

## Symbolic Differentiation for Rapid Model Prototyping in Machine Learning and Data Analysis — a Hands-on Tutorial

Yarin Gal

yg279@cam.ac.uk

November 13th, 2014

A TALK IN TWO ACTS, based on the online tutorial deeplearning.net/software/theano/tutorial



The Theory

Theano in practice

Two Example Models: Logistic Regression and a Deep Net

Rapid Prototyping of Probabilistic Models with SVI (time permitting)



## **Some Theory**



- Symbolic differentiation is *not* automatic differentiation, nor numerical differentiation [source: Wikipedia].
- Symbolic computation is a scientific area that refers to the study and development of algorithms and software for manipulating mathematical expressions and other mathematical objects.

## What's Theano?

- Theano was the priestess of Athena in Troy [source: Wikipedia].
- It is also a Python package for symbolic differentiation.
- Open source project primarily developed at the University of Montreal.
- Symbolic equations compiled to run efficiently on CPU and GPU.
- Computations are expressed using a NumPy-like syntax:
  - numpy.exp() theano.tensor.exp()
  - numpy.sum() theano.tensor.sum()





Figure: Athena



## How does Theano work?

UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE

Internally, Theano builds a graph structure composed of:

- interconnected variable nodes (red),
- operator (op) nodes (green),
- and "apply" nodes (blue, representing the application of an op to some variables)



Computing automatic differentiation is simple with the graph structure.

- The only thing tensor.grad() has to do is to traverse the graph from the outputs back towards the inputs.
- Gradients are composed using the chain rule.

#### Code for derivatives of $x^2$ :

```
1 x = T.scalar('x')
```

```
2 f = x * * 2
```

```
3 df_dx = T.grad(f, [x]) # results in 2x
```



When compiling a Theano graph, graph optimisation...

- Improves the way the computation is carried out,
- Replaces certain patterns in the graph with faster or more stable patterns that produce the same results,
- And detects identical sub-graphs and ensures that the same values are not computed twice (*mostly*).

For example, one optimisation is to replace the pattern  $\frac{xy}{y}$  by *x*.



## **The Practice**

### Theano in practice – example



```
1 >>> import theano.tensor as T
2 >>> from theano import function
3
   >>> x = T.dscalar('x')
4
   >>> y = T.dscalar('y')
5
   >>> z = x + y \# same graph as before
6
7
   >>> f = function([x, y], z) # compiling the graph
   # the function inputs are x and y, its output is z
8
9
   >>> f(2, 3) # evaluating the function on integers
10
   array(5.0)
11
   >>> f(16.3, 12.1) # ...and on floats
12
   array(28.4)
13
   >>> z.eval({x : 16.3, y : 12.1})
14
15
   array(28.4) # a quick way to debug the graph
16
17
   >>> from theano import pp
18
   >>> print pp(z) # print the graph
19
   (x + y)
```

## Theano in practice – note



If you don't have Theano installed, you can SSH into one of the following computers and use the Python console:

- riemann
- dirichlet
- bernoulli
- grothendieck
- robbins
- explorer

Syntax (from an external network):

```
1 ssh [user name]@gate.eng.cam.ac.uk
2 ssh [computer name]
3 python
4 >>> import theano
5 >>> import theano.tensor as T
```

#### Exercise files are on http://goo.gl/r5uwGI

## UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE

#### 1. Type and run the following code:



2. Modify the code to compute  $a^2 + 2ab + b^2$  element-wise.



```
1 import theano
2 import theano.tensor as T
3 a = T.vector() # declare variable
4 b = T.vector() # declare variable
5 out = a**2 + 2*a*b + b**2 # build symbolic expression
6 f = theano.function([a, b], out) # compile function
7 print f([1, 2], [4, 5]) # prints [ 25. 49.]
```



Implement the Logistic Function:

$$s(x)=\frac{1}{1+e^{-x}}$$

(adapt your NumPy implementation, you will need to replace "np" with "T"; this will be used later in Logistic regression)



Note that the operations are performed element-wise.

