

Growing an Object-oriented Language

RALF HINZE

Institut für Informatik III, Universität Bonn

Römerstraße 164, 53117 Bonn

Email: ralf@informatik.uni-bonn.de

Homepage: <http://www.informatik.uni-bonn.de/~ralf>

December 2006

(Pick up the slides at .../[~ralf/talks.html#52](http://www.informatik.uni-bonn.de/~ralf/talks.html#52).)

A few buzzwords:

abstract class, anonymous class, behaviour, class hierarchy, class method, class variable, class, constructor, (delegation), dispatch table, down cast, dynamic binding, dynamic dispatch, encapsulation, extension, field, final, friend, generic class, implementation, inclusion polymorphism, inheritance, inner class, instance variable, instance, interface inheritance, interface, late binding, message passing, method invocation, method, multiple inheritance, name subtyping, new, object creation, object, object-oriented, open recursion, overriding, package, private, protected, public, redefinition, self, structural subtyping, subclass, subtype polymorphism, subtyping, super, superclass, this, up cast, (virtual) method table, visibility.

👉 Object-orientation seems to be complex and loaded.

You can never understand one language
until you understand at least two.
Ronald Searle (1920–)

Make everything as simple as possible,
but not simpler.
Albert Einstein (1859–1955)

- ▶ *Goal:* grow a language into an object-oriented language;
- ▶ in five (here: three) simple steps.
- ▶ Define everything precisely (syntax and semantics).

Table of contents

Introduction

Preliminaries

The functional core

The imperative core

Encapsulation

Subtyping

Inheritance

Conclusion

Appendix: **super**

When X and Y are sets $X \rightarrow_{\text{fin}} Y$ denotes the set of *finite maps* from X to Y . The domain of a finite map φ is denoted $\text{dom } \varphi$.

- ▶ the *singleton map* is written $\{x \mapsto y\}$
 - ▶ $\text{dom}\{x \mapsto y\} = \{x\}$
 - ▶ $\{x \mapsto y\}(x) = y$
- ▶ when φ_1 and φ_2 are finite maps the map φ_1, φ_2 called φ_1 *extended by* φ_2 is the finite map with
 - ▶ $\text{dom } (\varphi_1, \varphi_2) = \text{dom } \varphi_1 \cup \text{dom } \varphi_2$
 - ▶ $(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)(x) = \begin{cases} \varphi_2(x) & \text{if } x \in \text{dom } \varphi_2 \\ \varphi_1(x) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

The functional core — example: binary search

```
function binary-search (oracle : Nat → Bool,  
                        lower-bound : Nat,  
                        upper-bound : Nat) : Nat =  
  
  let  
    function search (l : Nat, u : Nat) : Nat =  
      if  $l \geq u$  then u  
      else let  
        val m =  $(l + u) \div 2$   
        in  
          if oracle m then search (l, m)  
          else search (m + 1, u)  
        end  
      in  
        search (lower-bound, upper-bound)  
    end
```

Local declarations — abstract syntax

Excerpt of the functional core:

$x \in \text{Id}$	<i>identifiers</i>
$e \in \text{Expr}$	<i>expressions</i>
$e ::= \dots$	
x	identifier
let d in e end	local declaration

$d \in \text{Decl}$	<i>declarations</i>
$d ::= \text{val } x = e$	value definition/declaration
$d_1 d_2$	sequential declaration
local d_1 in d_2 end	local declaration

Local declarations — static semantics

$\Sigma \in \text{Sig} = \text{Id} \rightarrow_{\text{fin}} \text{Type}$

signatures

$$\overline{\Sigma \vdash x : \Sigma(x)} \quad x \in \text{dom } \Sigma$$

$$\frac{\Sigma \vdash d : \Sigma' \quad \Sigma, \Sigma' \vdash e : \tau}{\Sigma \vdash \text{let } d \text{ in } e \text{ end} : \tau}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma \vdash e : \tau}{\Sigma \vdash (\text{val } x = e) : \{x \mapsto \tau\}}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma \vdash d_1 : \Sigma_1 \quad \Sigma, \Sigma_1 \vdash d_2 : \Sigma_2}{\Sigma \vdash d_1 \ d_2 : \Sigma_1, \Sigma_2}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma \vdash d_1 : \Sigma_1 \quad \Sigma, \Sigma_1 \vdash d_2 : \Sigma_2}{\Sigma \vdash \text{local } d_1 \text{ in } d_2 \text{ end} : \Sigma_2}$$

👉 Later definitions shadow earlier ones.

