Hoare Logic for Quantum Programs

Mingsheng Ying

University of Technology, Sydney and Tsinghua University

SamsonFest, May 28-30,2013

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Abramsky Conjecture:

For every n > 2, every n-partite entangled state is logically non-local

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

Happy Birthday, Samson!

Outline

Introduction

Syntax of Quantum Programs

Operational Semantics

Denotational Semantics

Correctness Formulas

Proof System for Quantum Programs

Conclusion

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

Outline

Introduction

Syntax of Quantum Programs

Operational Semantics

Denotational Semantics

Correctness Formulas

Proof System for Quantum Programs

Conclusion

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

Quantum Programming

Quantum Random Access Machine (QRAM) model

E. H. Knill, *Conventions for quantum pseudocode*, Technical Report, Los Alamos National Laboratory, 1996.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Quantum Programming

- Quantum Random Access Machine (QRAM) model
- A set of conventions for writing quantum pseudocode

E. H. Knill, *Conventions for quantum pseudocode*, Technical Report, Los Alamos National Laboratory, 1996.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

 qGCL: quantum extension of Dijkstra's Guarded Command Language [1]

J. W. Sanders and P. Zuliani, Quantum programming, *Mathematics of Program Construction*, 2000.
 B. Ömer, *Structural quantum programming*, Ph.D. Thesis, Technical University of Vienna, 2003.
 P. Selinger, Towards a quantum programming language, *Mathematical Structures in Computer Science*, 14(2004)

- qGCL: quantum extension of Dijkstra's Guarded Command Language [1]
- QCL: high-level, architecture independent, with a syntax derived from classical procedural languages like C or Pascal [2]

J. W. Sanders and P. Zuliani, Quantum programming, *Mathematics of Program Construction*, 2000.
 B. Ömer, *Structural quantum programming*, Ph.D. Thesis, Technical University of Vienna, 2003.
 P. Selinger, Towards a quantum programming language, *Mathematical Structures in Computer Science*, 14(2004)

- qGCL: quantum extension of Dijkstra's Guarded Command Language [1]
- QCL: high-level, architecture independent, with a syntax derived from classical procedural languages like C or Pascal [2]
- QPL: functional in nature, with high-level features (loops, recursive procedures, structured data types) [3]

[1] J. W. Sanders and P. Zuliani, Quantum programming, *Mathematics* of *Program Construction*, 2000.

[2] B. Ömer, *Structural quantum programming*, Ph.D. Thesis, Technical University of Vienna, 2003.

[3] P. Selinger, Towards a quantum programming language, *Mathematical Structures in Computer Science*, 14(2004)

Scaffold: Quantum programming language (Princeton, UCS, UCSB) [1]

 A. J. Abhari, et al., *Scaffold: Quantum Programming Language*, Technical Report, Department of Computer Science, Princeton University, 2012.
 A. S. Green, P. L. Lumsdaine, N. J. Ross, P. Selinger and B. Valiron, Quipper: A Scalable Quantum Programming Language, *PLDI*, 2013.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- Scaffold: Quantum programming language (Princeton, UCS, UCSB) [1]
- Quipper: A Scalable Quantum Programming Language [2]

[1] A. J. Abhari, et al., *Scaffold: Quantum Programming Language*, Technical Report, Department of Computer Science, Princeton University, 2012.

[2] A. S. Green, P. L. Lumsdaine, N. J. Ross, P. Selinger and B. Valiron, Quipper: A Scalable Quantum Programming Language, *PLDI*, 2013.

Floyd-Hoare Logic for Quantum Programs

[1] O. Brunet and P. Jorrand, Dynamic quantum logic for quantum programs, *International Journal of Quantum Information*, 2(2004)
[2] A. Baltag and S. Smets, LQP: the dynamic logic of quantum information, *Mathematical Structures in Computer Science*, 16(2006)
[3] Y. Kakutani, A logic for formal verification of quantum programs, *Proceedings of 13th Asian conference on Advances in Computer Science*, 2009

[4] M. S. Ying, *TOPLAS* 39(2011), art. no. 19
[4'] M. S. Ying, arXiv (quant-ph): 0906.4586