We can compute the elementwise *difference*, *absolute difference*, and *squared difference* between two matrices *a* and *b* at the same time.

```
1 >>> a, b = T.dmatrices('a', 'b')
2 >>> diff = a - b
3 >>> abs_diff = abs(diff)
4 >>> diff_squared = diff**2
5 >>> f = function([a, b], [diff, abs_diff, diff_squared])
```

## Theano basics – shared variables



Shared variables allow for functions with internal states.

- hybrid symbolic and non-symbolic variables,
- value may be shared between multiple functions,
- ► used in symbolic expressions but also have an internal value. The value can be accessed and modified by the .get\_value() and .set\_value() methods.

#### Accumulator

The state is initialized to zero. Then, on each function call, the state is incremented by the function's argument.

## Theano basics – updates parameter



- Updates can be supplied with a list of pairs of the form (shared-variable, new expression),
- Whenever function runs, it replaces the value of each shared variable with the corresponding expression's result at the end.

In the example above, the accumulator replaces *state*'s value with the sum of *state* and the increment amount.

```
>>> state.get value()
2
   array(0)
3
   >>> accumulator(1)
4
   array(0)
5
   >>> state.get_value()
6
   array(1)
7
   >>> accumulator(300)
8
   array(1)
9
   >>> state.get_value()
10
   array(301)
```



# Two Example Models: Logistic Regression and a Deep Net

## Theano basics – exercise 3

- ► Logistic regression is a probabilistic linear classifier.
- It is parametrised by a weight matrix W and a bias vector b.
- The probability that an input vector x is classified as 1 can be written as:

$$P(Y = 1 | x, W, b) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(Wx+b)}} = s(Wx + b)$$

The model's prediction y<sub>pred</sub> is the class whose probability is maximal, specifically for every x:

$$y_{pred} = \mathbb{1}(P(Y = 1 | x, W, b) > 0.5)$$

And the optimisation objective (negative log-likelihood) is

 $-y\log(s(Wx+b)) - (1-y)\log(1-s(Wx+b))$ 

(you can put a Gaussian prior over *W* if you so desire.) Using the Logistic Function, implement Logistic Regression.

## Theano basics – exercise 3

```
1
   . . .
2
   x = T.matrix("x")
3 v = T.vector("y")
4 | w = theano.shared(np.random.randn(784), name="w")
   b = theano.shared(0., name="b")
5
6
7
   # Construct Theano expression graph
8
   prediction, obj, qw, qb # Implement me!
9
10
   # Compile
11
   train = theano.function(inputs=[x, y],
12
              outputs=[prediction, obj],
13
             updates = ((w, w - 0.1 * qw), (b, b - 0.1 * qb))
14
   predict = theano.function(inputs=[x], outputs=prediction
15
16
   # Train
17
   for i in range(training_steps):
18
       pred, err = train(D[0], D[1])
19
```

🗖 CAMBRIDGE

```
2
   # Construct Theano expression graph
3
   # Probability that target = 1
4
   p_1 = 1 / (1 + T.exp(-T.dot(x, w) - b))
5
   # The prediction thresholded
6
   prediction = p_1 > 0.5
7
   # Cross-entropy loss function
8
   obj = -y * T.log(p 1) - (1-y) * T.log(1-p 1)
9
   # The cost to minimize
10
   cost = obj.mean() + 0.01 * (w ** 2).sum()
11
   # Compute the gradient of the cost
12
   qw, qb = T.qrad(cost, [w, b])
13
   . . .
```

AMBRID



#### Implement an MLP, following section *Example: MLP* in

http://nbviewer.ipython.org/github/craffel/ theano-tutorial/blob/master/Theano%20Tutorial. ipynb#example-mlp



```
1
 2
 3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
```

```
class Layer(object):
  def __init__(self, W_init, b_init, activation):
    n_output, n_input = W_init.shape
    self.W = theano.shared(value=W_init.astype(theano.co
                           name = 'W'.
                           borrow=True)
    self.b = theano.shared(value=b init.reshape(-1, 1).a
                           name='b',
                           borrow=True,
                           broadcastable=(False, True))
    self.activation = activation
    self.params = [self.W, self.b]
 def output (self, x):
    lin_output = T.dot(self.W, x) + self.b
    return (lin_output if self.activation is None else s
```

