

A comonadic view of simulation and quantum resources

Samson Abramsky

Department of Computer Science
University of Oxford

`ruí.soares.barbosa@cs.ox.ac.uk`

Rui Soares Barbosa

Department of Computer Science
University of Oxford

`ruí.soares.barbosa@cs.ox.ac.uk`

Martti Karvonen

School of Informatics
University of Edinburgh

`martti.karvonen@ed.ac.uk`

Shane Mansfield

Laboratoire d'Informatique de Paris 6
CNRS and Sorbonne Université

`shane.mansfield@lip6.fr`

We study simulation and quantum resources in the setting of the sheaf-theoretic approach to contextuality and non-locality. Resources are viewed behaviourally, as empirical models. In earlier work a notion of a morphism for these empirical models was proposed and studied. We generalize and simplify the earlier approach, by starting with a very simple notion of morphism, and then extending it to a more useful one by passing to a co-Kleisli category with respect to a comonad of measurement protocols. We show that these morphisms capture notions of simulation between empirical models obtained via “free” operations in a resource theory of contextuality, including the type of classical control used in measurement-based quantum computation schemes.

This is a short submission summarising the contents of a paper accepted for publication in LiCS 2019. The full paper is available at: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1904.10035>

A key objective in the field of quantum information and computation is to understand the advantage which can be gained in information processing tasks by the use of quantum resources. While a range of examples have been studied, to date a systematic understanding of quantum advantage is lacking.

One approach to achieving such a general understanding is through *resource theories* [11, 2], in which one considers a set of operations by which one system can be transformed into another. In particular, one considers “free operations”, which can be performed without consuming any additional resources of the kind in question. If resource B can be constructed from A using only free operations, then we say that A is convertible to B , or B is reducible to A . This point of view is studied in some generality in [8, 10].

Another natural approach, which is familiar in computation theory, is to consider a notion of *simulation*; one asks if the behaviour of B can be produced by some protocol using A as a resource.

Both these points of view can be considered in relation to quantum advantage. Our focus in this paper is on quantum resources which take the form of *non-local*, or more generally *contextual*, correlations. Contextuality is one of the key signatures of non-classicality in quantum mechanics [14, 6], and has been shown to be a necessary ingredient for quantum advantage in a range of information processing tasks [15, 12, 7, 2]. In previous work [2], we showed how this advantage could be quantified in terms of the *contextual fraction*, and we also introduced a range of free operations, which were shown to have the required property of being non-increasing with respect to the contextual fraction. Thus this work provided some of the basic ingredients for a resource theory of quantum advantage, with contextuality as the resource.

In [13], one of the present authors introduced a notion of simulation between (possibly contextual) behaviours, as morphisms between empirical models, in the setting of the “sheaf-theoretic” approach to contextuality introduced in [3]. This established a basis for a simulation-based approach to comparing resources.

In this paper, we bring these two approaches together.

- On the simulation side, we enhance the treatment given in [13] by introducing a *measurement protocols* construction on empirical models. Measurement protocols were first introduced in a different setting in [4]. This construction captures the intuitive notion, widely used in an informal fashion in concrete results in quantum information (e.g. [5]), of using a “box” or device by performing some measurement on it, and then, depending on the outcome, choosing some further measurements to perform. This form of adaptive behaviour also plays a crucial role in measurement-based quantum computing [16].

We show that this construction yields a comonad on the category of empirical models, and hence we are able to describe a very general notion of simulation of B by A in terms of co-Kleisli maps from A to B .

- We consider the algebraic operations previously introduced in [2] and introduce a new operation allowing a conditional measurement, a one-step version of adaptivity. We present an equational theory for these operations and use this to obtain normal forms for resource expressions.
- Using these normal forms, we obtain one of our main results: we show that the algebraic notion of convertibility coincides with the existence of a simulation morphism.
- We also prove some further results, including a form of no-cloning theorem at the abstract level of simulations.

Mathematical setting

We now proceed to elaborate briefly on these notions.