Outline

Introduction

Syntax of Quantum Programs

Operational Semantics

Denotational Semantics

Correctness Formulas

Proof System for Quantum Programs

Conclusion

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

Syntax

A "core" language for imperative quantum programming

• A countably infinite set *Var* of quantum variables

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

Syntax

A "core"language for imperative quantum programming

• A countably infinite set *Var* of quantum variables

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

Two basic data types: Boolean, integer

Hilbert spaces denoted by Boolean and integer:

 $\mathcal{H}_{\text{Boolean}} = \mathcal{H}_2,$ $\mathcal{H}_{\text{integer}} = \mathcal{H}_{\infty}.$

Space l_2 of square summable sequences

$$\mathcal{H}_{\infty} = \{\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_n | n \rangle : \alpha_n \in \mathbb{C} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |\alpha_n|^2 < \infty \},$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

where \mathbb{Z} is the set of integers.

A quantum register is a finite sequence of distinct quantum variables.

State space of a quantum register $\overline{q} = q_1, ..., q_n$:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\overline{q}} = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n \mathcal{H}_{q_i}.$$

(ロト・日本)・モン・モン・モー のへの

Quantum extension of classical while-programs:

$$S ::= \mathbf{skip} \mid q := 0 \mid \overline{q} := U\overline{q} \mid S_1; S_2 \mid \mathbf{measure} \ M[\overline{q}] : \overline{S} \\ \mid \mathbf{while} \ M[\overline{q}] = 1 \ \mathbf{do} \ S$$

◆□▶◆圖▶◆圖▶◆圖▶ ■ のへで

• *q* is a quantum variable and \overline{q} a quantum register

Quantum extension of classical while-programs:

$$S ::= \mathbf{skip} \mid q := 0 \mid \overline{q} := U\overline{q} \mid S_1; S_2 \mid \mathbf{measure} \ M[\overline{q}] : \overline{S} \\ \mid \mathbf{while} \ M[\overline{q}] = 1 \ \mathbf{do} \ S$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

- *q* is a quantum variable and \overline{q} a quantum register
- *U* in the statement " $\overline{q} := U\overline{q}$ " is a unitary operator on $\mathcal{H}_{\overline{q}}$

Quantum extension of classical while-programs:

$$S ::= \mathbf{skip} \mid q := 0 \mid \overline{q} := U\overline{q} \mid S_1; S_2 \mid \mathbf{measure} \ M[\overline{q}] : \overline{S} \\ \mid \mathbf{while} \ M[\overline{q}] = 1 \ \mathbf{do} \ S$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

- *q* is a quantum variable and \overline{q} a quantum register
- *U* in the statement " $\overline{q} := U\overline{q}$ " is a unitary operator on $\mathcal{H}_{\overline{q}}$
- statement measure:

Quantum extension of classical while-programs:

$$S ::= \mathbf{skip} \mid q := 0 \mid \overline{q} := U\overline{q} \mid S_1; S_2 \mid \mathbf{measure} \ M[\overline{q}] : \overline{S} \\ \mid \mathbf{while} \ M[\overline{q}] = 1 \ \mathbf{do} \ S$$

- *q* is a quantum variable and \overline{q} a quantum register
- *U* in the statement " $\overline{q} := U\overline{q}$ " is a unitary operator on $\mathcal{H}_{\overline{q}}$
- statement measure:

• $M = \{M_m\}$ is a measurement on the state space $\mathcal{H}_{\overline{q}}$ of \overline{q}

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Quantum extension of classical while-programs:

$$S ::= \mathbf{skip} \mid q := 0 \mid \overline{q} := U\overline{q} \mid S_1; S_2 \mid \mathbf{measure} \ M[\overline{q}] : \overline{S} \\ \mid \mathbf{while} \ M[\overline{q}] = 1 \ \mathbf{do} \ S$$

- *q* is a quantum variable and \overline{q} a quantum register
- *U* in the statement " $\overline{q} := U\overline{q}$ " is a unitary operator on $\mathcal{H}_{\overline{q}}$
- statement measure:
 - $M = \{M_m\}$ is a measurement on the state space $\mathcal{H}_{\overline{q}}$ of \overline{q}
 - $S = \{S_m\}$ is a set of quantum programs such that each outcome *m* of measurement *M* corresponds to S_m

