

Deadlock-Free Processes and Non-Zero Vectors

Simon Gay

School of Computing Science, University of Glasgow

Plugging Components in a Compact Closed Category

- ▶ A component has a number of typed interaction points (ports).
- ▶ Duality describes pluggability: A and A^* .
- ▶ Compact closure distributes ports between the left and the right of a morphism.
- ▶ 1992–1997: interaction categories (classical concurrent processes): Abramsky, with Gay and Nagarajan.
- ▶ 2004–present: categorical quantum mechanics: Abramsky, Coecke and many others.

Specification Structures

Let \mathcal{C} be a category. A *specification structure* S over \mathcal{C} is defined by the following data:

- ▶ for each object A of \mathcal{C} , a set $P_S A$ of “properties over A ”.
- ▶ for each pair of objects A, B of \mathcal{C} , a “Hoare triple” relation $S_{A,B} \subseteq P_S A \times \mathcal{C}(A, B) \times P_S B$.

We write $\phi\{f\}\psi$ for $S_{A,B}(\phi, f, \psi)$. This relation is required to satisfy the following axioms, for $f : A \rightarrow B$, $g : B \rightarrow C$, $\phi \in P_S A$, $\psi \in P_S B$ and $\theta \in P_S C$:

$$\phi\{\text{id}_A\}\phi \tag{1}$$

$$\phi\{f\}\psi, \psi\{g\}\theta \implies \phi\{f ; g\}\theta. \tag{2}$$

Given \mathcal{C} and S as above, we can define a new category \mathcal{C}_S . An object of \mathcal{C}_S is a pair (A, ϕ) with $A \in \text{ob } \mathcal{C}$ and $\phi \in P_S A$. A \mathcal{C}_S -morphism $f : (A, \phi) \rightarrow (B, \psi)$ is a morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{C} such that $\phi\{f\}\psi$. Composition and identities are inherited from \mathcal{C} ; the axioms (1) and (2) ensure that \mathcal{C}_S is a category.

Examples

1. $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Set}$, $P_S X = X$, $a\{f\}b \iff f(a) = b$.

Then \mathcal{C}_S is the category of pointed sets. We think of it as a setting for compositional analysis of functions that have an additional property: mapping a distinguished element to a distinguished element.

2. $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Rel}$, $P_S X = \{*\}$,

$$*\{R\}* \iff \forall x \in A, y, z \in B. xRy \wedge xRz \implies y = z.$$

Then \mathcal{C}_S is the category of sets and partial functions. We think of it as a setting for compositional analysis of relations that have an additional property: being a partial function.

Specification Structures over Structured Categories

Suppose that \mathcal{C} is a monoidal category. If we have a specification structure S over \mathcal{C} , and if we want to define a monoidal structure on \mathcal{C}_S , then we must define an action

$$\otimes_{A,B} : P_S A \times P_S B \rightarrow P_S(A \otimes B)$$

and an element $I_S \in P_S I$ satisfying, for $f : A \rightarrow B$, $f' : A' \rightarrow B'$ and properties $\phi, \phi', \psi, \psi', \theta$ over suitable objects:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi\{f\}\psi, \phi'\{f'\}\psi' &\implies (\phi \otimes \phi')\{f \otimes f'\}(\psi \otimes \psi') \\ &((\phi \otimes \psi) \otimes \theta)\{\text{assoc}_{A,B,C}\}(\phi \otimes (\psi \otimes \theta)) \\ &(I_S \otimes \phi)\{\text{unitl}_A\}\phi \\ &(\phi \otimes I_S)\{\text{unitr}_A\}\phi. \end{aligned}$$

A Specification Structure for Deadlock-Free Processes

- ▶ All processes are deadlock-free (non-terminating), and their types in the specification structure contain enough information to support deadlock-free composition.
- ▶ The base category is compact closed, but the deadlock-free category is not compact closed; it is $*$ -autonomous.
- ▶ The definition of the specification structure starts by defining, for processes p and q of type A , the relation

$$p \bowtie q$$

to mean that p and q can interact in A without deadlock.

- ▶ This relation is used, through a sequence of formal definitions, to generate a specification structure.