Local declarations — dynamic semantics

$\delta \in \text{Env} = \text{Id} \rightarrow_{\text{fin}} \text{Val}$ *environments*

$$\frac{d \Downarrow \delta \quad e\delta \Downarrow \nu}{\text{let } d \text{ in } e \text{ end} \Downarrow \nu}$$

$$\frac{e \Downarrow \nu}{(\text{val } x = e) \Downarrow \{x \mapsto \nu\}}$$

$$\frac{d_1 \Downarrow \delta_1 \quad d_2\delta_1 \Downarrow \delta_2}{d_1 \ d_2 \Downarrow \delta_1, \delta_2}$$

$$\frac{d_1 \Downarrow \delta_1 \quad d_2\delta_1 \Downarrow \delta_2}{\text{local } d_1 \text{ in } d_2 \text{ end} \Downarrow \delta_2}$$

 $e\delta$ denotes *substitution*: each free variable x in e with $x \in \text{dom } \delta$ is replaced by $\delta(x)$.

The imperative core — example: bank account

```
local
  val bal = ref 0
in
  function deposit (amount : Nat) : () =
    bal := !bal + amount
  function withdraw (amount : Nat) : () =
    bal := !bal - amount
  function balance () : Nat =
    ! bal
end
```

References — abstract syntax

Excerpt of the imperative core:

$e ::= \dots$	
ref e	creation
! e	dereferencing
$e_1 := e_2$	assignment

References — static semantics

$\tau ::= \dots$
| *Ref* $\langle \tau \rangle$

type of references

$$\frac{\Sigma \vdash e : \tau}{\Sigma \vdash \mathbf{ref} \ e : \mathit{Ref} \ \langle \tau \rangle}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma \vdash e : \mathit{Ref} \ \langle \tau \rangle}{\Sigma \vdash !e : \tau}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma \vdash e_1 : \mathit{Ref} \ \langle \tau \rangle \quad \Sigma \vdash e_2 : \tau}{\Sigma \vdash e_1 := e_2 : ()}$$

References — dynamic semantics

$a \in \text{Addr}$ *addresses*

$\nu ::= \dots$
| a *address*

$\sigma \in \text{Addr} \rightarrow_{\text{fin}} \text{Val}$ *store*

$$\frac{\sigma \mid e \Downarrow \nu \mid \sigma'}{\sigma \mid \mathbf{ref} \ e \Downarrow a \mid \sigma', \{a \mapsto \nu\}} \quad a \notin \text{dom } \sigma'$$

$$\frac{\sigma \mid e \Downarrow a \mid \sigma'}{\sigma \mid !e \Downarrow \sigma'(a) \mid \sigma'}$$

$$\frac{\sigma \mid e_1 \Downarrow a \mid \sigma_1 \quad \sigma_1 \mid e_2 \Downarrow \nu \mid \sigma_2}{\sigma \mid e_1 := e_2 \Downarrow () \mid \sigma_2, \{a \mapsto \nu\}}$$

Encapsulation — example: bank account

```
val bank-account : Bank-Account =  
  class  
    local  
      val bal = ref 0  
    in  
      method deposit (amount : Nat) : () =  
        bal := !bal + amount  
      method withdraw (amount : Nat) : () =  
        bal := !bal - amount  
      method balance : Nat =  
        ! bal  
    end  
  end  
val my-account = new bank-account
```

 A *class* is given by an expression.

Encapsulation — example: bank account

```
type Bank-Account =  
  class  
    method deposit   : Nat → ()  
    method withdraw : Nat → ()  
    method balance   : Nat  
  end
```

 An *interface* is a type, the type of a class.

Encapsulation — abstract syntax

$e ::= \dots$

| **class** m **end**

| **new** e

| $e.x$

anonymous class

object creation

method invocation

☞ A **class** is a collection of **methods**.

$m \in \text{Method}$

method declarations

$m ::= \text{method } x : \tau = e$

method definition

| $m_1 \ m_2$

sequential declaration

| **local** d **in** m **end**

local declaration

☞ **Instance variables** or **fields** are locally defined entities (**encapsulation**).