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Quantum extension of classical while-programs:

$$S ::= \mathbf{skip} \mid q := 0 \mid \overline{q} := U\overline{q} \mid S_1; S_2 \mid \mathbf{measure} \ M[\overline{q}] : \overline{S} \\ \mid \mathbf{while} \ M[\overline{q}] = 1 \ \mathbf{do} \ S$$

- *q* is a quantum variable and \overline{q} a quantum register
- *U* in the statement " $\overline{q} := U\overline{q}$ " is a unitary operator on $\mathcal{H}_{\overline{q}}$
- statement measure:
 - $M = \{M_m\}$ is a measurement on the state space $\mathcal{H}_{\overline{q}}$ of \overline{q}
 - $S = \{S_m\}$ is a set of quantum programs such that each outcome *m* of measurement *M* corresponds to S_m
- ▶ statement **while**: $M = \{M_0, M_1\}$ is a yes-no measurement on $\mathcal{H}_{\overline{q}}$

Outline

Introduction

Syntax of Quantum Programs

Operational Semantics

Denotational Semantics

Correctness Formulas

Proof System for Quantum Programs

Conclusion

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

A quantum configuration is a pair

 $\langle S, \rho \rangle$

A quantum configuration is a pair

 $\langle S, \rho \rangle$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ のへぐ

► *S* is a quantum program or *E* (the empty program)

A quantum configuration is a pair

 $\langle S,\rho\rangle$

- ► *S* is a quantum program or *E* (the empty program)
- ► $\rho \in D^{-}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$ is a partial density operator on \mathcal{H}_{all} (global) state of quantum variables

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

A quantum configuration is a pair

 $\langle S, \rho \rangle$

- ► *S* is a quantum program or *E* (the empty program)
- ▶ $\rho \in D^{-}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$ is a partial density operator on \mathcal{H}_{all} (global) state of quantum variables
- Tensor product of the state spaces of all quantum variables:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{all}} = \bigotimes_{\text{all } q} \mathcal{H}_q$$

- コン・4回シュービン・4回シューレー

A quantum configuration is a pair

 $\langle S, \rho \rangle$

- ► *S* is a quantum program or *E* (the empty program)
- ► $\rho \in D^{-}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$ is a partial density operator on \mathcal{H}_{all} (global) state of quantum variables
- Tensor product of the state spaces of all quantum variables:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{all}} = \bigotimes_{\text{all } q} \mathcal{H}_q$$

Transitions between configurations:

$$\langle S, \rho \rangle \rightarrow \langle S', \rho' \rangle$$

Operational Semantics

(Skip)
$$\overline{\langle \mathbf{skip}, \rho \rangle \rightarrow \langle E, \rho \rangle}$$

(Initialization)
$$\overline{\langle q := 0, \rho \rangle \to \langle E, \rho_0^q \rangle}$$

► type(q) = Boolean:

$$ho_0^q = |0
angle_q \langle 0|
ho|0
angle_q \langle 0|+|0
angle_q \langle 1|
ho|1
angle_q \langle 0|$$

(ロト・日本)・モン・モン・モー のへの

Operational Semantics

$$(Skip) \qquad \overline{\langle \mathbf{skip}, \rho \rangle \to \langle E, \rho \rangle}$$

(*Initialization*)
$$\overline{\langle q := 0, \rho \rangle} \rightarrow \langle E, \rho_0^q \rangle$$

▶ type(q) = Boolean:

$$ho_0^q = |0
angle_q \langle 0|
ho|0
angle_q \langle 0|+|0
angle_q \langle 1|
ho|1
angle_q \langle 0|$$

► *type*(*q*) = **integer**:

$$\rho_0^q = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |0\rangle_q \langle n|\rho|n\rangle_q \langle 0|$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ のへぐ

Operational Semantics, Continued

(Unitary Transformation) $\overline{}_{T}$

$$\langle \overline{q} := U\overline{q}, \rho \rangle \to \langle E, U\rho U^{\dagger} \rangle$$

(Sequential Composition)

$$\frac{\langle S_1, \rho \rangle \to \langle S_1', \rho' \rangle}{\langle S_1; S_2, \rho \rangle \to \langle S_1'; S_2, \rho' \rangle}$$

Convention : $E; S_2 = S_2$.

