

Computational Complexity; slides 8, HT 2022 PSPACE-completeness and Quantified Boolean Formulae

Prof. Paul W. Goldberg (Dept. of Computer Science,
University of Oxford)

HT 2022

Theorem

If $P=NP$, then $EXPTIME=NEXPTIME$

Suppose $X \in NEXPTIME$. Define $pad(X)$ as follows:

$$w \in X \text{ iff } w\Box^{2^n} \in pad(X) \quad (\text{where } n = |w|)$$

We have $pad(X) \in NP$: Given a word of the form $w\Box^N$,

- Check you have the right number of \Box 's.
- run the $NEXPTIME$ algorithm on w -prefix (not the \Box 's).

Hence $pad(X) \in P$ by assumption.

Then, you can take poly-time algorithm for $pad(X)$, and convert it to algorithm that checks w -prefix, in time exponential in $|w|$.

Savitch's Theorem: PSPACE=NPSPACE

Let M be an NPSPACE TM of interest; want to know whether M can accept w within $2^{p(n)}$ steps.

Proof idea: predicate $\text{reachable}(C, C', i)$, satisfied by configurations C, C' and integer i , provided C' is reachable from C within 2^i transitions (w.r.t M).

Note: $\text{reachable}(C, C', i)$ is satisfied provided there exists C'' such that
 $\text{reachable}(C, C'', i - 1)$ and $\text{reachable}(C'', C', i - 1)$

To check $\text{reachable}(C_{\text{init}}, C_{\text{accept}}, p(n))$, try for all configs C'' :
 $\text{reachable}(C_{\text{init}}, C'', p(n) - 1)$ and $\text{reachable}(C'', C_{\text{accept}}, p(n) - 1)$

Which themselves are checked recursively. Depth of recursion is $p(n)$, need to remember at most $p(n)$ configs at any time. We may assume C_{accept} is unique.

Savitch's Theorem

More generally:

Theorem. (Savitch 1970)

For all (space-constructible) $S : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $S(n) \geq \log n$,

$$\text{NSPACE}(S(n)) \subseteq \text{DSPACE}(S(n)^2).$$

In particular: PSPACE = NPSPACE

EXSPACE = NEXSPACE

A PSPACE-complete problem: QBF

c.f. Cook's theorem.

A more general kind of logic problem characterises PSPACE

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/True_quantified_Boolean_formula

A Quantified Boolean Formula is a formula of the form

$$Q_1 X_1 \dots Q_n X_n \varphi(X_1, \dots, X_n)$$

where

- the Q_i are quantifiers \exists or \forall
- φ is a CNF formula in the variables X_1, \dots, X_n and atoms 0 and 1

Example

$$\exists X_1 \forall X_2 \exists X_3 \forall X_4 \forall X_5 \left((X_1 \vee 0 \vee \neg X_5) \wedge (\neg X_2 \vee 1 \vee \neg X_5) \wedge (X_2 \vee X_3 \vee X_4) \right)$$

Quantified Boolean Formulae

Consider the following problem:

QBF

Input: A QBF formula φ .

Question: Is φ true?

Observation: For any propositional formula φ :

φ is satisfiable if, and only if, $\exists X_1 \dots \exists X_n \varphi$ is true.

X_1, \dots, X_n : Variables occurring in φ

Consequence: QBF is NP-hard.

Similarly, QBF is also co-NP-hard.

Theorem: QBF is in PSPACE

Proof: Given $\varphi := Q_1 X_1 \dots Q_n X_n \psi$, letting $m := |\psi|$

Eval-QBF(φ)

if $n = 0$ **Accept** if ψ evaluates to true. **Reject** otherwise.

if $\varphi := \exists X \psi'$

construct $\varphi_1 := \psi'[X \mapsto 1]$

if Eval-QBF(φ_1) evaluates to true, **accept**.

else construct $\varphi_0 := \psi'[X \mapsto 0]$ (reuse space in Eval-QBF(φ_1))

return Eval-QBF(φ_0)

if $\varphi := \forall X \psi'$

construct $\varphi_1 := \psi'[X \mapsto 1]$

if Eval-QBF(φ_1) evaluates to false, **reject**.

else construct $\varphi_0 := \psi'[X \mapsto 0]$ (reuse space in Eval-QBF(φ_1))

return Eval-QBF(φ_0)

Theorem: QBF is in PSPACE

Proof: Given $\varphi := Q_1 X_1 \dots Q_n X_n \psi$, letting $m := |\psi|$

Eval-QBF(φ)

if $n = 0$ **Accept** if ψ evaluates to true. **Reject** otherwise.

if $\varphi := \exists X \psi'$

construct $\varphi_1 := \psi'[X \mapsto 1]$

if Eval-QBF(φ_1) evaluates to true, **accept**.

else construct $\varphi_0 := \psi'[X \mapsto 0]$ (reuse space in Eval-QBF(φ_1))

return Eval-QBF(φ_0)

if $\varphi := \forall X \psi'$

construct $\varphi_1 := \psi'[X \mapsto 1]$

if Eval-QBF(φ_1) evaluates to false, **reject**.

else construct $\varphi_0 := \psi'[X \mapsto 0]$ (reuse space in Eval-QBF(φ_1))

return Eval-QBF(φ_0)

Space complexity: Algorithm uses $\mathcal{O}(nm)$ tape cells.