A Specification Structure for Non-Zero Vectors

- ▶ Take the base category to be **FDHilb**, and for vectors u and v define

$$u \bowtie v \iff \langle u | v \rangle \neq 0.$$

- ▶ Working through the same sequence of formal definitions yields a specification structure and a new category, **FDHilb_{NZ}**. All vectors are non-zero and morphisms preserve non-zero-ness.
- ▶ Non-zero vectors in **FDHilb** represent quantum states, and types in **FDHilb_{NZ}** do not distinguish between vectors that are scalar multiples. So the type structure of **FDHilb_{NZ}** might have some interpretation as a quantum logic.

Example 1

- ▶ $\{|0\rangle\}^*$ is the type of vectors that have a non-zero component along $|0\rangle$. It is convenient to write this type as $|0\rangle$.
- ▶ For example: $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle) : |0\rangle$.
- ▶ The Pauli map X can be given the type $|0\rangle \multimap |1\rangle$, so the application $X \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)$ is well-typed and the result has type $|1\rangle$.
- ▶ Interpretation:
 1. $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)$ is non-zero **because it has a non-zero component along $|0\rangle$** .
 2. X maps vectors with a non-zero component along $|0\rangle$ to vectors with a non-zero component along $|1\rangle$.
 3. Therefore $X \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)$ is non-zero **because it has a non-zero component along $|1\rangle$** .

Example 2

Let π_0 be one of the projection operators corresponding to a standard basis measurement of a single qubit: $\pi_0|0\rangle = |0\rangle$, $\pi_0|1\rangle = \mathbf{0}$.

We can give π_0 the type

$$\pi_0 : |0\rangle \rightarrow |0\rangle$$

If we have

$$|\psi\rangle : |0\rangle$$

for some quantum state $|\psi\rangle$, then we can conclude

$$\pi_0|\psi\rangle : |0\rangle$$

and interpret this as the statement that measuring $|\psi\rangle$ has a non-zero probability of producing the result 0.

Example 3

Let

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)$$

so we have

$$|\psi\rangle : |0\rangle$$

and

$$|\psi\rangle : |1\rangle.$$

We can conclude

$$\pi_0|\psi\rangle : |0\rangle$$

and

$$\pi_1|\psi\rangle : |1\rangle$$

and interpret this as the statement that measuring $|\psi\rangle$ has a non-zero probability of producing either 0 or 1.

Definitions (1)

Definition

If A is an object of **FDHilb**, let $\text{NZ}(A) = \{v \in A \mid v \neq \mathbf{0}\}$ be its set of non-zero vectors.

Definition

The non-orthogonality relation \bowtie on $\text{NZ}(A)$ is defined by

$$u \bowtie v \iff \langle u \mid v \rangle \neq 0.$$

Definition

Let $U \subseteq \text{NZ}(A)$ for some object A .

$$\begin{aligned} v \bowtie U &\iff \forall u \in U. v \bowtie u \\ U^* &= \{v \in \text{NZ}(A) \mid v \bowtie U\}. \end{aligned}$$

Definitions (2)

Definition

1. $P_{\text{NZ}}A = \{U \subseteq \text{NZ}(A) \mid U^{**} = U\}$
2. $v \models U \iff v \in U.$
3. $(-)^* : P_{\text{NZ}}A \rightarrow P_{\text{NZ}}A$ has already been defined.
- 4.

$$\begin{aligned}U \otimes V &= \{u \otimes v \mid u \in U, v \in V\}^{**} \\U \multimap V &= (U \otimes V^*)^* \\I_{\text{NZ}} &= \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}.\end{aligned}$$

5. $U\{f\}V \iff |f\rangle \models U \multimap V.$

Theorem

NZ is a specification structure over **FDHilb**.

Conclusion and Open Questions

- ▶ I have a refinement of **FDHilb** in which vectors are non-zero, constructed as a specification structure.
- ▶ This is a new connection between Classical Samson and Quantum Samson.
- ▶ I have proved that the $*$ -autonomous structure of **FDHilb** lifts to **FDHilb**_{NZ}, but I don't know whether **FDHilb**_{NZ} is compact closed.
- ▶ Does this construction really have any interesting application to quantum information?
- ▶ I invite anyone who is interested, to collaborate with me to finish this work.