(Measurement)

 $\overline{\langle \mathbf{measure} \, M[\overline{q}] : \overline{S}, \rho \rangle} \rightarrow \langle S_m, M_m \rho M_m^{\dagger} \rangle$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

for each outcome m

Operational Semantics, Continued

(Loop 0)
$$\overline{\langle \mathbf{while} \ M[\overline{q}] = 1 \ \mathbf{do} \ S, \rho \rangle \to \langle E, M_0 \rho M_0^{\dagger} \rangle}$$

 $(Loop \ 1)$

$$\overline{\langle \mathbf{while} \, M[\overline{q}] = 1 \, \mathbf{do} \, S, \rho \rangle} \rightarrow \langle S; \mathbf{while} \, M[\overline{q}] = 1 \, \mathbf{do} \, S, M_1 \rho M_1^{\dagger} \rangle$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

Outline

Introduction

Syntax of Quantum Programs

Operational Semantics

Denotational Semantics

Correctness Formulas

Proof System for Quantum Programs

Conclusion

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

Definition

Semantic function of quantum program *S*:

$$\llbracket S \rrbracket : \mathcal{D}^{-}(\mathcal{H}_{all}) \to \mathcal{D}^{-}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$$

is defined by

$$\llbracket S \rrbracket(\rho) = \sum \{ |\rho' : \langle S, \rho \rangle \to^* \langle E, \rho' \rangle | \}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ のへぐ

for all $\rho \in \mathcal{D}^{-}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$.

1. $[[skip]](\rho) = \rho$.



1. $[[skip]](\rho) = \rho$.



type(q) =**integer**:

$$\llbracket q := 0 \rrbracket(\rho) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |0\rangle_q \langle n|\rho|n\rangle_q \langle 0|.$$

|.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ のへぐ

1.
$$\llbracket skip \rrbracket(\rho) = \rho$$
.
2. $\star type(q) = Boolean:$
 $\llbracket q := 0 \rrbracket(\rho) = |0\rangle_q \langle 0|\rho|0\rangle_q \langle 0| + |0\rangle_q \langle 1|\rho|1\rangle_q \langle 0|.$
 $type(q) = integer:$
 $\llbracket q := 0 \rrbracket(\rho) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |0\rangle_q \langle n|\rho|n\rangle_q \langle 0|.$

 $n = -\infty$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ のへぐ

3. $\llbracket \overline{q} := U\overline{q} \rrbracket(\rho) = U\rho U^{\dagger}.$

1.
$$\llbracket skip \rrbracket(\rho) = \rho$$
.
2. \bullet type(q) = Boolean:
 $\llbracket q := 0 \rrbracket(\rho) = |0\rangle_q \langle 0|\rho|0\rangle_q \langle 0| + |0\rangle_q \langle 1|\rho|1\rangle_q \langle 0|.$
type(q) = integer:
 $\llbracket q := 0 \rrbracket(\rho) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |0\rangle_q \langle n|\rho|n\rangle_q \langle 0|.$

 $n = -\infty$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

3.
$$\llbracket \overline{q} := U \overline{q} \rrbracket (\rho) = U \rho U^{\dagger}.$$

4. $\llbracket S_1; S_2 \rrbracket (\rho) = \llbracket S_2 \rrbracket (\llbracket S_1 \rrbracket (\rho)).$

1.
$$\llbracket skip \rrbracket(\rho) = \rho$$
.
2. \bullet type(q) = Boolean:
 $\llbracket q := 0 \rrbracket(\rho) = |0\rangle_q \langle 0|\rho|0\rangle_q \langle 0| + |0\rangle_q \langle 1|\rho|1\rangle_q \langle 0|$.
type(q) = integer:

$$\llbracket q := 0 \rrbracket(\rho) \sum_{n = -\infty} |0\rangle_q \langle n|\rho|n\rangle_q \langle 0|.$$