The types of behaviours are given by *scenarios* (X, Σ, O) , where X is a set of measurement labels, Σ is a simplicial complex with vertices X giving the compatible sets of measurements, and $O = \{O_x\}_{x \in X}$ is the set of possible outcomes for each measurement $x \in X$. The set of joint outcomes for a context $C \in \Sigma$ is $\prod_{x \in C} O_x$.

A behaviour over a scenario (X, Σ, O) is given by an *empirical model* $e = \{e_C\}_{C \in \Sigma}$, where e_C is a probability distribution on $\prod_{x \in C} O_x$. This must satisfy the compatibility (or local consistency) condition: for all $C, C' \in \Sigma$, $e_C|_{C \cap C'} = e_{C'}|_{C \cap C'}$, where restriction is given by marginalization. Physically, this corresponds to the no-disturbance condition, and in the case of Bell scenarios (interpreted as spatially separated), to no-signalling. An empirical model e is *contextual* if there is no probability distribution d on $\prod_{x \in X} O_x$, the set of global assignments of outcomes to all measurements, which marginalizes to the empirical behaviour, i.e. such that $d|_C = e_C$ for all $C \in \Sigma$. Contextuality thus arises when there is local consistency but global inconsistency.

A morphism of scenarios $(\pi, h) : (X, \Sigma, O) \rightarrow (Y, \Delta, P)$ is given by a simplicial map $\pi : \Delta \rightarrow \Sigma$, and for each $y \in Y$ a map $h_y : O_{\pi(y)} \rightarrow P_y$. Such a morphism induces a natural action on empirical models: if e is an empirical model on (X, Σ, O) , then $(\pi, h)^*e$ is an empirical model on (Y, Δ, P) , given by $(\pi, h)^*(e)_C = D(\gamma)(e_{\pi(C)})$, the push-forward of the probability measure $e_{\pi(C)}$ along the map $\gamma : \prod_{x \in \pi(C)} O_x \rightarrow \prod_{y \in C} P_y$ given by $\gamma(s)_y = h_y(s_{\pi(y)})$. This gives a category **Emp**, with objects $e : (X, \Sigma, O)$, and morphisms $(\pi, h) : e \rightarrow e'$ such that $(\pi, h)^*(e) = e'$.

To obtain our full notion of simulation, we define a comonad MP on **Emp**, which builds the set of *measurement protocols* over a given scenario. These protocols proceed recursively by first performing a measurement over the given scenario, and then conditioning their further measurements on the outcome. Thus they incorporate adaptive classical processing, of the kind used e.g. in Measurement-Based Quantum Computing. We omit the details of the MP construction in this abstract; see [1].

Given empirical models e and d , a *simulation* of e by d is a map $d \otimes c \rightarrow e$ in \mathbf{Emp}_{MP} , the coKleisli category of MP, i.e. a map $\text{MP}(d \otimes c) \rightarrow e$ in **Emp**, for some noncontextual model c . We denote the existence of a simulation of e by d as $d \rightsquigarrow e$, read “ d simulates e ”. The use of the noncontextual model c is to allow for classical randomness in the simulation.

Further directions

We briefly indicate some directions of ongoing further work.

Degrees of Contextuality

The relation $d \rightsquigarrow e$ is a preorder on empirical models. The induced equivalence classes are the *degrees of contextuality*. They are partially ordered by the existence of simulations between representatives. This partial order can be seen as a fundamental structure in the study of quantum resources. We may ask how rich this order is. Existing results in the non-locality literature such as [9] can be leveraged to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1 *The order contains both infinite strict chains, and infinite antichains.*

This is just a preliminary observation. Many questions arise, and there are natural variations and refinements.

Generalized Vorob'ev theory

The property of (non)contextuality itself can be equivalently formulated as the existence of a simulation by an empirical model over the empty scenario [1]. This suggests that much of contextuality theory can be generalized to a “relativized” form, i.e. essentially working in slice categories.