Encapsulation — static semantics

$M \in \text{Id} \rightarrow_{\text{fin}} \text{Type}$

method signatures

$\tau ::= \dots$

| **class** M **end**

class type

| **object** M **end**

object type

 A class type is like an **interface**.

$$\frac{\Sigma \vdash m : M}{\Sigma \vdash \text{class } m \text{ end} : \text{class } M \text{ end}}$$
$$\frac{\Sigma \vdash e : \text{class } M \text{ end}}{\Sigma \vdash \text{new } e : \text{object } M \text{ end}}$$
$$\frac{\Sigma \vdash e : \text{object } M \text{ end}}{\Sigma \vdash e.x : M(x)} \quad x \in \text{dom } M$$

Encapsulation — static semantics

$$\frac{\Sigma \vdash e : \tau}{\Sigma \vdash (\text{method } x : \tau = e) : \{x \mapsto \tau\}}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma \vdash m_1 : M_1 \quad \Sigma \vdash m_2 : M_2}{\Sigma \vdash m_1 \ m_2 : M_1, M_2}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma \vdash d : \Sigma' \quad \Sigma, \Sigma' \vdash m : M}{\Sigma \vdash \text{local } d \text{ in } m \text{ end} : M}$$

👉 Later definitions shadow earlier ones (redefinition).

Encapsulation — introduction and elimination

Programming language constructs can be divided into introduction and elimination forms.

class *m* **end**

introduction

class *M* **end**

new *e*

elimination & introduction

object *M* **end**

e.x

elimination

 **new** eliminates a class and introduces an object.

Encapsulation — dynamic semantics

$\mu \in \text{Id} \rightarrow_{\text{fin}} \text{Expr}$

method environments

$\nu ::= \dots$

| **class** m **end**

anonymous class

| **object** μ **end**

object

👉 μ is a **dispatch** or **method table**.

$\frac{}{\text{class } m \text{ end} \Downarrow \text{class } m \text{ end}}$

$\frac{e \Downarrow \text{class } m \text{ end} \quad m \Downarrow \mu}{\text{new } e \Downarrow \text{object } \mu \text{ end}}$

$\frac{e \Downarrow \text{object } \mu \text{ end} \quad \mu(x) \Downarrow \nu}{e.x \Downarrow \nu}$

👉 Evaluation of classes is delayed; **new** triggers it; evaluation of methods is delayed (next slide); method invocation triggers it (**dynamic dispatch**).

$$\overline{(\text{method } x : \tau = e) \Downarrow \{x \mapsto e\}}$$

$$\frac{m_1 \Downarrow \mu_1 \quad m_2 \Downarrow \mu_2}{m_1 \ m_2 \Downarrow \mu_1, \mu_2}$$

$$\frac{d \Downarrow \delta \quad m\delta \Downarrow \mu}{\text{local } d \text{ in } m \text{ end} \Downarrow \mu}$$

 Methods are not evaluated. Why?

- ▶ **method** *balance* : *Nat* is *not* a natural number but a computation that yields a natural number.
- ▶ *Later*: the method body may contain the identifier *self*, which refers to the object itself and which is bound late.

Encapsulation — live cycles of classes and objects

```
val bank-account : Bank-Account =  
  class local val bal = ref 0  
    in    method deposit (amount : Nat) : () =  
      bal := !bal + amount  
  ...end end
```

👉 *bank-account* is bound to the class expression; the evaluation of **class ...end** is delayed.

```
val my-account = new bank-account
```

👉 *my-account* is bound to an *instance* of *bank-account*; **new** triggers the evaluation of the class: a new reference cell is allocated. The evaluation of the method *deposit* is delayed.

```
my-account.deposit (4711)
```

👉 The method *deposit* is evaluated: 4711 is added to the contents of *bal*.

Encapsulation — constructors

```
function bank-account (money : Nat) : Bank-Account =  
  class  
    local  
      val bal = ref money  
    in  
      method deposit (amount : Nat) : () =  
        bal := !bal + amount  
      method withdraw (amount : Nat) : () =  
        bal := !bal - amount  
      method balance : Nat =  
        ! bal  
    end  
  end  
val my-account = new (bank-account 4711)
```

👉 A **constructor** is a class-valued expression (often a function).

Encapsulation — class variables and methods

```
local
  val no = ref 0
in
  function number-of-accounts () : Nat =
    ! no
  val bank-account =
    class
      local
        val bal = no := !no + 1; ref 0
      in
        method deposit (amount : Nat) : () =
          bal := !bal + amount
        ...
      end
    end
end
```

👉 A **class variable** is introduced outside the class; a **class method** is a function.

Subtyping — static semantics

Observation: $e.x$ is valid if e has at least an x method.

$$\frac{\Sigma \vdash e : \tau \quad \tau \preccurlyeq \tau'}{\Sigma \vdash e : \tau'}$$

$$\frac{M(x) \preccurlyeq M'(x) \mid x \in \text{dom } M}{\text{class } M \text{ end} \preccurlyeq \text{class } M' \text{ end}} \quad \text{dom } M' \subseteq \text{dom } M$$

$$\frac{M(x) \preccurlyeq M'(x) \mid x \in \text{dom } M}{\text{object } M \text{ end} \preccurlyeq \text{object } M' \text{ end}} \quad \text{dom } M' \subseteq \text{dom } M$$

👉 “Width and depth subtyping” also known as **interface inheritance**.