- 3. $\llbracket \overline{q} := U\overline{q} \rrbracket(\rho) = U\rho U^{\dagger}.$ 4. $\llbracket S_1; S_2 \rrbracket(\rho) = \llbracket S_2 \rrbracket(\llbracket S_1 \rrbracket(\rho)).$ 5. $\llbracket maxim M[\overline{a}] : \overline{S} \rrbracket(\rho) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \llbracket S_i \rrbracket(M_i, \rho).$
- 5. **[measure** $M[\overline{q}] : \overline{S}$]] $(\rho) = \sum_m [S_m] (M_m \rho M_m^{\dagger}).$

1.
$$[[skip]](\rho) = \rho$$
.
2. \flat type(q) = Boolean:
 $[[q := 0]](\rho) = |0\rangle_q \langle 0|\rho|0\rangle_q \langle 0| + |0\rangle_q \langle 1|\rho|1\rangle_q \langle 0|\rho|0\rangle_q \langle 0| + |0\rangle_q \langle 1|\rho|1\rangle_q \langle 0|\rho|0\rangle_q \langle 0|\rho|0\rangle_q$

 $\llbracket q := 0 \rrbracket(\rho) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |0\rangle_q \langle n|\rho|n\rangle_q \langle 0|.$

.

3. $\llbracket \overline{q} := U\overline{q} \rrbracket(\rho) = U\rho U^{\dagger}.$ 4. $\llbracket S_1; S_2 \rrbracket(\rho) = \llbracket S_2 \rrbracket(\llbracket S_1 \rrbracket(\rho)).$ 5. $\llbracket \text{measure } M[\overline{q}] : \overline{S} \rrbracket(\rho) = \sum_m \llbracket S_m \rrbracket(M_m \rho M_m^{\dagger}).$ 6. $\llbracket \text{while } M[\overline{q}] = 1 \text{ do } S \rrbracket(\rho) = \bigvee_{n=0}^{\infty} \llbracket (\text{while } M[\overline{q}] = 1 \text{ do } S)^n \rrbracket(\rho).$

Notation

(while
$$M[\overline{q}] = 1 \text{ do } S)^0 = \Omega$$
,
(while $M[\overline{q}] = 1 \text{ do } S)^{n+1} = \text{measure } M[\overline{q}] : \overline{S}$,

where:

•
$$\Omega$$
 is a program such that $\llbracket \Omega \rrbracket = 0_{\mathcal{H}_{all}}$ for all $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H})$

Notation

(while
$$M[\overline{q}] = 1 \text{ do } S)^0 = \Omega$$
,
(while $M[\overline{q}] = 1 \text{ do } S)^{n+1} = \text{measure } M[\overline{q}] : \overline{S}$,

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ のへぐ

where:

• Ω is a program such that $\llbracket \Omega \rrbracket = 0_{\mathcal{H}_{all}}$ for all $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H})$

$$\bullet \ \overline{S} = S_0, S_1,$$

Notation

(while
$$M[\overline{q}] = 1 \text{ do } S)^0 = \Omega$$
,
(while $M[\overline{q}] = 1 \text{ do } S)^{n+1} = \text{measure } M[\overline{q}] : \overline{S}$,

where:

•

Ω is a program such that [Ω] = 0_{H_{all}} for all ρ ∈ D(H)
S̄ = S₀, S₁,

$$S_0 = \mathbf{skip},$$

 $S_1 = S; (\mathbf{while } M[\overline{q}] = 1 \mathbf{ do } S)^n$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ のへぐ

for all $n \ge 0$.

Recursion

 $\llbracket \mathbf{while} \rrbracket(\rho) = M_0 \rho M_0^{\dagger} + \llbracket \mathbf{while} \rrbracket(\llbracket S \rrbracket(M_1 \rho M_1^{\dagger}))$ for all $\rho \in \mathcal{D}^-(\mathcal{H}_{all})$, where:

• while is the quantum loop "while $M[\overline{q}] = 1$ do *S*".

Observation:

 $tr(\llbracket S \rrbracket(\rho)) \leq tr(\rho)$

for any quantum program *S* and all $\rho \in \mathcal{D}^{-}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$.

tr(ρ) − *tr*([[S]](ρ)) is the probability that program S diverges from input state ρ.