## Theano basics – solution 4

1

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

```
class MLP (object):
    def init (self, W init, b init, activations):
        self.layers = []
        for W, b, activation in zip(W_init, b_init, acti
            self.layers.append(Layer(W, b, activation))
        self.params = []
        for layer in self.layers:
            self.params += laver.params
    def output (self, x):
        for layer in self.layers:
            x = layer.output(x)
        return x
    def squared_error(self, x, y):
        return T.sum((self.output(x) - y) **2)
```

🛡 CAMBRIDGF

```
def gradient_updates_momentum(cost, params,
1
2
       learning rate, momentum):
3
     updates = []
4
     for param in params:
5
       param update = theano.shared(param.get value()*0.,
6
          broadcastable=param.broadcastable)
7
       updates.append((param,
8
         param - learning rate*param update))
9
       updates.append((param_update, momentum*param_update)
10
         + (1. - momentum) *T.grad(cost, param)))
11
     return updates
```

CAMBRIDO



## Rapid Prototyping of Probabilistic Models with Stochastic Variational Inference



- This can be a lengthy process
  - We need to derive appropriate inference
  - Often cumbersome implementation which changes regularly
- Rapid prototyping is used to answer similar problems in manufacturing
  - "Quick fabrication of scale models of a physical part"
  - Probabilistic programming can be used for rapid prototyping in machine learning



- This can be a lengthy process
  - We need to derive appropriate inference
  - Often cumbersome implementation which changes regularly
- Rapid prototyping is used to answer similar problems in manufacturing
  - "Quick fabrication of scale models of a physical part"
  - Probabilistic programming can be used for rapid prototyping in machine learning



- This can be a lengthy process
  - We need to derive appropriate inference
  - Often cumbersome implementation which changes regularly
- Rapid prototyping is used to answer similar problems in manufacturing
  - "Quick fabrication of scale models of a physical part"
  - Probabilistic programming can be used for rapid prototyping in machine learning



- This can be a lengthy process
  - We need to derive appropriate inference
  - Often cumbersome implementation which changes regularly
- Rapid prototyping is used to answer similar problems in manufacturing
  - "Quick fabrication of scale models of a physical part"
  - Probabilistic programming can be used for rapid prototyping in machine learning

Stochastic Variational Inference (SVI) can be used for rapid prototyping as well, with several advantages over probabilistic programming.



- SVI is not usually considered as means of speeding-up development
- But this new inference technique allows us to simplify the derivations for a large class of models
  - With this we can take advantage of effective symbolic differentiation
  - Models are often mathematically too cumbersome otherwise
- Similar principles have been used for rapid model prototyping in deep learning for NLP for quite some time [Socher, Ng, and Manning 2010, 2011, 2012]



- SVI is simply variational inference used with noisy gradients

   we thus replace the optimisation with stochastic optimisation
- Variational inference
  - ► We approximate the posterior of the latent variables with distributions from a tractable family (q(X) for example)

#### Example model: $X \rightarrow Y$

$$\log P(Y) \ge \int q(X) \log \frac{P(Y|X)P(X)}{q(X)} = E_q[\log P(Y|X)] - KL(q||P)$$

## What is SVI?



- Stochastic variational inference
  - Often used to speed-up inference using mini-batches

$$\log P(Y) \geq \frac{N}{|S|} \sum_{i \in S} E_q[\log P(Y_i|X_i)] - KL(q||P)$$

#### summing over random subsets of the data points

 But can also be used to approximate integrals through Monte Carlo integration [Kingma and Welling 2014, Rezende et al. 2014, Titsias and Lazaro-Gredilla 2014]

$$E_q[\log P(Y|X)] pprox rac{1}{K} \sum_{i=1}^K \log P(Y|X_i), \; X_i \sim q(X)$$

summing over samples from the approximating distribution

Optimising these objectives relies on non-deterministic gradients



- Stochastic variational inference
  - Often used to speed-up inference using mini-batches

$$\log P(Y) \geq \frac{N}{|S|} \sum_{i \in S} E_q[\log P(Y_i|X_i)] - KL(q||P)$$

summing over random subsets of the data points

 But can also be used to approximate integrals through Monte Carlo integration [Kingma and Welling 2014, Rezende et al. 2014, Titsias and Lazaro-Gredilla 2014]