👉 **Inclusion** or **subtype polymorphism**.

Inheritance — example: extended bank account

```
val extended-bank-account =  
  class  
    inherit bank-account  
    method clear : Nat =  
      let  
        val money = self.balance  
      in  
        self.withdraw money;  
        money  
      end  
    end
```

👉 Only methods are inherited; no information leakage via inheritance.

👉 Multiple inheritance via multiple **inherit** declarations.

Inheritance — abstract syntax

$m ::= \dots$

| **inherit** e

inherit from a class

👉 *In addition:* method bodies may contain the identifier *self* (*this* in other languages).

👉 *self* introduces recursion (*open recursion*).

$$\frac{\Sigma \mid \text{object } M \text{ end} \vdash m : M}{\Sigma \vdash \text{class } m \text{ end} : \text{class } M \text{ end}}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma, \{self \mapsto \gamma\} \vdash e : \tau}{\Sigma \mid \gamma \vdash (\text{method } x : \tau = e) : \{x \mapsto \tau\}}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma \vdash d : \Sigma' \quad \Sigma, \Sigma' \mid \gamma \vdash m : M}{\Sigma \mid \gamma \vdash \text{local } d \text{ in } m \text{ end} : M}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma \vdash e : \text{class } M \text{ end}}{\Sigma \mid \gamma \vdash \text{inherit } e : M}$$

☞ The class type is needed to check the class expression.

☞ *self* is only visible in the method bodies. Why?

- ▶ *self* is only bound when a method is invoked (late binding).

Inheritance — dynamic semantics

$$\frac{e \Downarrow \mathbf{object} \mu \mathbf{end} \quad \mu(x) \{ self \mapsto \mathbf{object} \mu \mathbf{end} \} \Downarrow \nu}{e.x \Downarrow \nu}$$

$$\frac{e \Downarrow \mathbf{class} m \mathbf{end} \quad m \Downarrow \mu}{\mathbf{inherit} e \Downarrow \mu}$$

👉 *self* is bound to the object on which the method was invoked.

👉 **inherit** is similar to **new**.

👉 *Visibility*: there is no difference between **new** and **inherit**. This is a conscious design decision.

- ▶ Object-orientation in five (here: three) simple steps.
- ▶ The resulting language is fairly expressive.
- ▶ Classes are first-class values.
- ▶ Classes with a single instance: **new class . . . end**.
- ▶ **Generic classes** via polymorphic constructor functions (no extension required).

super — example: bank account with a memory

```
val max-bank-account =  
  class  
    inherit  
      super = extended-bank-account  
    in  
      local  
        val max = ref (super.balance)  
      in  
        method deposit (amount : Nat) : () =  
          super.deposit amount;  
          max := maximum (!max, super.balance)  
        method max-balance : Nat =  
          ! max  
      end  
    end  
  end
```

super — abstract syntax

$m ::= \dots$

| **inherit** $x = e$ **in** m **end**

Vererbung

👉 x is the name of the superobject; e is the **superclass**.

👉 By the way: **overriding** is simple; just define a new method with the same name.

super — static semantics

$$\frac{\Sigma \vdash e : \text{class } M_1 \text{ end} \quad \Sigma, \{x \mapsto \text{object } M_1 \text{ end}\} \mid \gamma \vdash m : M_2}{\Sigma \mid \gamma \vdash \text{inherit } x = e \text{ in } m \text{ end} : M_1, M_2}$$

super — dynamic semantics

$\nu ::= \dots$

| **super** μ **of** ν **end**

superobject including 'self'

$$\frac{e \Downarrow \text{class } m_1 \text{ end} \quad m_1 \Downarrow \mu_1 \quad m\{x \mapsto \text{super } \mu_1 \text{ of self end}\} \Downarrow \mu_2}{\text{inherit } x = e \text{ in } m \text{ end} \Downarrow \mu_1, \mu_2}$$
$$\frac{e \Downarrow \text{super } \mu \text{ of } o \text{ end} \quad \mu(x)\{self \mapsto o\} \Downarrow \nu}{e.x \Downarrow \nu}$$

☞ Tricky!

- ▶ x is bound to the superobject;
- ▶ the second field of **super** μ **of** ν **end** is set to *self*;
- ▶ when a method of the subobject is invoked, *self* is bound;
- ▶ this object is then passed to each super call.