Outline

Introduction

Syntax of Quantum Programs

Operational Semantics

Denotational Semantics

Correctness Formulas

Proof System for Quantum Programs

Conclusion

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

E. D'Hondt and P. Panangaden, Quantum weakest preconditions, *Mathematical Structures in Computer Science*, 16(2006)

For any X ⊆ Var, a quantum predicate on H_X is a Hermitian operator P:

 $0_{\mathcal{H}_X} \sqsubseteq P \sqsubseteq I_{\mathcal{H}_X}.$

(ロト・日本)・モン・モン・モー のへの

E. D'Hondt and P. Panangaden, Quantum weakest preconditions, *Mathematical Structures in Computer Science*, 16(2006)

For any X ⊆ Var, a quantum predicate on H_X is a Hermitian operator P:

$$0_{\mathcal{H}_X} \sqsubseteq P \sqsubseteq I_{\mathcal{H}_X}.$$

• $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_X)$ denotes the set of quantum predicates on \mathcal{H}_X .

E. D'Hondt and P. Panangaden, Quantum weakest preconditions, *Mathematical Structures in Computer Science*, 16(2006)

For any X ⊆ Var, a quantum predicate on H_X is a Hermitian operator P:

$$0_{\mathcal{H}_X} \sqsubseteq P \sqsubseteq I_{\mathcal{H}_X}.$$

- $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_X)$ denotes the set of quantum predicates on \mathcal{H}_X .
- For any $\rho \in \mathcal{D}^{-}(\mathcal{H}_X)$, $tr(P\rho)$ stands for the probability that predicate *P* is satisfied in state ρ .

A correctness formula (*Hoare triple*) is a statement of the form:

$\{P\}S\{Q\}$

where:

► *S* is a quantum program

A correctness formula (*Hoare triple*) is a statement of the form:

$\{P\}S\{Q\}$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

where:

- ► *S* is a quantum program
- *P* and *Q* are quantum predicates on \mathcal{H}_{all} .

A correctness formula (*Hoare triple*) is a statement of the form:

$\{P\}S\{Q\}$

where:

- ► *S* is a quantum program
- *P* and *Q* are quantum predicates on \mathcal{H}_{all} .
- Operator *P* is called the *precondition* and *Q* the *postcondition*.

1. The correctness formula {*P*}*S*{*Q*} is true in the sense of *total correctness*, written

 $\models_{\mathsf{tot}} \{P\}S\{Q\},\$

if

$$tr(P\rho) \leq tr(Q[[S]](\rho))$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

for all $\rho \in \mathcal{D}^{-}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$.

1. The correctness formula {*P*}*S*{*Q*} is true in the sense of *total correctness*, written

 $\models_{\mathsf{tot}} \{P\}S\{Q\},\$

if

$$tr(P\rho) \le tr(Q[[S]](\rho))$$

for all $\rho \in \mathcal{D}^{-}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$.

2. The correctness formula {*P*}*S*{*Q*} is true in the sense of *partial correctness*, written

 $\models_{\text{par}} \{P\}S\{Q\},\$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

if

$$tr(P\rho) \le tr(Q\llbracket S \rrbracket(\rho)) + [tr(\rho) - tr(\llbracket S \rrbracket(\rho))]$$

for all $\rho \in \mathcal{D}^{-}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$.

Outline

Introduction

Syntax of Quantum Programs

Operational Semantics

Denotational Semantics

Correctness Formulas

Proof System for Quantum Programs

Conclusion

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

Proof System PD for Partial Correctness

 $(Axiom Skip) \qquad \qquad \{P\}\mathbf{Skip}\{P\}$

(Axiom Initialization)type(q) =**Boolean** :

 $\{|0\rangle_q \langle 0|P|0\rangle_q \langle 0|+|1\rangle_q \langle 0|P|0\rangle_q \langle 1|\}q := 0\{P\}$

type(q) = integer:

$$\{\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |n\rangle_q \langle 0|P|0\rangle_q \langle n|\}q := 0\{P\}$$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