$$E_q[\log P(Y|X)] pprox rac{1}{K} \sum_{i=1}^K \log P(Y|X_i), \ X_i \sim q(X)$$

summing over samples from the approximating distribution

Optimising these objectives relies on non-deterministic gradients



- Stochastic variational inference
  - Often used to speed-up inference using mini-batches

$$\log P(Y) \geq \frac{N}{|S|} \sum_{i \in S} E_q[\log P(Y_i|X_i)] - KL(q||P)$$

summing over random subsets of the data points

 But can also be used to approximate integrals through Monte Carlo integration [Kingma and Welling 2014, Rezende et al. 2014, Titsias and Lazaro-Gredilla 2014]

$$E_q[\log P(Y|X)] pprox rac{1}{K} \sum_{i=1}^K \log P(Y|X_i), \ X_i \sim q(X)$$

summing over samples from the approximating distribution

Optimising these objectives relies on non-deterministic gradients

## Stochastic optimisation



 Using gradient descent with noisy gradients and decreasing learning-rates, we are guaranteed to converge to an optimum

 $\theta_{t+1} = \theta_t + \alpha f'(\theta_t)$ 

• Learning-rates ( $\alpha$ ) are hard to tune...

- Use learning-rate free optimisation (again, from deep learning)
- AdaGrad [Duchi et. al 2011], AdaDelta [Zeiler 2012]
- RMSPROP [Tieleman and Hinton 2012, Lecture 6.5, COURSERA: Neural Networks for Machine Learning]

$$\theta_{t+1} = \theta_t + \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{r_t}} f'(\theta_t); \ r_t = (1 - \gamma) f'(\theta)^2 + \gamma r_{t-1}$$

and increase  $\alpha$  times 1 +  $\epsilon$  if the last two grads' directions agree

These have been compared to each other and others empirically in a variety of settings in [Schaul 2014]



$$\theta_{t+1} = \theta_t + \alpha f'(\theta_t)$$

• Learning-rates ( $\alpha$ ) are hard to tune...

- Use learning-rate free optimisation (again, from deep learning)
- AdaGrad [Duchi et. al 2011], AdaDelta [Zeiler 2012]
- RMSPROP [Tieleman and Hinton 2012, Lecture 6.5, COURSERA: Neural Networks for Machine Learning]

$$\theta_{t+1} = \theta_t + \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{r_t}} f'(\theta_t); \ r_t = (1 - \gamma) f'(\theta)^2 + \gamma r_{t-1}$$

and increase  $\alpha$  times 1 +  $\epsilon$  if the last two grads' directions agree

► These have been compared to each other and others *empirically* in a variety of settings in [Schaul 2014]



$$\theta_{t+1} = \theta_t + \alpha f'(\theta_t)$$

- Learning-rates ( $\alpha$ ) are hard to tune...
  - ► Use learning-rate free optimisation (again, from deep learning)
  - AdaGrad [Duchi et. al 2011], AdaDelta [Zeiler 2012]
  - RMSPROP [Tieleman and Hinton 2012, Lecture 6.5, COURSERA: Neural Networks for Machine Learning]

$$\theta_{t+1} = \theta_t + \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{r_t}} f'(\theta_t); \ r_t = (1 - \gamma) f'(\theta)^2 + \gamma r_{t-1}$$

and increase  $\alpha$  times 1 +  $\epsilon$  if the last two grads' directions agree

► These have been compared to each other and others *empirically* in a variety of settings in [Schaul 2014]



 $\theta_{t+1} = \theta_t + \alpha f'(\theta_t)$ 

- Learning-rates ( $\alpha$ ) are hard to tune...
  - Use learning-rate free optimisation (again, from deep learning)
  - AdaGrad [Duchi et. al 2011], AdaDelta [Zeiler 2012]
  - RMSPROP [Tieleman and Hinton 2012, Lecture 6.5, COURSERA: Neural Networks for Machine Learning]

$$\theta_{t+1} = \theta_t + \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{r_t}} f'(\theta_t); \ r_t = (1 - \gamma) f'(\theta)^2 + \gamma r_{t-1}$$

and increase  $\alpha$  times 1 +  $\epsilon$  if the last two grads' directions agree

► These have been compared to each other and others *empirically* in a variety of settings in [Schaul 2014]