(At depth d of recursion tree, remember d simplified versions of φ ; can be improved to $\mathcal{O}(n + m)$ by remembering φ and d bits...)

Theorem: QBF is NPSPACE-hard

Let $\mathcal{L} \in \text{NPSPACE}$. We show $\mathcal{L} \leq_p \text{QBF}$.

Let $M := (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, q_0, \Delta, F_a, F_r)$ be a TM deciding \mathcal{L}
such that M never uses more than $p(n)$ cells.

For each input $w \in \Sigma^*$, $|w| = n$, we construct a formula $\varphi_{M,w}$
such that

M accepts w if, and only if, $\varphi_{M,w}$ is true.

Theorem: QBF is NPSPACE-hard

Let $\mathcal{L} \in \text{NPSPACE}$. We show $\mathcal{L} \leq_p \text{QBF}$.

Let $M := (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, q_0, \Delta, F_a, F_r)$ be a TM deciding \mathcal{L}
such that M never uses more than $p(n)$ cells.

For each input $w \in \Sigma^*$, $|w| = n$, we construct a formula $\varphi_{M,w}$
such that

M accepts w if, and only if, $\varphi_{M,w}$ is true.

Describe configuration $(q, p, a_1 \dots a_{p(n)})$ by a set

$$\mathcal{V} := \{X_q, Y_i, Z_{a,i} : q \in Q, a \in \Gamma, 0 \leq i < p(n)\}$$

of variables and the truth assignment β defined as

$$\beta(X_s) := \begin{cases} 1 & s = q \\ 0 & s \neq q \end{cases} \quad \beta(Y_s) := \begin{cases} 1 & s = p \\ 0 & s \neq p \end{cases} \quad \beta(Z_{a,i}) := \begin{cases} 1 & a = a_i \\ 0 & a \neq a_i \end{cases}$$

NPSPACE-Hardness of QBF

Consider the following formula $\text{CONF}(\mathcal{V})$ with free variables

$$\mathcal{V} := \{X_q, Y_i, Z_{a,i} : q \in Q, a \in \Gamma, 0 \leq i < p(n)\}$$

$$\text{CONF}(\mathcal{V}) := \bigvee_{q \in Q} \left(X_q \wedge \bigwedge_{q' \neq q} \neg X_{q'} \right) \quad \wedge \quad \bigvee_{p \leq p(n)} \left(Y_p \wedge \bigwedge_{p' \neq p} \neg Y_{p'} \right) \quad \wedge$$

$$\bigwedge_{1 \leq i \leq p(n)} \bigvee_{a \in \Gamma} \left(Z_{a,i} \wedge \bigwedge_{b \neq a \in \Gamma} \neg Z_{b,i} \right)$$

Definition. For any truth assignment β of \mathcal{V} define $\text{config}(\mathcal{V}, \beta)$ as

$$\{(q, p, w_1 \dots w_{p(n)}) : \beta(X_q) = \beta(Y_p) = \beta(Z_{w_i,i}) = 1, \forall i \leq p(n)\}$$

Lemma

If β satisfies $\text{CONF}(\mathcal{V})$ then $|\text{config}(\mathcal{V}, \beta)| = 1$.

Definition. For an assignment β of \mathcal{V} we defined $\text{config}(\mathcal{V}, \beta)$ as $\{(q, p, w_1 \dots w_{p(n)}) : \beta(X_q) = \beta(Y_p) = \beta(Z_{w_i, i}) = 1, \forall i \leq p(n)\}$

Lemma

If β satisfies $\text{CONF}(\mathcal{V})$ then $|\text{config}(\mathcal{V}, \beta)| = 1$.

Remark. β may be defined on other variables than those in \mathcal{V} .

$\text{config}(\mathcal{V}, \beta)$ is a potential configuration of M , but it might not be reachable from the start configuration of M on input w .

Conversely: Every configuration $(q, p, w_1 \dots w_{p(n)})$ induces a satisfying assignment.

