputs the string to the standard output device.

```
\begin{array}{lll} \textit{printf} & :: & (\textit{App F}(\textit{IO}()) \; \textit{A}) \Rightarrow \textit{F Str} \rightarrow \textit{A} \\ \textit{printf } d & = & \textit{apply}(\textit{map putStrLn} \; d) \end{array}
```

This function nicely demonstrates how to define one's own variable-argument functions on top of format.

Extensions: additional directives

Here is a directive for unparsing a list of values.

```
\begin{array}{lll} list & :: & (A \rightarrow) \; Str \rightarrow ([A] \rightarrow) \; Str \\ list \; d \; [] & = \; "[] " \\ list \; d \; (a:as) & = \; "[" ++ d \; a ++ rest \; as \\ \hline & \text{where } rest \; [] & = \; "] " \\ & rest \; (a:as) & = \; ", \square" ++ d \; a ++ rest \; as \end{array}
```

To format a string we can now either use the directive str (emit the string literally), show (put the string in quotes), or $list\ show$ (show the string as a list of characters).

Likewise, for formatting a list of strings we can choose between show, $list\ str$, $list\ show$, or $list\ (list\ show)$.

Appendix: Danvy's solution [JFP, 8(6)]

```
class Format' D F \mid D \rightarrow F where
   format' :: \forall A . D \rightarrow (Str \rightarrow A) \rightarrow (Str \rightarrow F A)
instance Format' LIT Id where
   format' (lit s) = \lambda \kappa \ out \rightarrow \kappa \ (out + s)
instance Format'\ INT\ (Int \rightarrow) where
   format' \ int = \lambda \kappa \ out \rightarrow \lambda i \rightarrow \kappa \ (out + show \ i)
instance Format' STR (Str \rightarrow) where
   format' str = \lambda \kappa \ out \rightarrow \lambda s \rightarrow \kappa \ (out + s)
instance (Format' D_1 F_1, Format' D_2 F_2)
         \Rightarrow Format' (D_1 \cap D_2) (F_1 \cdot F_2) where
   format' (d_1 \hat{d}_2) = \lambda \kappa \ out \rightarrow format' \ d_1 \ (format' \ d_2 \ \kappa) \ out
                             :: (Format' D F) \Rightarrow D \rightarrow F Str
format
format d
                             = format' d id ""
```

Here are functions that convert to and fro:

$$\alpha d = \lambda \kappa \ out \rightarrow map \ (\lambda s \rightarrow \kappa \ (out + s)) \ d$$
 $\gamma d' = d' \ id$ "".

The coercion function α introduces a continuation and an accumulating string, while γ supplies an initial continuation and an empty accumulating string.

The two approaches to unparsing are equivalent (that is, $\gamma \cdot \alpha = id$ and $\alpha \cdot \gamma = id$) if

$$format' \ d \ (\epsilon \cdot \sigma) = format' \ d \ \epsilon \cdot \sigma,$$

for all directives d.