 $\theta_{t+1} = \theta_t + \alpha f'(\theta_t)$ 

- Learning-rates ( $\alpha$ ) are hard to tune...
  - Use learning-rate free optimisation (again, from deep learning)
  - AdaGrad [Duchi et. al 2011], AdaDelta [Zeiler 2012]
  - RMSPROP [Tieleman and Hinton 2012, Lecture 6.5, COURSERA: Neural Networks for Machine Learning]

$$\theta_{t+1} = \theta_t + \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{r_t}} f'(\theta_t); \ r_t = (1 - \gamma) f'(\theta)^2 + \gamma r_{t-1}$$

and increase  $\alpha$  times 1 +  $\epsilon$  if the last two grads' directions agree

These have been compared to each other and others empirically in a variety of settings in [Schaul 2014]

## Rapid Prototyping with SVI



## With **Monte Carlo integration** we can greatly simplify model and inference description

Example model:  $X \rightarrow Y$ 

Lower bound:

- 1. Simulate  $X_i \sim q(X)$  for  $i \leq K$
- **2.** Evaluate  $P(Y|X_i)$
- 3. Return  $\frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=1}^{K} \log P(Y|X_i) KL(q||P)$

Objective:

$$q_{opt} = \arg \max_{q(X)} \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=1}^{K} \log P(Y|X_i) - KL(q||P)$$



Example model:  $X \rightarrow Y$ 

Objective:

$$q_{opt} = \arg \max_{q(X)} \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=1}^{K} \log P(Y|X_i) - KL(q||P)$$

Symbolic differentiation is straight-forward in this representation:

$$rac{\partial}{\partial heta} \log P(Y|X), \; rac{\partial}{\partial heta} KL$$

are easy to compute for a large class of models [Titsias and Lazaro-Gredilla 2014]

## Rapid Prototyping with SVI



**Examples:** Bayesian logistic regression, variable selection, Gaussian process (GP) hyper-parameter estimation, and more [Titsias and Lazaro-Gredilla 2014]

Example: Bayesian logistic regression

Given dataset with  $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $y_i \in \{0, 1\}$  for  $n \leq N$ , we define

$$P(Y|X,\eta) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \sigma(y_i \mathbf{x}_i^T \eta)$$

for some vector of weights  $\eta$  with prior  $P(\eta) = \mathcal{N}(0, I_d)$ . Define

$$\boldsymbol{q}(\eta|\theta = \{\mu, \boldsymbol{C}\}) = \mathcal{N}(\eta; \mu, \boldsymbol{C}\boldsymbol{C}^{\mathsf{T}})$$

Symbolically differentiate and optimise wrt

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log \big( \prod_{i=1}^{N} \sigma(\mathbf{y}_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{T} \eta) \big), \ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} KL$$

## Concrete example



## Non-linear density estimation of categorical data (work in progress with Yutian Chen)

Model (using sparse GP with M inducing inputs / outputs Z and U):

 $X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$  $(F_K, U_K) \sim GP(X, Z)$  $Y \sim Softmax(F_1, ..., F_K)$ 

Approximating distributions: q(X, F, U) = q(X)q(U)p(F|X, U), defining  $q(x_n) = \mathcal{N}(m_n, s_n^2)$  and  $q(u_k) = \mathcal{N}(\mu_k, CC^T)$ 

We have (with  $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$ ):  $x_n = m_n + s_n \epsilon_n$   $u_k = \mu_k + C \epsilon_k$   $f_{nk} = K_{nM} K_{MM}^{-1} u_k + \sqrt{K_{nn} - K_{nM} K_{MM}^{-1} K_{Mn}} \epsilon_{nk}$  $y_n = Softmax(f_{n1}, ..., f_{nK})$ 