NPSPACE-Hardness of QBF

Consider the following formula $\text{NEXT}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}')$ defined as

$\text{CONF}(\mathcal{V}) \wedge \text{CONF}(\mathcal{V}') \wedge \text{NOCHANGE}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}') \wedge \text{CHANGE}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}')$.

$$\text{NOCHANGE} := \bigwedge_{1 \leq p \leq p(n)} \left(Y_p \Rightarrow \bigwedge_{\substack{i \neq p \\ a \in \Gamma}} (Z_{a,i} \leftrightarrow Z'_{a,i}) \right)$$

$$\text{CHANGE} := \bigwedge_{1 \leq p \leq p(n)} \left((Y_p \wedge X_q \wedge Z_{a,p}) \Rightarrow \bigvee_{(q,a,q',b,m) \in \Delta} (X'_{q'} \wedge Z'_{b,p} \wedge Y'_{\text{"}p+m\text{"}}) \right)$$

Lemma

For any assignment β defined on $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}'$:

β satisfies $\text{NEXT}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}')$ $\iff \text{config}(\mathcal{V}, \beta) \vdash_M \text{config}(\mathcal{V}', \beta)$

NPSPACE-hardness of QBF

Define $\text{PATH}_i(\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2)$:

M starting on $\text{config}(\mathcal{V}_1, \beta)$ can reach $\text{config}(\mathcal{V}_2, \beta)$ in $\leq 2^i$ steps.

For $i = 0$: $\text{PATH}_0 := \mathcal{V}_1 = \mathcal{V}_2 \vee \text{NEXT}(\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2)$

NPSpace-hardness of QBF

Define $\text{PATH}_i(\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2)$:

M starting on $\text{config}(\mathcal{V}_1, \beta)$ can reach $\text{config}(\mathcal{V}_2, \beta)$ in $\leq 2^i$ steps.

For $i = 0$: $\text{PATH}_0 := \mathcal{V}_1 = \mathcal{V}_2 \vee \text{NEXT}(\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2)$

For $i \rightarrow i + 1$:

Idea: $\text{PATH}_{i+1}(\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2) := \exists \mathcal{V} [\text{CONF}(\mathcal{V}) \wedge \text{PATH}_i(\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}) \wedge \text{PATH}_i(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}_2)]$

NPSPACE-hardness of QBF

Define $\text{PATH}_i(\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2)$:

M starting on $\text{config}(\mathcal{V}_1, \beta)$ can reach $\text{config}(\mathcal{V}_2, \beta)$ in $\leq 2^i$ steps.

For $i = 0$: $\text{PATH}_0 := \mathcal{V}_1 = \mathcal{V}_2 \vee \text{NEXT}(\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2)$

For $i \rightarrow i + 1$:

Idea: $\text{PATH}_{i+1}(\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2) := \exists \mathcal{V} [\text{CONF}(\mathcal{V}) \wedge \text{PATH}_i(\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}) \wedge \text{PATH}_i(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}_2)]$

Problem: $|\text{PATH}_i| = \mathcal{O}(2^i)$ (Reduction would use exp. time/space)

NPSPACE-hardness of QBF

Define $\text{PATH}_i(\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2)$:

M starting on $\text{config}(\mathcal{V}_1, \beta)$ can reach $\text{config}(\mathcal{V}_2, \beta)$ in $\leq 2^i$ steps.

For $i = 0$: $\text{PATH}_0 := \mathcal{V}_1 = \mathcal{V}_2 \vee \text{NEXT}(\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2)$

For $i \rightarrow i + 1$:

Idea: $\text{PATH}_{i+1}(\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2) := \exists \mathcal{V} \left[\text{CONF}(\mathcal{V}) \wedge \text{PATH}_i(\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}) \wedge \text{PATH}_i(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}_2) \right]$

Problem: $|\text{PATH}_i| = \mathcal{O}(2^i)$ (Reduction would use exp. time/space)

New Idea:

$$\text{PATH}_{i+1}(\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2) := \exists \mathcal{V} \text{ CONF}(\mathcal{V}) \wedge \forall \mathcal{Z}_1 \forall \mathcal{Z}_2 \left(\left(\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{Z}_1 = \mathcal{V}_1 \wedge \mathcal{Z}_2 = \mathcal{V} \\ \mathcal{Z}_1 = \mathcal{V} \wedge \mathcal{Z}_2 = \mathcal{V}_2 \end{array} \right\} \vee \right) \rightarrow \text{PATH}_i(\mathcal{Z}_1, \mathcal{Z}_2) \right)$$

NPSPACE-hardness of QBF

Define $\text{PATH}_i(\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2)$:

M starting on $\text{config}(\mathcal{V}_1, \beta)$ can reach $\text{config}(\mathcal{V}_2, \beta)$ in $\leq 2^i$ steps.