(Axiom Unitary Transformation) $\{U^{\dagger}PU\}\bar{q} := U\bar{q}\{P\}$

Proof System PD for Partial Correctness, Continued

(Rule Sequential Composition)	$\frac{\{P\}S_1\{Q\} \{Q\}S_2\{R\}}{\{P\}S_1;S_2\{R\}}$
(Rule Measurement) $\overline{\{\sum_{n}$	${P_m \} S_m \{Q\} \text{ for all } m \atop_{n} M_m^{\dagger} P_m M_m \} \text{measure } M[\overline{q}] : \overline{S} \{Q\}}$
(Rule Loop Partial) $\overline{\{M_0^{\dagger}\}}$	$\{Q\}S\{M_0^{\dagger}PM_0 + M_1^{\dagger}QM_1\}$ PM ₀ + M ₁ [†] QM ₁ \} while M[\overline{q}] = 1 do S{P}
	$\frac{P'}{S\{Q'\}} Q' \sqsubseteq Q$ $\frac{P}{S\{Q\}}$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

Soundness Theorem for PD

Proof system *PD* is *sound* for partial correctness of quantum programs.

► For any quantum program *S* and quantum predicates $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$, we have:

 $\vdash_{PD} \{P\}S\{Q\} \text{ implies } \models_{\text{par}} \{P\}S\{Q\}.$

Completeness Theorem for PD

Proof system *PD* is *complete* for partial correctness of quantum programs.

► For any quantum program *S* and quantum predicates $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$, we have:

 $\models_{\text{par}} \{P\}S\{Q\} \text{ implies } \vdash_{PD} \{P\}S\{Q\}.$

Let $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$ and $\epsilon > 0$. A function

 $t: \mathcal{D}^{-}(\mathcal{H}_{all}) \to \mathbb{N}$

is called a (P, ϵ) -bound function of quantum loop:

while $M[\overline{q}] = 1$ do S

if:

1. $t([S](M_1\rho M_1^{\dagger})) \le t(\rho);$

for all $\rho \in \mathcal{D}^{-}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$.

Let $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$ and $\epsilon > 0$. A function

 $t: \mathcal{D}^{-}(\mathcal{H}_{all}) \to \mathbb{N}$

is called a (P, ϵ) -bound function of quantum loop:

while $M[\overline{q}] = 1$ do S

if:

1.
$$t([S](M_1\rho M_1^{\dagger})) \le t(\rho);$$

2. $tr(P\rho) \ge \epsilon$ implies $t(\llbracket S \rrbracket(M_1\rho M_1^{\dagger})) < t(\rho)$ for all $\rho \in \mathcal{D}^-(\mathcal{H}_{all})$.

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Proof System } TD = (\mbox{Proof System } PD - \mbox{Rule Loop Partial}) \\ & + \mbox{Rule Loop Total} \end{array}$

Proof System TD = (Proof System PD - Rule Loop Partial) + Rule Loop Total

Rule: Total Correctness for Loop

 $(1) \{Q\}S\{M_0^{\dagger}PM_0 + M_1^{\dagger}QM_1\}$ $(2) \text{ for any } \epsilon > 0, \ t_{\epsilon} \text{ is a } (M_1^{\dagger}QM_1, \epsilon) - \text{ bound}$ $(Rule \text{ Loop Total}) \quad \frac{\text{function of loop while } M[\bar{q}] = 1 \text{ do } S}{\{M_0^{\dagger}PM_0 + M_1^{\dagger}QM_1\}\text{ while } M[\bar{q}] = 1 \text{ do } S\{P\}}$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

Soundness Theorem for TD

Proof system *TD* is sound for total correctness of quantum programs.

▶ For any quantum program *S* and quantum predicates $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$, we have:

 $\vdash_{TD} \{P\}S\{Q\} \text{ implies } \models_{\text{tot}} \{P\}S\{Q\}.$

Completeness Theorem

The proof system *TD* is complete for total correctness of quantum programs.

► For any quantum program *S* and quantum predicates $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$, we have:

 $\models_{\text{tot}} \{P\}S\{Q\} \text{ implies } \vdash_{TD} \{P\}S\{Q\}.$

Proof Outline

► Claim: $\vdash_{PD} \{wlp.S.Q\}S\{Q\}$ for any quantum program *S* and quantum predicate $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$.

Induction on the structure of *S*.

wp.**while**.
$$Q = M_0^{\dagger}QM_0 + M_1^{\dagger}(wp.S.(wp.while.Q))M_1$$
.