## Concrete example



#### Original approach took half a year to develop –

- Deriving variational inference
- Researching appropriate bound in the statistics literature
- Derivations for the model

$$\mathcal{L} = -\sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathrm{KL}(q(\mathbf{x}_{n}) \| p(\mathbf{x}_{n}))$$

$$+ \sum_{d=1}^{D} \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Tr}[(I_{K} \otimes \mathbf{K}_{d,MM}^{-1})(\mathbf{\Sigma}_{d} + \hat{\mu}_{d} \hat{\mu}_{d}^{T})] + \frac{MK}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \log |\mathbf{\Sigma}_{d}| - \frac{1}{2} \log |\mathbf{K}_{d,MM}| \right\}$$

$$+ \sum_{d=1}^{D} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Tr}\left[ \left( \mathbf{A}_{d} \otimes (\mathbf{K}_{d,MM}^{-1} \langle \mathbf{K}_{d,MM} \rangle_{\mathbf{x}_{n}} \mathbf{K}_{d,MM}^{-1}) \right) (\mathbf{\Sigma}_{d} + \hat{\mu}_{d} \hat{\mu}_{d}^{T}) \right]$$

$$+ [(\mathbf{y}_{nd} + \mathbf{b}_{nd})^{T} \otimes ((\mathbf{K}_{d,nM} \rangle_{\mathbf{x}_{n}} \mathbf{K}_{d,MM}^{-1})] \hat{\mu}_{d} - c_{nd} - \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Tr}[\mathbf{A}_{d} \langle \mathbf{K}_{d,nn} \rangle_{\mathbf{x}_{n}}] + \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Tr}(\mathbf{A}_{d}) \mathrm{Tr}(\mathbf{K}_{d,MM})$$

$$= -\sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathrm{KL}(q(\mathbf{x}_{n}) \| p(\mathbf{x}_{n}))$$

$$+ \sum_{n=1}^{D} \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Tr}[(I_{K} \otimes \mathbf{K}_{MM}^{-1})(\mathbf{\Sigma} + \hat{\mu} \hat{\mu}^{T})] + \frac{MK}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \log |\mathbf{\Sigma}| - \frac{1}{2} \log |\mathbf{K}_{MM}|$$

- Implementation (hundreds of lines of python code)
- New approach
  - Derivations took a day
  - Programming took a day (15 lines of Python)

## Concrete example



- Original approach took half a year to develop
  - Deriving variational inference
  - Researching appropriate bound in the statistics literature
  - Derivations for the model
  - Implementation (hundreds of lines of python code)
- New approach
  - Derivations took a day
  - Programming took a day (15 lines of Python)

```
22 print 'Building model...'
23 X = m + T.exp(s) * eps_NQ
24 U = mu + T.tril(L).dot(eps_MK)
25
26 dist_ZZ = T.sum(((T.reshape(Z, (M, 1, Q)) - Z) / ard)**2, 2)
27 dist_ZX = T.sum(((T.reshape(Z, (M, 1, Q)) - X) / ard)**2, 2)
28 Kmm = sf2 * T.exp(-dist_ZZ / 2.0)
29 Knn = sf2 * T.exp(-dist_ZX / 2.0)
30 Knn = sf2
31
32 KmmInv = sT.matrix_inverse(Kmm)
33 A = KmmInv.dot(Kmn)
34 B = Knn - T.sum(Kmn * KmmInv.dot(Kmn), 0)
35
36 F = A.T.dot(U) + B[:, None]**0.5 * eps_NK
37 S = T.nnet.softmax(F)
```

## Disadvantages of this approach



- Studying how symbolic differentiation works is important though –
  - Careless implementation can take long to run
  - But careful implementation (together with mini batches) can actually scale well!
- Only suitable when variational inference is; As usual in variational inference depends on the family of approximating distributions
- ▶ We can have large variance in the approximate integration
  - Either use more samples (slower to run),
  - Or use variance reduction techniques [Wang, Chen, Smola, and Xing 2013]



- Studying how symbolic differentiation works is important though –
  - Careless implementation can take long to run
  - But careful implementation (together with mini batches) can actually scale well!
- Only suitable when variational inference is; As usual in variational inference depends on the family of approximating distributions
- ▶ We can have large variance in the approximate integration
  - Either use more samples (slower to run),
  - Or use variance reduction techniques [Wang, Chen, Smola, and Xing 2013]



- Studying how symbolic differentiation works is important though –
  - Careless implementation can take long to run
  - But careful implementation (together with mini batches) can actually scale well!
- Only suitable when variational inference is; As usual in variational inference depends on the family of approximating distributions
- We can have large variance in the approximate integration
  - Either use more samples (slower to run),
  - Or use variance reduction techniques [Wang, Chen, Smola, and Xing 2013]