For $i = 0$: $\text{PATH}_0 := \mathcal{V}_1 = \mathcal{V}_2 \vee \text{NEXT}(\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2)$

For $i \rightarrow i + 1$:

Idea: $\text{PATH}_{i+1}(\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2) := \exists \mathcal{V} \left[\text{CONF}(\mathcal{V}) \wedge \text{PATH}_i(\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}) \wedge \text{PATH}_i(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}_2) \right]$

Problem: $|\text{PATH}_i| = \mathcal{O}(2^i)$ (Reduction would use exp. time/space)

New Idea:

$$\text{PATH}_{i+1}(\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2) := \exists \mathcal{V} \text{ CONF}(\mathcal{V}) \wedge \forall \mathcal{Z}_1 \forall \mathcal{Z}_2 \left(\left(\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{Z}_1 = \mathcal{V}_1 \wedge \mathcal{Z}_2 = \mathcal{V} \\ \mathcal{Z}_1 = \mathcal{V} \wedge \mathcal{Z}_2 = \mathcal{V}_2 \end{array} \right\} \vee \right) \rightarrow \text{PATH}_i(\mathcal{Z}_1, \mathcal{Z}_2) \right)$$

Lemma

For any assignment β *defined on* $\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2$: *If* β *satisfies* $\text{PATH}_i(\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2)$, *then* $\text{config}(\mathcal{V}_2, \beta)$ *is reachable from* $\text{config}(\mathcal{V}_1, \beta)$ *in* $\leq 2^i$ *steps.*

$\text{Path}_i(\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2)$:

M starting on $\text{config}(\mathcal{V}_1, \beta)$ can reach $\text{config}(\mathcal{V}_2, \beta)$ in $\leq 2^i$ steps.

Start and end configuration:

$$\text{START}(\mathcal{V}) := \text{CONF}(\mathcal{V}) \wedge X_{q_0} \wedge Y_0 \wedge \bigwedge_{i=0}^{n-1} Z_{w_i, i} \wedge \bigwedge_{i=n}^{p(n)} Z_{\square, i}$$

$$\text{END}(\mathcal{V}) := \text{CONF}(\mathcal{V}) \wedge \bigvee_{q \in F_a} X_q$$

Lemma

Let C_{start} be starting configuration of M on input w .

- 1 β satisfies START if, and only if, $\text{config}(\mathcal{V}, \beta) = C_{\text{start}}$
- 2 β satisfies END if, and only if, $\text{config}(\mathcal{V}, \beta)$ is an accepting stop configuration. (not nec reachable from C_{start})

NPSPACE-hardness of QBF

$\text{Path}_i(\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2)$:

M starting on $\text{config}(\mathcal{V}_1, \beta)$ can reach $\text{config}(\mathcal{V}_2, \beta)$ in $\leq 2^i$ steps.

Start and end configuration:

$$\text{START}(\mathcal{V}) := \text{CONF}(\mathcal{V}) \wedge X_{q_0} \wedge Y_0 \wedge \bigwedge_{i=0}^{n-1} Z_{w_i, i} \wedge \bigwedge_{i=n}^{p(n)} Z_{\square, i}$$

$$\text{END}(\mathcal{V}) := \text{CONF}(\mathcal{V}) \wedge \bigvee_{q \in F_a} X_q$$

Lemma

Let C_{start} be starting configuration of M on input w .

- 1 β satisfies START if, and only if, $\text{config}(\mathcal{V}, \beta) = C_{\text{start}}$
- 2 β satisfies END if, and only if, $\text{config}(\mathcal{V}, \beta)$ is an accepting stop configuration. (not nec reachable from C_{start})

Putting it all together: M accepts w if, and only if,

$\varphi_{M,w} := \exists \mathcal{V}_1 \exists \mathcal{V}_2 \text{START}(\mathcal{V}_1) \wedge \text{END}(\mathcal{V}_2) \wedge \text{PATH}_{p(n)}(\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2)$ is true.

NPSPACE-hardness of QBF (to conclude)

Theorem

QBF is NPSPACE-hard.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{L} \in \text{NPSPACE}$, we show $\mathcal{L} \leq_p \text{QBF}$.

Let $M := (Q, \Sigma, q_0, \Delta, F_a, F_r)$ be a TM deciding \mathcal{L} . M never uses more than $p(n)$ cells.

For each input $w \in \Sigma^*$, $|w| = n$, we construct (in poly time!) a formula $\varphi_{M,w}$ such that

M accepts w if, and only if, $\varphi_{M,w}$ is true.

Glossed over some detail: $\varphi_{M,w}$ is not in prenex form, can be manipulated into that. Also, quantifiers don't alternate $\forall/\exists/\forall/\exists\dots$; that also can be fixed...

To conclude

We have a “natural” PSPACE-complete problem

“natural” (slightly vague definition): the problem does not arise in the study of PSPACE, it has separate interest.

obvious analogy with SAT being complete for NP

Next: how to use this to prove various other problems are also PSPACE-complete.