As an example, consider the classic theorem of Vorob'ev [17]. It characterizes those scenarios over which all empirical models are noncontextual, in terms of an acyclicity condition on the underlying simplicial complex. This can be formulated as characterizing those scenarios such that every model over them can be simulated by a model over the empty scenario.

More generally, we can ask for conditions on scenarios (X, Σ, O) and (Y, Δ, P) such that every empirical model over (Y, Δ, P) can be simulated by some empirical model over (X, Σ, O) . We have some preliminary results on this question.

References

- [1] Samson Abramsky, Rui Soares Barbosa, Martti Karvonen & Shane Mansfield (2019): *A comonadic view of simulation and quantum resources*. In: *Proceedings of the 34th Annual ACM/IEEE Symposium on Logic in Computer Science (LiCS 2019)*. To appear, available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1904.10035>.
- [2] Samson Abramsky, Rui Soares Barbosa & Shane Mansfield (2017): *Contextual fraction as a measure of contextuality*. *Physical Review Letters* 119(5), p. 050504.

- [3] Samson Abramsky & Adam Brandenburger (2011): *The sheaf-theoretic structure of non-locality and contextuality*. *New Journal of Physics* 13(11), p. 113036.
- [4] Antonio Acín, Tobias Fritz, Anthony Leverrier & Ana Belén Sainz (2015): *A combinatorial approach to nonlocality and contextuality*. *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 334(2), pp. 533–628.
- [5] Jonathan Barrett & Stefano Pironio (2005): *Popescu-Rohrlich correlations as a unit of nonlocality*. *Physical Review Letters* 95(14), p. 140401.
- [6] John S Bell (1966): *On the problem of hidden variables in quantum mechanics*. *Reviews of Modern Physics* 38(3), pp. 447–452, doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.38.447.
- [7] Juan Bermejo-Vega, Nicolas Delfosse, Dan E Browne, Cihan Okay & Robert Raussendorf (2017): *Contextuality as a resource for models of quantum computation with qubits*. *Physical Review Letters* 119(12), p. 120505.
- [8] Bob Coecke, Tobias Fritz & Robert W Spekkens (2016): *A mathematical theory of resources*. *Information and Computation* 250, pp. 59–86.
- [9] Frédéric Dupuis, Nicolas Gisin, Avinandan Hasidim, André Allan Méthot & Haran Pilpel (2007): *No nonlocal box is universal*. *Journal of mathematical physics* 48(8), p. 082107.
- [10] Tobias Fritz (2017): *Resource convertibility and ordered commutative monoids*. *Mathematical Structures in Computer Science* 27(6), pp. 850–938.
- [11] Michal Horodecki & Jonathan Oppenheim (2013): *(Quantumness in the context of) resource theories*. *International Journal of Modern Physics B* 27(01n03), p. 1345019.
- [12] Mark Howard, Joel Wallman, Victor Veitch & Joseph Emerson (2014): *Contextuality supplies the ‘magic’ for quantum computation*. *Nature* 510(7505), p. 351.
- [13] Martti Karvonen (2019): *Categories of empirical models*. In Peter Selinger & Giulio Chiribella, editors: *Proceedings of 15th International Conference on Quantum Physics and Logic (QPL 2018)*, *Electronic Proceedings in Theoretical Computer Science* 287, pp. 239–252, doi:10.4204/EPTCS.287.14.
- [14] Simon Kochen & Ernst P Specker (1967): *The problem of hidden variables in quantum mechanics*. *Journal of Mathematics and Mechanics* 17(1), pp. 59–87.
- [15] Robert Raussendorf (2013): *Contextuality in measurement-based quantum computation*. *Physical Review A* 88(2), p. 022322.
- [16] Robert Raussendorf & Hans J Briegel (2001): *A one-way quantum computer*. *Physical Review Letters* 86(22), p. 5188.
- [17] Nikolai Nikolaevich Vorob'ev (1962): *Consistent families of measures and their extensions*. *Theory of Probability & Its Applications* 7(2), pp. 147–163.