Our aim is to derive:

 $\{M_0^{\dagger}QM_0 + M_1^{\dagger}(wp.S.(wp.\mathbf{while}.Q))M_1\}$ while $\{Q\}$.

Proof Outline

► Claim: $\vdash_{PD} \{wlp.S.Q\}S\{Q\}$ for any quantum program *S* and quantum predicate $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$.

Induction on the structure of *S*.

• Example case: S =while $M[\bar{q}] = 1$ do S'.

$$wp.$$
while. $Q = M_0^{\dagger}QM_0 + M_1^{\dagger}(wp.S.(wp.$ while. $Q))M_1.$

Our aim is to derive:

 $\{M_0^{\dagger}QM_0 + M_1^{\dagger}(wp.S.(wp.while.Q))M_1\}$ while $\{Q\}$.

► Induction hypothesis on *S*':

 $\{wp.S'.(wp.while.Q)\}S\{wp.while.Q\}.$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ∽ � ♥

► Induction hypothesis on *S*′:

 $\{wp.S'.(wp.while.Q)\}S\{wp.while.Q\}.$

► Rule Loop Total: It suffices to show that for any ε > 0, there exists a (M⁺₁(wp.S'. (wp.S.Q))M₁, ε)-bound function of quantum loop while.

► Induction hypothesis on *S*′:

```
\{wp.S'.(wp.while.Q)\}S\{wp.while.Q\}.
```

- ► Rule Loop Total: It suffices to show that for any ε > 0, there exists a (M[†]₁(wp.S'. (wp.S.Q))M₁, ε)−bound function of quantum loop while.
- Bound Function Lemma: We only need to prove:

 $\lim_{n\to\infty} tr(M_1^{\dagger}(wp.S'.(wp.\mathbf{while}.Q))M_1(\llbracket S' \rrbracket \circ \mathcal{E}_1)^n(\rho)) = 0.$

We observe:

$$\begin{split} tr(M_1^{\dagger}(wp.S'.(wp.\mathbf{while}.Q))M_1(\llbracket S' \rrbracket \circ \mathcal{E}_1)^n(\rho)) \\ &= tr(wp.S'.(wp.\mathbf{while}.Q)M_1(\llbracket S' \rrbracket \circ \mathcal{E}_1)^n(\rho)M_1^{\dagger}) \\ &= tr(wp.\mathbf{while}.Q\llbracket S' \rrbracket (M_1(\llbracket S' \rrbracket \circ \mathcal{E}_1)^n(\rho)M_1^{\dagger})) \\ &= tr(wp.\mathbf{while}.Q(\llbracket S' \rrbracket \circ \mathcal{E}_1)^{n+1}(\rho)) \\ &= tr(Q\llbracket \mathbf{while} \rrbracket (\llbracket S' \rrbracket \circ \mathcal{E}_1)^{n+1}(\rho)) \\ &= \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} tr(Q[\mathcal{E}_0 \circ (\llbracket S' \rrbracket \circ \mathcal{E}_1)^k](\rho)). \end{split}$$

We consider the infinite series of nonnegative real numbers:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} tr(Q[\mathcal{E}_0 \circ (\llbracket S' \rrbracket \circ \mathcal{E}_1)^k](\rho)) = tr(Q\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [\mathcal{E}_0 \circ (\llbracket S' \rrbracket \circ \mathcal{E}_1)^k](\rho)).$$

Since $Q \sqsubseteq I_{\mathcal{H}_{all}}$, it follows that

$$tr(Q\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [\mathcal{E}_0 \circ (\llbracket S' \rrbracket \circ \mathcal{E}_1)^k](\rho)) = tr(Q\llbracket \mathbf{while} \rrbracket(\rho))$$
$$\leq tr(\llbracket \mathbf{while} \rrbracket(\rho)) \leq tr(\rho) \leq 1.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三回 - のへぐ

Outline

Introduction

Syntax of Quantum Programs

Operational Semantics

Denotational Semantics

Correctness Formulas

Proof System for Quantum Programs

Conclusion

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

Conclusion

Hoare logic for deterministic quantum programs!

► Classical control flow ⇒ quantum control flow?

(ロト・日本)・モン・モン・モー のへで

Thank You!